# MINUTES OF MEETING HARMONY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Harmony Community Development District was held Thursday, July 28, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. at 7251 Five Oaks Drive, Harmony, Florida.

# Present and constituting a quorum were:

Robert D. Evans
Mark LeMenager
Vice Chairman
Steve Berube
Supervisor
Kerul Kassel
Supervisor
Ray Walls (by phone)
Supervisor

# Also present were:

Gary L. Moyer Manager: Moyer Management Group
Tim Qualls Attorney: Young vanAssenderp, P.A.

Brenda Burgess (by phone) Moyer Management Group

Greg Golgowski Harmony Development Company
Todd Haskett Harmony Development Company

Mike McMillan Luke Brothers

Larry Medlin Bio-Tech Consulting

Shad Tome Harmony Development Company

Residents and members of the public

#### FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Evans called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Mr. Evans called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

# SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the June 23, 2011, Regular Meeting and Budget Workshop

Mr. Evans reviewed the minutes of the June 23, 2011, regular meeting and budget workshop, and requested any additions, correction, or deletions.

Ms. Kassel stated in the regular meeting minutes, page 3 at the bottom where Mr. McMillan is speaking should read, "because they <u>have been</u> running for an <u>undetermined</u> length of time." page 8, first paragraph, I believe Mr. LeMenager was referring to "whoa" or "wow" instead of "woe."

Mr. LeMenager stated "woe" is correct.

Mr. Moyer stated I understood the statement to be shock for one month, really nice for month, and then zero for the rest of the 11 months.

Mr. Berube stated he meant "woe" as in lousy, based on his statement. I think it is correct the way it is.

Ms. Kassel stated page 17 under access card registration, it should read "the sooner everyone <u>is</u> registered." Page 18 there is mention of gray pines, but I am not aware of such a species. That statement should just read "younger, thinner trees and pines." Page 19 and going forward in several locations, "lake" should be replaced with "pond."

Ms. Kassel stated for the budget workshop minutes, page 4, third paragraph should read "there is no minimum scope of services, <u>unlike</u> so many other services." Page 18 and going forward in several locations, "lakes" should be "ponds." Wherever Lakeshore Park Lake is mentioned, that should be Long Pond. There are only two lakes—Buck Lake and Cat Lake—and the rest of the bodies of water are ponds. The CDD does not own any lakes, just ponds. Page 27, fourth paragraph, Luke Brothers should be <u>Ledesma</u>.

On MOTION by Mr. LeMenager, seconded by Mr. Berube, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to minutes of the June 23, 2011, regular meeting and budget workshop, as amended.

# THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### **Subcontractor Reports**

#### A. Landscaping – Luke Brothers

Mr. McMillan reviewed the landscaping report as contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. McMillan stated we had a meeting with Mr. Haskett last week. We have been working in a lot of areas. We currently have 14 employees, which we have had for the past week. We are working to stay on top of weeds, mowing, trimming and other detail activities.

Mr. Haskett stated I thought they were getting a little behind so I requested a meeting. They were short a couple employees for various reasons, but they increased the number back to the 14-member level because it was showing that is the number of staff that is needed this time of year, especially with the heavy rains and the 4<sup>th</sup> of July weekend. The grass as well as the weeds grew very quickly, so they have been trying to catch up. They are almost there and have been performing some weed spraying regimens. I am looking at some projects, such as along Cat Brier. Mr. LeMenager and Ms. Kassel asked why we are replacing so much St. Augustine turf when it is not the best choice of turf to replace. With the 10-minute irrigation zone issue that we addressed in our staff meeting last week,

they are cutting out some sod that is still good in this large area and using it to fill in other locations, and then we will replace the turf with Bahia in that area between the sidewalk and the golf course, which is similar to other areas in the community. That will help with under watering or over watering, insects, and other issues. Plus they are making bigger beds, taking out some areas where the turf was bad. Rather than replacing the turf areas that they would have to pay for, we got a discount for a few plants such as fakahatchee and muhly grass. That made some beds bigger instead of replacing more turf. There are some trees that need pruning up to ten feet since they are really growing right now. That is a good sign that our arborist did a good job, to see the trees flushing out and looking nice. They replaced a tree on Five Oaks this week, which was one of the six that had died last year that they replaced. It was 7½-caliper tree, a substantial tree, which they replaced at their cost because it was not looking well. It was not completely dead, but it was not going to survive.

Mr. Berube asked is that the one that was removed in the past couple days just inside the west entrance?

Mr. Haskett stated yes.

Mr. LeMenager stated it is a nice tree.

Mr. Haskett stated all in all, they are doing a good job and are keeping up with things as best as they can, and staff levels are good.

Mr. Evans asked what was the resolution with MAXICOM monitoring?

Mr. McMillan stated I had a phone conference with Mr. Golgowski and Mr. Mike Walker about a week after last month's CDD meeting. Mr. Walker is very knowledgeable, but my opinion is the way it is setup and how he is servicing the community is a disadvantage. He needs to be fully brought on or fully taken out. That is my analysis over the past month.

Mr. Evans stated I understand your comment about him being taken out, but expand on what you mean by fully brought on.

Mr. McMillan stated right now, he is contracted with the CDD to oversee and check the system two times per month. With any kind of system, the reviews need to happen more often. Mr. Golgowski has been helping us the past few weeks making changes as we install new plants and as we see things that are not reacting the way we want them to. I will send Mr. Golgowski an email saying we want to increase certain zones for certain times, and he has been helping with those changes. To hold Mr. Walker accountable, I think we either take him out completely or have him fully integrated where he can oversee the program.

Mr. Golgowski stated Mr. McMillan is correct. Mr. Walker, our advisor for MAXICOM, is contracted to monitor the system twice a month. So it falls to us to keep watch on the MAXICOM system and make minor adjustments. That is fine, but it is a complicated system. I would like to propose that we ask Mr. Walker attend our next meeting as well as someone from Rain Bird who can explain MAXICOM. I do not know that anyone really understands what we have. It might be good to have them attend a meeting so we can clearly understand the system. We pay Mr. Walker \$250 per month which might be adequate for twice-a-month monitoring, but it is not enough to run the system. Mr. Walker's services usually involve total control of it and daily checking. Most systems are more sophisticated than our system, but that will cost more than \$250 per month.

Mr. Walls asked what kind of value are we receiving by paying \$250 each month? If he is only checking it twice a month and our landscape staff is telling us it needs to be monitored more than that, what is the benefit to continue to have that contract and pay Mr. Walker?

Mr. Golgowski stated the benefit of having someone overseeing it is that it is operated correctly. It is a sophisticated program and it does require someone trained specifically in that program to operate it. Although Mr. Walker's contract is for monitoring twice a month, he is checking in almost daily just because it needs to be done. He has always been available on request to help us get through sticky situations. We are getting much more than twice-a-month monitoring on this system. I think the value we receive is a well-run system and one that saves us money in terms of water usage.

Mr. Evans stated I understand the concept of getting rid of Mr. Walker. What are you going to do if you get rid of him? Who will physically monitor the system and who will do the job that Mr. Walker was doing?

Mr. Golgowski stated I do not think the irrigation staff has the time to commit to learn the MAXICOM system and then operate it on a daily basis. The CDD would have to hire someone. I do not see another option.

Mr. Evans stated it sounds like you would just be replacing Mr. Walker with someone else, doing what he was doing.

Mr. Golgowski stated that is correct.

Mr. Berube stated I checked with a couple people I know in the landscape business who know of MAXICOM. Their opinions are slightly different. Typically MAXICOM is sold with a monitoring contract attached to it, like we have. The consensus of opinions seems to be there will always be a problem of finger pointing back and forth until the landscape company onsite brings control of the MAXICOM system onsite. Mr. Walker is in Ft. Pierce and he has probably never been to Harmony, at least not very often. The consensus seems to be if you are going to apply water to plant material, the control of MAXICOM needs to be on the property and you need to have fewer people involved. I am not sure how complicated it is, but I understand it is a web-based program. We are contemplating replacing a lot of sod and planter beds, so we will need control of water for all these plants. Every time Luke Brothers applies fertilizer or pest control, they need to have those areas irrigated. We have a disjointed system in the way it works. We are spending \$3,000 annually for this service. As we discovered during this past drought, who knows how long the grass would have burned if Mr. McMillan had not said something to someone and had the system looked at to see what it was doing. Then we find out many zones were turned off. Whose fault is that? I do not know, but it seems to me that the monitoring service should have told us before we had grass die. I believe we need to bring it in-house. Mr. McMillan has the ability to handle it. The worst-case scenario is that it does not work the way we envision and we have to rethink what we did. I think the monitoring needs to be on property. We are holding Luke Brothers responsible for the quality of the turf, yet the number-one element that provides for the quality of turf is water and is in someone else's hands.

Mr. Evans asked based on the areas where we have had problems with MAXICOM, what percentage of the surface area would you say is negatively affected by these events?

Mr. Golgowski stated we have a lot of turf. In the big picture when you look at the physical turf area, it is probably not a lot.

Mr. McMillan stated I would estimate it is between 8% and 10%, but is a significant amount of sod to replace. If we replaced it all with St. Augustine instead of expanded plant beds, it would have cost \$15,000 to \$30,000.

Ms. Kassel asked did Mr. Evans mean what was affected specifically by the lack of water in those areas?

Mr. Evans stated yes, as a result of the MAXICOM issue. As we consider whether or not to bring this monitoring in-house, if we did bring it in-house, I presume that means we will be correcting a problem. I want to quantify the magnitude of that problem. if it is a 5% area or a 3% area, I am not diminishing the problem, but considering the overall area, it may not justify bringing the whole system in-house and incurring the additional cost of hiring someone else to monitor it in relationship to keeping a closer eye on it, now that we have identified the problem to these smaller, isolated areas. That is what I am trying to better understand.

Mr. Golgowski stated the percentage may be correct, 8% to 10%. Even though it is small, it still stands out. We may not be able to reduce that percentage if we want perfect sod.

Mr. Evans stated that is where I am leading to. Everyone watches our landscape very closely. It is to the credit of their efforts to try to watch it, from my standpoint and everyone's here. Now that we have identified the problem, we have isolated the cause of that problem. Is the cure to make Mr. Walker more aware of it, now that we are more aware of it? Or is it to make a change and bring the monitoring in-house.

Mr. Golgowski stated I think our system is setup to communicate from here to the remote controller, Mr. Walker, as to what needs to be done. I do not think that communication line was established from Mr. McMillan since they were relatively new to the process and are still learning the system as to what zones are on and what zones are off. Mr. Walker depends on that communication, and I think the problem was a lack of communication, which has been improved. If there was a problem with zones being turned off, that was a result of information not being exchanged.

Mr. Walls stated last month we talked about getting regular reports from Mr. Walker to Luke Brothers. Has that process started and how is it working?

Mr. Golgowski stated the reports have been requested and I think they have been responded to adequately. There has been an effort made to provide the information timely and comprehensively.

Mr. Walls asked how frequently is Mr. Walker willing to provide those reports?

Mr. Golgowski stated it is not in his agreement to provide them except for twice a month, but he has been doing it on demand, which has been at least weekly, sometimes more times in a week, as needed.

Ms. Kassel asked how often does Mr. McMillan need to see these reports?

Mr. McMillan stated I would prefer to have them at least weekly. Mr. Walker has been very helpful in providing the information that he has, but I would like to have the reports more often so I can keep track of things. If I see something is acting up, then I can check the irrigation report. I would prefer to receive them daily so I can monitor it more closely, but that is going outside of Mr. Walker's contract.

Mr. Haskett stated I think the best way to deal with this for now is to allow Mr. McMillan to have access to the MAXICOM system so that he can pull his own reports, which really should be done each time the system runs. You have 23 different controllers and thousands of irrigation heads that could break or just not run. Mr. McMillan can monitor it at that point, at our next meeting, perhaps he can tell us how he thinks his usefulness has been with MAXICOM and whether or not Mr. Walker is needed at that point. If Mr. McMillan can get a handle on it and understand it completely, he will be honest with us if he does not think he knows all the mechanics of the system. Then the Board can further consider whether or not to terminate services with Mr. Walker. I think Mr. McMillan at a minimum needs access to pull reports. Even if he conveys to Mr. Walker that certain zones need to be changed, that can still happen until such time as the Board decides to terminate his monitoring services. It is a complicated system, but it is in the Luke Brothers contract that they are to be knowledgeable with the MAXICOM system.

Ms. Kassel asked will Mr. Walker allow him to have access?

Mr. Berube asked what is restricting his access now?

Mr. Golgowski stated the computer is in my office and Mr. McMillan can stop by anytime to look at it. We have been relying on Mr. Walker to provide the reports.

Mr. Evans stated I recall the conversation last month being whether or not you can have access to the data. Is it a matter that you can view it anytime? Or is it an issue of changes to the software or programming changes?

Ms. Kassel asked is there a problem getting reports from Mr. Golgowski's computer?

Mr. Golgowski stated I think the issue is that what is in my office is the computer and you can print out reports, change schedules, and operate the entire system.

Mr. Berube asked so we have access now?

Mr. Golgowski stated yes. Mr. McMillan can come anytime to pull reports. I think the concern is that when things start changing in the programming, for example Mr. McMillan is laying new sod, he can just come and turn on the water and the MAXICOM system will take care of it. That breaks the communication link to Mr. Walker, which we can overcome, but then there are two people making changes to the system. That is when conflicts can arise and when zones get turned off and do not get turned on, or vice versa.

Mr. Evans stated to Mr. McMillan's point of bringing this service in-house, as I understand it, one option is to terminate Mr. Walker's contract and then Mr. McMillan can operate and monitor it from Mr. Golgowski's office and have access to all the reports he needs, or he can do that from a remote location.

Mr. McMillan stated my request is if I need to get better software or a new computer, our company will gladly provide that. Much of my office time is in the evening when I am getting caught up on paperwork and things have slowed down after the staff leaves. I would like access on my computer just to review, not to make changes, over the next month. That way I am not imposing on Mr. Golgowski by going into his office.

Mr. Evans asked essentially you want to shadow the program for one month?

Mr. McMillan stated yes.

Ms. Kassel stated if Mr. Walker can access the system remotely, then Mr. McMillan should be able to access it remotely.

Mr. Haskett stated he just needs access approval.

Ms. Kassel asked does he get that approval from Mr. Walker?

Mr. Golgowski stated if the Board wants Mr. McMillan to have access, we can do that. I caution you against going beyond that step. No disrespect to Mr. McMillan, but there is a history of experience over the past years prior to him coming here. We have a number of parks that are independent of MAXICOM in the neighborhoods, and we consistently have issues with them in terms of they get turned on for seven days a week, the rain and soil sensor controls get overridden, resulting in more water being applied, which has negative impacts on both the turf and the budget.

Mr. Berube stated but that is not an issue with MAXICOM.

Mr. Golgowski stated it will if you want to relinquish control to the landscape company.

Ms. Kassel stated I thought all we were considering was to allow Mr. McMillan access so he can see the reports and see how it works, and he can report to us next month. Perhaps Mr. Walker can also be at the next meeting so we can discuss everything among all those who are operating the system.

Mr. Evans stated that sounds like a good idea.

Mr. Berube stated Mr. Golgowski mentioned a computer in his office. Is it a special computer or is it just a computer you use to bring up the MAXICOM program?

Mr. Golgowski stated it is not a special computer, just one we use for the MAXICOM system.

Mr. Berube asked so can he load the program to his laptop for access or is it an internet-based program?

Mr. Golgowski stated it is accessible through the internet. He would not need to load the whole program.

Mr. Berube stated I have no problem giving Mr. McMillan access. I think what Mr. Haskett recommended is to run the two side by side for a month, with Mr. Walker performing his contractual services and with Mr. McMillan accessing the reports for a month. If it works, then we can discuss what we want to do with Mr. Walker at that point.

Mr. LeMenager stated one of the concerns I have is that we made an investment several years ago to try and get our water bill under control. We did a very good job in that effort. We were spending \$140,000 on water and we got down to \$80,000, and now we are back over \$100,000. After the large amount of rain we had yesterday afternoon, I saw sprinklers going off this morning. There is also a broken head across the street from 3317 Schoolhouse Road.

Mr. Berube stated last night during the rain, the irrigation was running at Beargrass Park.

Mr. LeMenager stated when I hear conversation that we should bring control right here onsite in Harmony, I am 100% in favor of it. We need to have the ability to turn this off when it is obvious the ground does not need water.

Mr. Berube stated we can resolve a local problem with a local solution.

Mr. LeMenager stated yes. I see our water bills are going over \$100,000 again. It might cost us some money to bring the service in-house, but I would imagine it would pay for itself in the water bills. I would like to bring this service in-house and stop over watering.

Ms. Kassel stated I see in the monthly report that irrigation inspections are 12% complete. The report does not have a date on it.

Mr. McMillan stated I turn those in typically around the 15<sup>th</sup>.

Ms. Kassel stated I was wondering why that was so far behind, considering all the irrigation issues we have had. Second, when you do the monthly reviews, do you check the soil and moisture sensors to see if they are operational? Something is not working.

Mr. McMillan stated when we perform our inspections, we go to the clock and make sure the zones are coming on per the clock, and we inspect the heads to make sure they are not broken or damaged and need to be replaced. We inspect each zone for each clock. I was in communication with Mr. Golgowski at the beginning of the month and found out the parks have moisture sensors and soil probes on them, so I will work with the irrigation technician to review and make sure they are not shut off and make sure they are working correctly. Regarding the MAXICOM system, I do not know if they have those sensors or if it just takes internet data and biotranspiration evaporation rates.

Ms. Kassel stated I meant if you check the moisture and soil sensors since you are doing on-the-ground reviews. We are using more water than we need to because there are a lot of soil moisture and rain sensors that are not operational.

Mr. Berube asked if you have local control of MAXICOM, will you do more investigative review to make sure it is all working properly, now that you can see what is going on? As Ms. Kassel mentioned, will you then be checking the soil moisture and rain sensors?

Ms. Kassel stated they are not here at 6:00 a.m. or 8:00 p.m. when the sprinklers are on after it just rained, so they do not know.

Mr. McMillan stated the idea of getting the reports is for the investigative work to make sure things are working properly. As I understand it, MAXICOM takes data from local weather areas and adjusts it. The soil sensors or moisture sensors are located only on the small park areas, and I just now found out they were there so we have not yet had

an opportunity to check them. I know we have not set those clocks to run seven days a week. We try to keep it two days, as with the rest of the system.

Mr. Berube stated it sounds like we are in agreement to allow Luke Brothers to have access to the MAXICOM system for a month and then we will see how this works next month and discuss it further to decide if this is working and what we are going to do with Mr. Walker.

Mr. Golgowski stated can we invite an expert to the meeting to explain it to us?

Mr. LeMenager stated yes, that is a great idea. On the sports turf, it got a little out of control and it looks like you have been doing a lot of work the last few weeks. I would like to see if you can keep it in good shape because it is one of the most popular facilities in Harmony.

Mr. Berube stated that entire area gets a lot of use.

#### **B.** Aquatic Plant Maintenance

# i. Monthly Report

Mr. Medlin reviewed the monthly aquatic plant maintenance report as contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

# ii. Pond Management Proposals

Mr. Golgowski stated we submitted a proposal in the agenda package and today distributed some photographs of some of the ponds that were taken yesterday showing the high water and some of the plants that are flooded.

Ms. Kassel stated the handout says that Pond 27 "planted" with 3,000 golden canna and 500 giant bulrush is a proposed planting, not something that has already happened.

Mr. Golgowski stated that is correct.

Mr. Berube stated I think that mirrors the proposal from Biosphere.

Ms. Kassel stated the word "planted" threw me off to where I thought they had already been planted previously and they are now gone. I just wanted to make sure. Right before I came to the meeting, I drove around Pond 27—Long Pond—and I noted that about 75% or even more, perhaps 80%, of the interior edge of the pond rather than outside the water has duck potato growing in it. There are some places where it is fairly sparse and some places where it is fairly thick. There are two areas where there is a substantial number of bulrushes, although they are not doing well. There is some kind of spikerush that is growing a little bit out of the water. There are at least four sections

where there is a substantial amount of spikerush growing along with the duck potato and arrowhead. Much of the shoreline is already being populated with these plants. Whatever planting we do there, the bulrush is not doing well there now and it is one of the proposed plants. I wonder why it is not doing well and whether or not it is a smart move to continue planting more. It would be nice to have some color there. I do not know if 3,000 cannas are needed. Last month we were told that about 50% of the shoreline is covered, but I would say it is closer to 75% or 80% covered based on what I saw today. Some of those areas are sparsely planted, but we know that within two years, those areas will fill in more substantially.

Mr. LeMenager stated I echo Ms. Kassel's comments. To an extent, they echo what I said last month and perhaps at previous meetings. It will simply take some time. I try to walk in the mornings, and I frequently walk around Long Pond. We were considering spending \$10,000 to \$12,000 on one pond, and frankly I think the pond looks pretty good. As Ms. Kassel mentioned, if there is a small amount of planting you want to do to add a little color, that is not a bad idea. The pond looks good, especially now that it is the wet season again. I do not think we need to spend a lot of money on the ponds. It will just take a little while. We have already installed about 4,000 plants in our ponds. It takes time and it has been only over the past two years when we have installed plants. We made the investment so now we just need to be patient.

Mr. Berube stated the amount of plantings in the proposal has been reduced and the proposed plantings for Pond 27 are now \$4,325. We contemplated up \$15,000, but we did not know how much footage had already been covered. To Ms. Kassel's point, a lot of the edge is covered. We reduced the proposal from \$15,000 down to \$4,325 to fill in the empty areas on Pond 27.

Mr. Medlin stated the cannas are in a different planting zone and they are mixed in behind the plantings that are there. They are usually planted a little higher, so it makes it a little thicker. We proposed that to provide more color. We did review the area and there is a lot of arrowhead out there, so it was not necessary to put more in between to fill the bare areas. That is what this proposal is trying to do.

Ms. Kassel asked why is the bulrush looking so poor? Is that seasonal or is there something we need to worry about with the bulrush in that pond?

Mr. Medlin stated bulrush quite often gets a fungus, and it is a little off-season with many of the other plants. It does get a fungus in the summer because of the wet conditions so it does not grow during those months. It does better in drier months.

Ms. Kassel stated it looks so bad that it looks like it is almost dead. I have some concern about that, if we are considering investing money to plant more of them. If we are at the time of year when the pond is supposed to look the best with the canna and duck potato blooming, perhaps the bulrush is an inappropriate plant for that pond.

Mr. Medlin stated there are five or six plants we use frequently because they do really well and have had good success. Some may not survive in certain places and the reason you noted 75% of the pond edge is covered is due to the arrowhead that is doing very well. We tried a few other plants, such as pickerelweed and some fire flag, but in some places, they didn't come back in the spring. Perhaps the soil type may not be just right or the particular pond or the area at the pond we picked was not right for it. We are trying to be diverse in the plantings so it is not 100% duck potato in that area.

Mr. Evans asked what is the propagation rate on some of these plant materials? That seems to be a big issue.

Ms. Kassel stated we are guaranteed 80%.

Mr. Evans stated I do not mean survival rate; I want to know about the plant's expansion, from the time we plant it to the time it is expected to reach its full size. Mr. LeMenager raises the point that we need to wait and it will eventually fill in itself, and that is true within some sort of framework. Based on the soils and conditions that we have here, what is a reasonable expectation of the time that it will fill in itself? Is it two years or 20 years? That will be specific to that plant itself.

Mr. Medlin stated about two to three years. Usually when we install the plantings, they go dormant because they are basically pulled right out of the ground and reinstalled. It takes about a year for them to get established. If the plants survive that year, we feel pretty good that the plants are going to live. Sometimes they just flop over, so it takes a while for them to stand back up on their own. Once they strengthen after a year or two, then they start putting off new shoots and new plants, and they start growing really tall. Normally it takes two to three years, maybe up to five years. You mentioned different soil types. These plants are pulled from other wetlands. Some may have been tall and

some may have been shorter. There is a little genetic difference in the size of plants, so we are probably not getting the exact same size every time.

Ms. Kassel stated Mr. Evans is asking about the size to maturity of the individual plant, and Mr. Medlin is talking about the spread of plants.

Mr. Medlin stated after two or three years, then it starts to spread on its own.

Mr. Evans stated there has to be a point where it is healthier. It has to re-establish itself before it can start engaging in filling in the gaps.

Mr. Medlin stated that is correct.

Mr. Evans stated so we are looking at two to three years for those plants to get healthy to the point where they can propagate and expand coverage from that point on, so we are still looking at a few years after that point.

Mr. Medlin stated that is correct. Really compact, sandy soil may not allow those plants to expand as they would in mucky soil that has more room and more nutrients. Water levels are a consideration. When water levels drop, those plants like to expand more than when the ponds are full. There are many different variables within the two-year to five-year plan.

Mr. Evans stated we are trying to decide if this is a value-added investment. Are we putting money into something that we are going to see the benefit of based on the funds that we are going to be expending?

Ms. Kassel stated within a reasonable period of time.

Mr. Evans stated Mr. LeMenager raises the point that if we let it be, within a certain number of years, we will have accomplished what we were trying to accomplish earlier by spending these funds. All we are doing is accelerating that scope.

Mr. Medlin stated if you look at the ponds that are connected to wetland areas, you will see how thick and full those are. I am sure at one time the edges were bare and then they were planted. They filled in pretty quickly and they look really good.

Mr. LeMenager stated I am not opposed to spending the amount of money we have spent over the past couple years, which is \$3,000 to \$4,000 each year to continually make a small investment that pays off over time. I just felt that we were getting to a point where we are discussing a substantial investment that was really just designed to have it now rather than let it grow and develop on its own. I am in favor of spending the same

amount of money we have spent the last couple years, but not suddenly spending three to five times that amount on one pond.

Mr. Berube stated we did reduce the proposal from \$15,000 down to \$4,000.

Mr. Golgowski stated as an observation over the years, imagine the pond on Cat Brier on the golf course farther back, not on the street; it is ringed by arrowhead. Similarly, on Five Oaks Drive as you head west from the Schoolhouse Road traffic circle, the ponds on the left are thick with arrowhead. Those all grew on their own. If you imagine the pond as you are exiting the main entrance on the left, you will see spikerush all the way around the pond. I planted 12 plants in that pond about seven years ago, and that is the result in that time period. If you leave it alone, you will have a pond that is entirely arrowhead. Where we have planted some of the shelves in the wetland ponds, we mixed the plants in those areas and they look really good because there is a diverse grouping of plants. If you want to add color with the cannas, you have to do that since they will not grow on their own. You will probably have to culture it a little, too, in order to protect it. You could do nothing and in five years have arrowhead on all the pond banks. If your goal is to simply have vegetation, then you will have reached it. If you want to do something else, then you need to take affirmative action.

Mr. Berube stated numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4 on Pond 27 indicate four areas of new plantings that roughly matches the proposal we received as item 1 for \$4,325. We can plant four areas on Pond 27 for \$4,325. The map also shows one area on Pond 32 as number 5 and Pond 33 two areas as numbers 6 and 7. Item 2 on the proposal indicates two 500-foot increments at \$1,795 each, for a total of \$3,590. Are we breaking Pond 33 into two separate 500-foot increments?

Mr. Golgowski stated it looks that way.

Mr. Berube stated the proposal shows two 500-foot increments but the map shows three areas. I believe we are breaking Pond 33 into two pieces. The proposal for everything is \$7,915, and Pond 27 will pretty much get all filled in and we continue the process that has already begun on Ponds 32 and 33.

Mr. Walls stated I like the proposal for Pond 27. I think I can justify spending that kind of money on Pond 27 because when you look at its size as far as bank area, it is probably equal to several of the other ponds. That is our prize pond; it is probably the pond that is seen by the most people. I like putting golden canna there. As I said when we

first considered these aquatic plantings, this is an aesthetic issue for me. I like having that pond mostly filled with plants that have some color. I am undecided about the second proposal. Those ponds are rather out of the way, so perhaps in that instance, we could consider doing some small plantings ourselves or we just let them go and let them fill in on their own.

Mr. LeMenager stated I have the opposite opinion. I think we have done plenty on Pond 27. Ms. Kassel's estimate that it is about 75% filled in already means it will get filled in by itself, but it is not a bad idea if we want to add some color to it. The people who are going to purchase lots in the Estates section are paying their CDD fees just as the rest of the property owners. Walking over there in the mornings at least once a week, I would say the pond that needs something is Pond 33. It is pretty plain right now and it will have quite an impact. I would not spend as much money on Pond 32. I think that pond has grown in reasonably well; it is a lot thicker. That assessment is based on me walking by them at least 20 times.

Mr. Berube stated I think Mr. LeMenager is right in the idea that those two ponds are in an area that is trying to be sold. Some lots are sold but there is no construction going on. I think that will be treated as a premier area being estates.

Mr. LeMenager stated the assessments are being paid, either by the developer or the property owner, just like the rest of us.

Mr. Berube stated I have no argument to that point. There is only one road into that area and it goes right between those two ponds. If they look nice, you have to think that is to everyone's benefit for lots to be sold and houses to be built. Someone chose Ponds 32 and 33 for this proposal. Were you going along the same lines we were thinking, or was there some other reasoning?

Mr. Golgowski stated I saw them as visible ponds and they are rather bare. It is probably time to do something with them, either naturally or manually.

Mr. LeMenager asked have Ponds 32 and 33 been part of our last two plantings? We were planting them by number and I do not believe we have planted all of them.

Mr. Medlin stated Pond 33 had some plants installed in the back corner in the most recent planting.

Ms. Kassel stated my husband installed a lot of plants on Pond 32.

Mr. LeMenager stated I do not think Pond 32 looks bad, but I think Pond 33 is pretty bare. Given its location, I am in favor of installing plants in that pond.

Mr. Evans stated I think we should do them all.

Mr. Berube stated I agree.

Mr. Evans stated if we are going to do this, we originally talked about spending \$15,000 for this enhancement program in this fiscal year. We decided to look more closely where we can enhance the ponds without duplication. I think Mr. Medlin and Mr. Golgowski have done a very good job to provide a proposal as close as what we asked them to do. I think we should move forward by doing both of them.

Ms. Kassel stated we have no forms for residents to submit if they wish to speak on items prior to the Board taking a vote. I suggest that people raise their hands if they wish to speak and keep their comments to two sentences.

A Resident stated I purchased a lot adjacent to a pond in 2005 and I fell in love with it. It is next to the dog park. I saw deer, an alligator, snowy egret and much other wildlife. I love my lot. It was clear cut all the way down to the pond. I built my house and put a room on the side facing the pond so that I could watch the wildlife and watch the big dogs play in the park. Then the CDD started planting weeds around my pond and I do not understand why. I was told it was to protect the wildlife but the alligator is gone and he never comes back. Sometimes I see an alligator that swims down the middle of the pond. I have no wildlife anymore. If deer do come, they stand back because what I have is weeds. I want a clear cut so that I can see the wildlife. I have no wildlife but I have weeds. Now they come around in a boat and spray weed killer on weeds, so now I have brown weeds and garbage collecting in the weeds. I am unhappy and I want my lot back. I want it clear cut down to the water. I do not know what possessed people to plant weeds around it. They are not flowers; they are weeds.

Mr. Berube stated we did not purposely plant weeds. There has been a purposeful raising of the natural grass growth of about four feet around the edge of the pond.

The Resident stated I understand all that but I really regret looking out my window and seeing no wildlife and I just see weeds growing. I do not care what you call them; they are weeds. Then they get sprayed and now I have brown weeds, and garbage collects in them. My beautiful pond on Blue Stem has been destroyed because someone wanted to do whatever it was. They do not do it anywhere else; they only did it here.

Ms. Kassel stated I think you are making some connections that may not connect. The fact that there is no wildlife does not have to do necessarily with the fact that we are not mowing all the way down to the edge. The weeds that you say are growing, there were some aquatic plants installed at the back of the pond, not by your lot, for the purpose of water quality. That pond has been a problem in terms of things growing that do not belong that we wanted to intentionally remove. We have not done plantings of anything that we are considering today on your side of the ponds. There is less wildlife there due to the fact there are fewer around generally speaking. I have noticed the same thing, but it is not because of what has been happening in your pond.

The Resident stated the pond is incredibly ugly. I am very disappointed. I realize you are not going to clear cut my pond. I realize the alligator might not come back. Watching wildlife was exciting to me. I love all creatures. I am incredibly unhappy with my pond. I want you to know my pond is ugly. When I go to sell my home, it will be hard to sell because the pond is ugly.

Mr. Berube stated the proposal we are discussing today is for three specific ponds. In the next fiscal year, we will continue the pond planting program, more than likely. In general when we plant the edges of the ponds, we cut it all the way down to the water level so that the flowers can grow and there are no more weeds. I will keep your pond in the forefront of my mind for plantings for next year.

The Resident stated I do not want plants in my pond. I want it clear cut so I can see the wildlife. I disagree about Ms. Kassel telling me the wildlife is not abundant because it is. It could not have been so depleted in such a short period of time than when I first came. I did not pay \$84,000 for that lot and build a large home on it to look at weeds and garbage and have the sprayer come around and spray the weeds.

Mr. Berube stated we have heard your comments.

Mr. David Leeman stated I understand Mr. Medlin is working with you for pond maintenance, but he is also a salesman, so he is selling you a product. It is in his interest to sell you the most product that he can. It may not necessarily be the best way to buy \$10,000 or \$15,000 worth of product. If, as Mr. Golgowski says, you do nothing, the ponds will fill in on their own. I would like to remind you that we are not doing nothing. If you want color in Long Pond or anywhere else, you can ask the residents to do it. Anything that grows in Harmony can be transferred to those ponds and it will not cost

you anything. I also want to remind you, as Mr. Golgowski said, only seven years ago they planted a dozen plants in that one pond and it is almost completely been circled with their plants. I agree with Mr. LeMenager that we need to be patient with this and not go crazy with the expense. It will all come to fruition and at some point, it may be so thick that you will have to thin it out. I am not hearing a great outcry from residents that we need to fill in the plantings on all these ponds. I hear Mr. Berube saying that we need to sell home and it will be good for everyone, but that sounds like an excuse to spend the property owners' assessments. Just do not spend it. The ponds will fill in and they will look fine. We can add a little color through the help of the residents without spending \$15,000. If you are going to spend that amount of money, do every single pond and spread out the plantings so they can fill in. Do not plant just one pond and then come back and have to spend more money on another pond. Plant all the ponds at the widest dispersion you can and watch them fill in. That will probably be the only time you need to spend money in the next 10 or 15 years if you do all the ponds now. They said the first time they did the planting, it looked like we did not get very many. Mr. Golgowski reviewed the plants with them, and it turned out they were putting four into one because they were small. Then you still only have one plant even if it was four when you planted it. If you have four small plants, plant them separately and individually, and they will grow and fill in that much sooner. Planting four plants as one is a labor-saving device for them to allow them to complete the job and collect their money faster. That did not help us at all.

A Resident stated I agree with Mr. Leeman.

Mr. Berube stated we are not spending \$15,000; let us be clear. We are discussing spending just under \$8,000.

Mr. Evans stated Mr. Leeman raises a good point about spending money for the sake of spending money. At the same time, are we looking to continually enhance the community? There is a balance between those two. I am hesitant to spend cash on anything. We have an obligation to continue to enhance the community and take care of the assets that are here. To do nothing is not necessarily taking care of the overall community and the assets, but there is a balance, and we do have to keep it in balance. We can say we will not do anything other than what we absolutely have to do, and then you become stagnant, or you can move forward and gradually implement a program over

time to enhance these ponds or any other aspect of the community. The points everyone raises are valid, but we have to find balance.

Mr. Berube stated I think we are in a balance. We are not contemplating planting all six residential ponds that we have. We started with one and expanded it to three because of how it worked out. If we were going overboard, then we would say we should plant all six ponds. Mr. Evans slowed us down a little last month and directed us to focus on a few and see where it brings us. I think that is where we are. Our proposal today has decreased tremendously from what we discussed last month.

Mr. LeMenager stated that is true, but it is still twice as much as we have been spending the last couple years. We have spent about \$3,000 each planting, and now we are talking about \$8,000. I have no problem with us investing \$3,000 or \$4,000 each year on continually upgrading or adding plants where they need it. I think Pond 33 is a good example of a pond that needs it. We are discussing spending 2.5 times more than we have in the past. While it is less than \$15,000, it is still \$8,000. I am in favor of continual long-term investments, but not \$8,000 a year.

Ms. Kassel stated I propose that we back things down a little from what we received in the proposal. I suggest we reduce the canna to half and reduce the bulrush as well. I am just concerned that we are going to be spending \$1,200 on bulrush and most of it will not do well here. I suggest we remove the duck potato in Ponds 32 and 33 since we do not need it; it seems to do well on its own. I suggest we reduce the bulrush on Ponds 32 and 33 and leave the canna and pickerelweed. With those reductions, we are not spending \$8,000 but still improving the community and giving color to the ponds and making the ecosystems in the ponds favorable for wildlife and aesthetics. Hopefully we will not need to treat the ponds as much with chemicals.

Mr. LeMenager stated I agree with that.

Mr. Berube stated I like the proposal as it is written. We asked Bio-Tech to review some areas, and I saw Mr. Golgowski and his assistant in the field reviewing all of this. Most of the time I agree with Mr. Golgowski's advice, and I like the proposal. I hear everyone's comments, but we continue to discuss this over and over.

Mr. Walls stated if the majority of the Board thinks Ponds 32 and 33 should be planted, I am fine with that. I hesitate to make adjustments to the proposal because I am not an expert in this field. If they say those plants will last and will be the proper plants

for the areas, they are the experts. We have a warranty on the plants. If they start to die, then we will address that with them. I am fine going ahead with the proposal as it is presented.

Mr. Qualls asked is this a proposal or a contract? If the District signs this proposal, will there be a contract to follow where we can include some terms to protect the District?

Mr. Medlin stated I believe the proposal constitutes a contract once it is signed.

Mr. Qualls stated I think we will want to review it a little more thoroughly and put in some terms favorable to the District.

Mr. Evans stated Mr. Qualls can negotiate the terms with Bio-Tech; the Board will just be considering the price in this proposal.

On MOTION by Mr. Berube, seconded by Mr. Walls, with Mr. Berube, Mr. Walls and Mr. Evans in favor, and Ms. Kassel and Mr. LeMenager against, approval was given to the aquatic planting proposal from Bio-Tech Consulting for items 1 and 2 in the amount of \$7,915, subject to review of terms and conditions of the agreement by legal counsel.

Mr. Berube asked is Mr. Medlin a biologist or a salesman?

Mr. Medlin stated I am a biologist.

#### iii. Buck Lake

Mr. Golgowski stated I am privileged to have working with me this summer Ms. Samantha Murphy, who is a high school senior at Harmony High School and is interning with the Development Company. One of her projects has been to plumb the depths of Buck Lake. She has produced this contour map of the bottom of the lake that I distributed to the Board. It is a good piece of information for fishermen in the community and for all of us in general. I will email it to Mr. Walls.

Ms. Kassel stated we appreciate this information.

Mr. LeMenager stated this looks great.

Mr. Berube asked did that happen with sonar or a stick? How do you do this?

Mr. Golgowski stated we took a weight on the end of a line and dropped it to the bottom and marked the feet. It was very labor intensive, but that is what interns do.

#### iv. No Fishing Signs

Mr. Golgowski stated you should have received by email some options for pricing on the signs. It has been amended to read "For your safety, fishing and swimming are prohibited. Either constitutes trespass." The signs will have rounded corners instead of sharp corners. They will be placed on more durable poles than what is included in the proposal, and they will cost about \$7.00 each, just a little more than the \$5.40 that was previously quoted. They will be concreted into the ground and will be placed about 500-foot increments, consistent with State law, as well as some of the typical access points, including the corners of the ponds. There will be 37 or 38 signs and the map shows suggested locations. The price of the sign is \$16 each.

Ms. Kassel asked what is the total price per sign when you include the concrete and labor?

Mr. Golgowski stated the labor will be done in-house and the concrete is about \$2.00 per sign, for a total of about \$25 per sign.

Mr. Berube stated that equates to about \$1,000 if we sign all the ponds, which I do not want to do.

Mr. LeMenager stated I am in agreement not signing all ponds. I think the sign is terrible. The purpose of the signs is to have people from St. Cloud and other areas stop fishing in the pond on Brackenfern. That is the one this project is really all about; it is the pond that residents have been complaining about.

Ms. Kassel stated I disagree.

Mr. LeMenager stated people are going to laugh at "for your safety."

Ms. Jeanna McGinnis stated people are urinating in my backyard. I am sick of it and I am tired of it. We need signs in that pond.

Mr. LeMenager stated I agree; I think it needs to be a stronger sign. It is too nice.

Mr. Berube stated I think I made that suggestion to add "for your safety" because many of our signs are less cutting or edgy than other signs. I do not like any of the signs, but if we are going to have them, Mr. Haskett does a nice job getting his point across with nice words. That was one of the reasons I suggested adding "for your safety." I did not want a blunt sign, especially if it shows up in areas like Lakeshore Park in Long Pond. There are signs all along there and there is traffic through this development looking at houses and land. If they see a big sign that says "fishing prohibited," I think that is rather blunt. I agree we have too many signs, but where are we going to put them?

Mr. Evans stated we have to try to resolve the problem. The people who are going to the ponds and trespassing do not care what the sign says. What we are concerned with is the enforcement when a deputy tells these people that they have been properly notified and the sign satisfies Statutes, so therefore he can discuss their trespassing issue with the violators. That will fix the problem.

Ms. McGinnis stated I do not care what is on the sign; there can be smiley faces on it, but there need to be signs.

Mr. Evans stated the only way we will cure the problem is to install the signs that will give the officers the authority to take action to fix the problem. That is our goal. I do not care if the signs are pink, or as Ms. McGinnis suggested, if there are smiley faces on them. That is not the problem. Most people know they should not be doing it. Most of the residents know they should not be doing that because they are trying to be courteous to their neighbors. The people who are coming in here and violating the rules could care less about the residents and the neighbors.

Mr. Berube asked do we sign all the ponds or just the one on Brackenfern?

Mr. Evans stated I think we sign them all. We adopted a rule that says there is no fishing in the ponds.

Ms. Kassel stated they will simply move from one pond to another. If a pond does not have a sign, they will assume they can fish there.

Ms. McGinnis stated every time we approach people in our backyard, they retort that there is no sign posted. We call the police and they come out but they say there is nothing they can do. The police will talk with them and ask them to leave. This is a huge problem, it happened twice last month where people were urinating in our backyard while we were trying to eat dinner so we called the police and spent over two hours waiting for these people to leave, and still not getting to eat dinner.

Mr. Berube stated we have no argument with you.

A Resident asked with the signs, does that mean the police can actually issue citations?

Mr. Evans stated yes.

The Resident stated I agree that all ponds should be signed.

Ms. Kassel stated I see other heads in the audience nodding, as well.

Mr. Walls stated I will not support any signs for the reasons I have stated in the past. I understand the concern that Ms. McGinnis and other residents have, and I sympathize with them. The fact is, we have heard from perhaps four families who live along these

ponds, and that pond on Brackenfern has at least 20 houses on it. My stance is going to be that we not install signs at every pond. If the Board wants to approve signs at the Brackenfern pond, that is fine, but I will not vote for any other signs.

Mr. Evans stated our first consideration is to order the signs. We have the option of two different sized signs: 10" x 12" or 12" x 18".

Mr. LeMenager stated in terms of enforceability, the size does not matter; the wording matters.

Ms. Kassel stated it is the size of the lettering that matters.

Mr. Golgowski stated that is correct.

Mr. LeMenager asked can we go with the smaller sign?

Ms. Kassel stated I do not see why we cannot choose the smaller sign.

Mr. Berube stated the impact between the two is not very different.

Mr. Evans stated all we want to be able to do is point to a sign and call a deputy to enforce the trespass. There is a \$100 difference for 37 signs.

Ms. Kassel stated I suggest we go with the smaller signs. I do not see why we would want to choose the bigger size because if we do not like having signs, then we should choose smaller signs if we need them. Plus it will save us money.

Mr. Golgowski stated the letters need to be one-inch tall, and they are on the bigger sign. I am not sure if one-inch letters will fit on the smaller sign. The key words are "no fishing or swimming."

Mr. Evans stated we know the larger signs will satisfy the legal requirements.

Ms. Kassel stated I thought the letters had to be two inches tall.

Mr. Qualls stated "trespass" is also a word that needs to be of the correct size.

Mr. Berube stated I have to believe the average deputy is not going to walk up to these signs with a ruler or tape measure in his pocket. He will see the sign and know if the language meets Statutory requirements.

Ms. Kassel stated we do not want to spend \$1,000 and run that risk. I think we need to do it right and get it done the first time.

Mr. Berube asked do we want to consider this today or next month?

Mr. Evans stated we can consider it now.

On MOTION by Ms. Kassel, seconded by Mr. Evans, with Ms. Kassel and Mr. Evans in favor, and Mr. Walls, Mr. Berube and Mr. LeMenager against, motion does not pass to order 37 signs measuring 12" x 18" along with the appropriate poles and hardware.

Mr. Evans asked you do not want to purchase the signs?

Mr. Berube stated not in that quantity. I agree with the signs but I do not want to install them at all the ponds.

A Resident asked may I eliminate my house from being signed?

Mr. Evans stated no. The issue is, the Board voted to say there is no fishing in the ponds. Now we are saying we do not want to follow through with it. If you build a road and you establish a speed limit on that road, you post that whole road, not just part of it and say it is fine to have a speed limit on this portion but not on the other portion. We should be consistent in our decision and our implementation of our policies and rules, and not pick and choose. You may not agree with the decisions that we make, but I think you should have comfort that we are trying to be consistent on how we implement those policies and decisions, and not try to show favoritism one way or the other toward any class or any group. That is a responsibility that we have as a Board to the landowners.

Ms. Kassel stated Mr. Sampson said that he saw people putting up a tent at Long Pond. We have seen people in the pond behind the dog park doing winch-water skiing. We have seen people bringing in boats in the ponds by the Estates. I understand you do not want signs everywhere, but there is no other way we can enforce this. There was one guy throwing bumpers in the pond for his dog, which is a training exercise, and an alligator was following him.

Mr. Walls stated these signs do not prohibit any of those things you just mentioned.

Mr. LeMenager stated that is a good point. I propose we sign Ponds 24 and 26. Those are the areas where we have ponds that back directly to houses. As has been mentioned quite often, those are the two target ponds where we have problems with enforcement. I think I have said consistently that I am in favor of signing ponds that are adjacent to people's homes.

Mr. Berube stated to respond to Mr. Evans's point about being consistent, we need to go back to the beginning of this rulemaking process. It started with people complaining

about Pond 24. The complaints have been consistent and we have been dealing with it for a year.

Ms. Kassel stated Pond 27 as well.

Mr. Berube stated I live near Pond 27, and I do see some things going on. I am torn with adding more signs.

Mr. Evans stated we can order all the signs and we can post them at the two ponds. If people start moving to the other ponds, then at least we have the signs and we can post them at that time.

Mr. Berube stated I am fine with that.

Mr. LeMenager stated I can support that.

Mr. Evans stated that way, we get the economies of scale by ordering all of them at once. Personally I think they are just going to move from one pond to another. When that happens, we will post the pond.

Ms. McGinnis asked why are we spending money installing plants? People are launching boats in some of these lakes. I do not understand that.

Mr. Berube stated we will order signs and post them as we need to.

Mr. Evans stated let us reconsider merely purchasing the signs.

Mr. Walls stated I would like it stated in the motion that this is not to install the signs.

Mr. Evans stated we will consider that separately.

Mr. LeMenager stated I prefer the smaller signs.

Ms. Kassel stated we do not know if they are compliant.

Mr. LeMenager stated we should answer that question.

On MOTION by Ms. Kassel, seconded by Mr. Evans, with Ms. Kassel, Mr. Berube and Mr. Evans in favor and Mr. Walls and Mr. LeMenager against, approval was given to purchase 37 signs measuring 12" x 18" along with the appropriate poles and hardware, in the amount of \$962.

Mr. Evans stated the next issue is where we want to install the signs.

A Resident stated not on Blue Stem.

Ms. Kassel stated other residents on Blue Stem have complained about people doing things in that pond.

Mr. Evans asked how long will it take before we receive the signs?

Mr. Golgowski stated it takes a couple weeks lead time.

Mr. Evans stated by the time of our next Board meeting, we will have these signs installed in which locations.

Mr. Berube stated Pond 24 is on Brackenfern and Pond 26 is on Blue Stem.

Ms. Kassel stated I would like to add Pond 27.

Mr. LeMenager stated I am opposed to signing Pond 27.

Mr. Berube stated I am also opposed to Pond 27, purely for the aesthetics.

Mr. LeMenager stated I agree.

Mr. Berube stated there is some attraction to Pond 24 and I do not know why that is.

Ms. McGinnis stated because it is secluded and you have to chase them down to the woods.

Mr. Hugo Colache stated I think you have had plenty of discussions about the signs. If you trespass with a boat or anything else besides fishing or swimming, those activities will still be illegal if you post the signs. If you do not put signs at all the ponds, you will move the problem from one place to another and you will spend all this valuable time arguing about what pond should be signed. You have the money to purchase the signs, this is the rule, and you need to post the signs at every pond and stop arguing about it.

Mr. Evans stated personally, I agree with you, but as a Board, we go through this mental exercise to get to an answer.

A Resident stated people who setup tents on ponds create an eyesore for the community.

Ms. Kassel stated it is also a security issue.

The Resident stated I have seen people driving their four-wheelers on the grass close to the lake.

Mr. LeMenager stated four flat tires will probably stop that activity.

Ms. McGinnis stated I should not have to resort to vigilante tactics to keep people away from my pond.

Mr. LeMenager stated I tend to agree, but I am also a pragmatist.

Mr. Evans stated we are purchasing all the signs. Installing them in which ponds is the issue facing us now.

Ms. Kassel stated I recommend Ponds 24 and 26.

On MOTION by Mr. LeMenager, seconded by Mr. Berube, with all in favor except Mr. Walls, approval was given to install the signs at Ponds 24 and 26.

## C. Dockmaster/Field Manager – Buck Lake Boat Use Report

Mr. Haskett reviewed the monthly boat report as contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Haskett stated Mr. Belieff has been coordinating the Fish Away program for kids on Thursday mornings during the summer so he is not able to be at today's meeting.

Mr. Berube stated Mr. Haskett did a nice job on the article in the newspaper.

Mr. Berube asked are we expanding the duties for Mr. Belieff during the day? It strikes me that sometimes he has a lot of free time.

Mr. Haskett stated since I am now overseeing both Mr. Belieff and Mr. Druckenmiller, I have been observing Mr. Belieff in his duties. He does things throughout the day besides working on the boats and at the dock. He washed the basketball court last week, so he is staying busier. The report on dock maintenance activities combines what Mr. Belieff and Mr. Druckenmiller are doing in one simple form. Mr. Druckenmiller finished the dog park pavilion that was renovated. All the foam accents that were there were removed and poured with solid concrete. Sin board was used as a PVC material that will not deteriorate. Hopefully we have resolved the problem with vandalism in that area. The next step is to complete the two pavilions along Cat Brier that have the same deterioration issues with the foam accents on the bases. He will touch those up and then move to Lakeshore Park, which will then be in fiscal year 2012.

Mr. Berube stated what Mr. Druckenmiller does is very detailed oriented, and when he is done, it looks very nice.

Mr. LeMenager stated he does a great job; it was a great idea hiring him.

Mr. Berube stated he might move a little slow, but he is detailed and he does not miss anything.

Mr. LeMenager stated he is terrific. I think we are very lucky having both gentlemen.

# FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

# **District Manager's Report**

#### **A. Financial Statements**

Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements, which are included in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Moyer stated for all practical purposes, we have collected our on-roll non-ad valorem assessments. We are a little short but that will be received yet this month or in August. We are about \$47,000 under budget with our expenses.

Mr. LeMenager stated on page 13, the cash and investment report, shows that for the most part, we are not making anything on our money, which is understandable at today's interest rates. I did note the CD we purchased on which we are earning 1.75%, but I also notice it is only \$127,000. One of our reserves is first-quarter operating expenses, which we are setting up for the long term because we know that at some point in the future when the developer is no longer paying monthly, we will have a gap at the beginning of the fiscal year before assessments are collected from the tax collector. Those future years are probably four or five years away. I wonder if we can increase the funds on deposit closer to \$250,000 where we set the reserve? That will provide us with some interest income. That is money we are setting aside for the long term; we do not need it for our first-quarter operations for five or six years.

Mr. Moyer stated as long as you understand if the District has a hurricane, you will lose a lot of money if you withdraw it early.

Mr. LeMenager stated my point is, we have \$700,000 in total reserves. There is \$127,000 on long-term deposit now and I would like to see that number be higher. One of the key reserves we setup is for first-quarter operating expenses, and that is not something we think we will need to use for many years. It would be great if the town took off but as it looks currently, we are probably four or five years away before we even have to think about cash flow in the first quarter.

Mr. Moyer stated what the investment staff has done is to layer these monies so the 0.3% will mature and rollover into a higher-producing investment. The same thing will happen with the 2.85% investment. It will roll forward on a 30-day, 60-day or 90-day basis. We can certainly look at that.

Mr. LeMenager stated I understand what you are saying. I think we discussed how much should be in the first-quarter operating reserve, but that is money that we will not need for several years.

Mr. Moyer stated the only thing we have been reluctant to do is invest extremely long term due to the risk of no longer having inflation and very low interest rates. Financial advisors will tell you not to go out five years because if the interest rate is 6% in five years, you are losing money on your investment.

- Mr. LeMenager stated I am not suggesting five-year instruments. I am suggesting more of these 15-month investments where we are achieving a higher return.
  - Mr. Moyer stated we will look into it.
- Mr. Berube stated page 1 of the balance sheet, we show a deposit of \$1,250 for pool keys. Where is that money? Have we thought about what happens when people start asking for their money back? Do we have a plan?
- Mr. Haskett stated when it says deposit, that is only in the books. When people pay for the key, they are paying for a key and it does not say "deposit" on the form they fill out. So there are no returns.
  - Mr. Berube asked where is the \$1,250?
- Mr. Moyer stated it will show up in cash and investments. They classify it as a liability but we have not spent the money. As an asset, it will be cash or part of an investment.
- Mr. Berube stated when the welcome center collected \$25 for the keys, it did not go to a lockbox but it got transferred to the CDD.
  - Mr. Moyer stated that is correct.
  - Mr. Evans asked why is it classed as a liability?
- Mr. Moyer stated if the interpretation is that we have to pay it back, then it is a liability.
  - Ms. Kassel stated but it is not a liability, so we can remove it.
  - Mr. Moyer stated that is correct.
  - Mr. LeMenager stated our attorney might want to confirm we can do that.
- Mr. Qualls stated I will check the form, but if it is not a deposit, then it is not a deposit. The form would trump whatever the balance sheet says.

#### B. Invoice Approval #135 and Check Run Summary

Mr. Moyer reviewed the invoices and check summary, which are included in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours, and requested approval.

On MOTION by Ms. Kassel, seconded by Mr. Berube, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the invoices as presented.

- Mr. LeMenager stated there is an invoice to Hydrocom Technologies in the amount of \$1,892. Was that a budgeted amount or an unexpected repair?
  - Ms. Kassel stated we discussed that last month; it was to replace a controller.
- Mr. Berube stated this check run summary should match up with the invoices we received via email.
  - Mr. Moyer stated that is correct.
- Mr. Berube stated I review the website posting of invoices and I do not recall seeing any Young vanAssenderp invoices in that package.
  - Ms. Kassel stated that would have been for the previous month.

# C. Comments and Questions on the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2012

- Mr. Moyer reviewed the proposed budget for fiscal year 2012 as contained in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.
- Mr. LeMenager stated I thought we had agreed on the allocation of fund balances as shown on page 9. These three numbers for the assigned fund balances for the operating reserve, insurance reserve and renewal and replacement reserve do not change from draft to draft. I thought we agreed at our last meeting that we were going to change those amounts, especially the reserve for renewal and replacement. I thought we were increasing that number.
  - Mr. Moyer stated I might not have picked that up in the minutes. We will look at that.
- Ms. Kassel stated we also asked you to look into what will happen to our premium if we increased our insurance deductible to \$100,000.
  - Mr. Moyer stated I made that request and did not receive an answer.
  - Mr. Evans asked when is our budget hearing?
  - Mr. Moyer stated your next meeting.
- Mr. Berube stated last month we talked about potentially building a sidewalk and adding a shade structure to the playground. Is this where we consider those items?
- Mr. LeMenager stated if you want to include them in the budget, this is the time to bring them up.
- Mr. Evans stated there was no real consensus on the sidewalk, but it sounded like everyone was leaning in favor of the shade structure.
  - Ms. Kassel stated I was not.

- Mr. LeMenager stated I was not, either.
- Mr. Evans stated I must have misunderstood and will have to review the minutes again.
  - Mr. Berube stated the consensus seemed positive to me, also.
  - Mr. Evans stated it was well received but no action was taken.
  - Mr. Walls stated I like the shade structure, pending a reasonable cost.
  - Mr. Berube stated I sent a request to Mr. Haskett to price that out.
- Mr. Haskett stated I do not have anything in writing, but based on other shade structures that we have purchased, it could be between \$12,000 and \$18,000 to cover the playground equipment.
  - Ms. Kassel stated we have a shade structure at the school.
- Mr. LeMenager stated we also have at least two small shade canopies in a couple of areas.
- Mr. Evans stated depending on the remaining invoices for the year, we will have \$40,000 at the end of the fiscal year, which will apply to carry-forward surplus. A projected carry-forward surplus has not been calculated into our budget numbers for fiscal year 2012.
  - Mr. Moyer stated that is correct. The surplus for this year will fall to fund balance.
- Mr. Evans stated if that is the case, if that is something you want to do, we do not need to include it in the fiscal year 2012 budget. We could act on it at the next Board meeting and it can be accomplished in this fiscal year.
- Mr. LeMenager stated I would like to hear from more parents, similar to what we did with the swing set. Mr. Haskett's office sent an e-blast to ask if people were interested in having a swing set. We received enough positive feedback from the community, so the Board installed one and it has turned out to be a wonderful idea. I think we should ask the community again if they think it is worthwhile to spend \$12,000 to \$18,000 to put the playground equipment under a shade structure.
- Ms. Kassel stated everyone will probably say they are in favor of putting up a shade structure. But at a cost of \$12,000 to \$18,000, are you still willing to install a shade structure? We need to include that information. When we asked about the swing set, we did not include the cost of \$4,000 to \$6,000 for two swings. Of course everyone will respond that they are in favor of swings. I think we need to put it to the residents in a way

that allows us to make the decision. They should know that if they are in favor of it, there is an accompanying cost.

Mr. Berube stated if you go to that playground, which I do with my granddaughter quite frequently, it is virtually unusable most of the day.

Ms. Kassel asked what about the one at the school? In the summertime, no one uses it.

Mr. Berube stated people do not go there. Technically we are not supposed to be on school property. Plus we want to encourage people to enjoy our facilities. We are the governmental agency that is responsible for the public facilities, and governments improve the aesthetics and facilities for the people who live here. During the day, you will get burned on most of the equipment.

Mr. Evans stated I agree with all of you. I think it is a great suggestion because it increases the usability of the facilities that we already have and it is also a safety element for kids using the facilities.

Mr. Berube stated if you go to Lakeshore Park in St. Cloud, they have a playground about the size of ours with a shade structure.

Mr. Evans stated with that in mind, we are in a position where we could approve this item at the next Board meeting. It will be an evening meeting so if Mr. Haskett wants to send an e-blast that this is one of the things that we are considering at the August meeting, he can let them know that we are planning to have a price quote on various sizes or offer prices in a various range, so that it is more definitive.

Mr. Haskett stated I can send that e-blast.

Mr. Evans stated we can move that along pretty quickly and have a definitive action. The other element we discussed was extending the sidewalks.

Ms. Kassel stated the same e-blast can address that, as well.

Mr. Evans stated I can see doing that easier for the shade structure because it is something you can relate to and envision. It is for this Board to make these decisions, not to solicit input on every decision we need to make. That is what we were elected to do.

Mr. Berube asked do you mean in reference to the sidewalk?

Mr. Evans stated yes, it is a physical improvement. I value the input from residents, but it still comes back to the fact that we need to be able to make those decisions. I heard

both sides of the advantages and disadvantages and the comparison in relationship to the cost.

Ms. Kassel stated Mr. Berube indicated it would be \$15,000 to \$18,000, not \$12,000 to \$18,000.

Mr. Berube stated they physical sidewalk itself should cost \$12,000. Mr. Boyd said with the current business climate, it will probably be less than that. You need to figure that there will be other costs, including engineering. That area has a slope to it, so there will need to be some fill. I am sure we will want to improve it; we will not want to just install 1,000 feet of sidewalk and leave it that way. We will need some trees and landscaping, so I am figuring more than the quote, so I added 50% to his number. That is why I increased it to \$15,000 to \$18,000. I would be more comfortable saying that price range, because things never get cheaper than what you anticipate; they are always more. If we are going to allocate money, then let us figure \$18,000.

Mr. Haskett stated in 2005 or 2006, there was a Lakeshore Park Phases 1, 2 and 3 plan accomplished by the engineer, which we still have the plans for. Those plans show a meandering sidewalk, not just a straight sidewalk parallel to the road, and that was considered Phase 2 in front of Buck Lane and across from Ms. Kassel's home. It meanders closer to the pond and wraps around the pond with two bridges at the narrowing of that pond. In the grand planning, that has already been thought out and it is all a great idea. Before you act on this item, I will bring the plan to you or ask Mr. Boyd to provide it to you. We already paid for the plans.

Mr. LeMenager stated we are not talking about acting on it but we are discussing whether or not this is something we want to consider for the budget. There is a certain irony that we just voted to spend \$4,000 to install plants to make the pond look better and now we are considering installing a sidewalk and trees in front of it, which will hide the view of the pond.

Mr. Berube stated the tree-lined streets do not infringe on the view since those trees are pretty high.

Mr. LeMenager stated I understand. If this was actually planned, it is worthwhile looking at it. There is no more capital money to do this project because the capital funds left are from the Series 2004 bonds, which is for the other side of the golf course. There is no capital money to implement the final phase, but if it was part of the initial plan, let us

take a look at it. I like that idea having bridges and the things Mr. Haskett mentioned. If you planned it out but never completed it, it sounds like a good idea to complete.

Mr. Berube stated I think we can make this happen somehow.

Mr. Evans stated Mr. Haskett will provide a better understanding for the shade structure as well as an overall enhancement program and some estimates of those costs.

Mr. Haskett stated I will have those for the next meeting.

Mr. Evans stated it can be for various stages or it can be for the whole plan. I think that enhancement would be utilized quite a bit.

Mr. Haskett stated it definitely will be.

Mr. Walls stated I would like to get affirmative or legal verification that we cannot use the remaining capital money for this project. As a budget manager, this screams capital project to me.

Mr. LeMenager stated there is no question that it is a capital project.

Mr. Berube stated the Series 2004 bonds were for the eastern portion of Harmony.

Mr. Evans stated when they issued the Series 2001 bonds, they detailed the improvements that those bond monies were going to be funding. The Series 2004 bonds detailed other improvements in certain geographical areas that those monies were going to be funding. We need to review those details. There may be an argument that because that pond benefits all landowners, and the sidewalk improves that pond, since it is not an enhancement of the infrastructure just in phase 1 but an enhancement of a common facility, we might be able to justify using those capital funds for this project. I want to look into that a little more.

Ms. Kassel asked how much is left?

Mr. LeMenager stated \$62,799.

Mr. Moyer stated if that is the desire of the Board, we may need to amend the engineer's report for the Series 2004 bonds.

Mr. LeMenager stated if the plan was for these improvements, then this could well be the last project that we do with the existing capital funds.

Mr. Berube stated I am not advocating spending the money simply because we have it. I just thought it would be nice to add the sidewalk to connect the two ends, but I like the plan Mr. Haskett described.

# D. Public Comments/Communication Log

Mr. Moyer reviewed the complaint log as contained in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

# E. Website Statistics

Mr. Moyer reviewed the website statistics as contained in the agenda package and available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

#### FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### **Staff Reports**

#### A. Attornev

- Mr. Qualls stated I distributed an email to the Board updating you on the changes to the law.
  - Mr. LeMenager asked basically we are pretty well covered?
- Mr. Qualls stated for the most part, that is my understanding. The law does not go into effect until October 1, 2011. I will work with the District Manager to make sure we are in compliance.
  - Mr. LeMenager stated it sounds like those are all practices that we do anyway.
  - Mr. Qualls stated I believe so.
- Ms. Kassel stated I emailed Mr. Moyer and Ms. Burgess to ask if we are already doing these things now. Ms. Burgess responded that we are posting the budget at least two days prior to the meeting but she did not mention anything about the other new requirements.
- Mr. Qualls stated I will work with the District Manager to be sure all of those items are being implemented by October 1, 2011.

#### **B.** Engineer

There being nothing to report, the next order of business followed.

#### C. Developer

# i. Discussion on the Concept Landscape Improvements for the West Entrance at Five Oaks Drive

Mr. Haskett stated I distributed some pictures that I created on Photoshop showing the before and after ideas for some enhancements as recommended by Mr. Walls and Mr. LeMenager.

- Ms. Kassel stated it looks very nice, very realistic.
- Mr. LeMenager stated I think we are growing the crape myrtles way too tall. There is one in the park behind my home that is bigger than the oak tree. These are very nice to scale, but at some point, I think they will totally hide that feature there.

- Mr. Haskett stated we will make them the appropriate size.
- Mr. Berube stated keep in mind it is just an artist's concept.
- Mr. Haskett stated there are three eagleston hollies at the Swim Club as part of the security enhancements. They are about 10 feet tall. That is what I proposed behind the crape myrtles as a buffer. The reason I am presenting this is if you want to invest some money in that entrance, this plan would cost about \$7,000 to do both sides.
- Mr. Berube stated last year, we started with Luke Brothers on a four-phase planter bed project, and I think we got the first two phases complete.
- Ms. Kassel stated we stopped because they were so behind and we wanted them to catch up on the things that they are contracted to do. We did not finish the plan. We need to make a new plan because things have changed since that time.
- Mr. Berube stated we have a lot of areas that are just brown mulch, such as the traffic circle at the end of Five Oaks. There are a number of areas like that where we can use some color. I do not mean annuals, but some type of enhancement. We had a plan last year and Ms. Kassel did a good job starting the plan.
  - Ms. Kassel stated it was more than a year ago; it was 2009.
- Mr. Berube stated my point is that we are going into a new fiscal year and a little color would be appreciated in those brown mulch areas.
- Mr. Haskett stated I understand what you are saying. With that in mind, my thought is if you want to approve an amount of \$7,000 to do something like this, I can get proposals together and bring them to you.
  - Ms. Kassel asked what is the linear footage of this area?
- Mr. Haskett stated about 23 to 25 feet between the columns, for a total of about 50 feet.
- Mr. LeMenager stated I noticed all the sod work that was done. Who will receive the bill for that work?
- Mr. Haskett stated the Development Company paid for all the sod that was replaced out there for about \$30,000.
  - Mr. LeMenager stated it looks so much better.
  - Mr. Berube stated you installed it at the right time because then it started to rain.
  - Ms. Kassel asked did the developer install that on CDD property?

Mr. Haskett stated it is actually the right-of-way for FDOT. Since the wildflowers did not look very good, we installed sod and livened it up.

Ms. Kassel stated thank you.

Mr. Berube stated I think this goes along with Mr. LeMenager's comment last month about the wildflowers and the sod and the dirt fields. I like enhancing the entrance because it is the first thing people see when they turn off U.S. 192. I think this is a nice enhancement.

Mr. LeMenager stated Mr. Walls may be in favor of more "bling" as you have shown in these drawings, but I think it is a little tall.

Mr. Haskett stated it does not have to be this specific plan.

Mr. LeMenager stated you can work out some ideas. I am not certain that I really want to hide the architectural details in the fence behind there. Clearly now it is plain grass and I am thinking of something that gives it more color and more pop. I am not sure we need something tall that will hide what is behind it.

Mr. Haskett stated the difference between the Harmony Square Drive entrance and the Five Oaks entrance is that Five Oaks does not have the nice background of all the pines. There are some substantial magnolia trees that provide different color textures. That is what makes the Harmony Square Drive entrance stand out. At Five Oaks, there is similar plant material but it is not nearly as mature since they were planted four or five years apart.

Mr. Walls stated I asked for this because, as Mr. LeMenager said, it is pretty plain out there and there are really only one or two types of plant material in that planter bed. I would like to see something that has color and frames the entrance. This is the first thing people see coming into the community and you want it to pop a little. Perhaps pull out a couple crepe myrtles from either end so they do not hide the architecture. I like the fact that what Mr. Haskett provided gives some color to that area and frames the entrance. That is what I would like to see.

Mr. Berube stated it sounds like we are all in agreement to spend \$7,000 to enhance that entrance.

Mr. LeMenager stated Mr. Haskett can provide some proposals at the next meeting and we will all probably vote in favor of it.

Ms. Kassel stated it just seems like \$3,500 for a 25-foot span is a lot of money.

# ii. Update on the Access Card Registration Process

Mr. Haskett stated the process is going well. We have had 476 residents register, which is good. I want to thank Ms. Rosemary Tschinkel from the District office, who has been a great help. She has been with me each Saturday that we had people register. Last Saturday we registered 230 people. We will be at Lakeshore Park on August 2, 2011, in conjunction with the evening event. People can pick up their cards and register for new cards. We are also working with people so they can send all their information via email and we can work off a camera phone picture so they do not have to drive to Celebration.

Ms. Kassel stated that is great. If they email the information, then you will mail their cards to them?

Mr. Haskett stated yes, we will either mail it or they can pick them up at the sales gallery. Mr. Tome is fine with the first onset of 400 cards flowing out of the sales gallery. After that, we will have to consider something else, even if I need to have Mr. Druckenmiller or Mr. Belieff deliver them. The sales gallery is really for sales.

Mr. LeMenager stated clearly the solution of having new residents drive to Celebration is not that positive. One thing could be as simple as having the District office send someone here once a month but if you want your card faster than that, then you need to drive to Celebration. We could perhaps designate the fourth Saturday as access card registration day. We do need some solution so people do not have to drive to Celebration.

Mr. Haskett stated we told people they can utilize the email option, which has worked out great for all of us.

Ms. Kassel asked what percentage of residents have registered?

Mr. Haskett stated I was basing it on the number of registered voters, and at the last count, I believe there were 423.

Ms. Kassel stated we are over 600.

Mr. Haskett stated based on the number of people flowing through the door, we are probably 75%.

Mr. LeMenager stated you estimated 1,200. Where are we in relation to that figure?

Mr. Haskett stated you would be surprised. There are a lot of adults who bring their kids but do not want their kids to have cards. They do not want their kids to have access to Buck Lake, even though they are of age. We have registered only about three kids over the age of 12, which surprised me. There are a lot of responsible parents in Harmony.

Mr. LeMenager stated that is why we did it that way.

Ms. Kassel stated that is excellent.

Mr. Berube asked do we have any glitches in the program? Have there been any issues with bad identification or lack of a lease? Do we need to modify our requirements?

Mr. Haskett stated the only issue we have been running into are leases that have expired and the tenants are living month to month, or they never had a lease and they are living month to month. What we told them, based upon the rules that are in place, (1) they really should protect themselves and get a lease and (2) each month if they plan on staying in Harmony, they need to contact the District office and say they are still here and show some type of evidence that they are still a resident. Otherwise, they will not get a card. That is the only way we can monitor the whole program and keep their cards active. Otherwise we will have cards all over St. Cloud and Holopaw again.

Mr. Berube stated therein lies the problem. What if people move to Holopaw and call the District office to say they are still a Harmony resident?

Mr. Haskett stated that problem will work itself out because if they are renting and a new tenant rents that house, then all cards will be deactivated until someone shows proof of residency.

Mr. Berube asked the identifier for the cards is the address, not necessarily a name?

Mr. Haskett stated that is correct.

Mr. Berube asked even though they do not have a lease, we are still looking at their driver's license and other proof with a Harmony address?

Mr. Haskett stated yes, it all has to match up.

Ms. Kassel asked can we request that they obtain a letter from their landlord saying that they approve extending the cards to the tenants for three months or six months or however long?

Mr. Berube asked how do we verify the letter?

Mr. Haskett stated we can address that when it happens.

Mr. LeMenager stated we have given the District office the authority to use their judgment.

Mr. Berube stated we need to discuss what date we will no longer have keys.

Mr. Haskett stated I was going to base that on Tuesday night's attendance as to how many more get registered. I would like to have it operational by the middle of August.

Mr. Berube stated our first registration opportunity was Flag Day.

- Mr. Haskett stated there have been three opportunities so far.
- Ms. Kassel stated July 9, July 23, and August 2, 2011.
- Mr. Berube stated the first date was June 14 in conjunction with Flag Day, which is when I obtained my card.
  - Mr. LeMenager stated that was a soft opening.
- Mr. Berube stated if we apply 60 days to the first registration opportunity, that would make it August 14, 2011.
- Mr. LeMenager stated the first registration that was announced was July 9, 2011. That would make it September 9, 2011.
  - Ms. Kassel stated that will be after Labor Day.
  - Mr. Haskett stated that is fine. We will go with September 9, 2011.

#### iii. Sidewalks

- Mr. Haskett stated Mr. Boyd prepared a sidewalk evaluation study about this time last year, and I have been reviewing it and marking some areas with yellow paint. I am marking some trip hazards and Mr. Druckenmiller will grind some of those down. There are also some sidewalk replacements that I have noticed, particularly where there are sycamore trees. I will provide information on those repairs next month.
- Ms. Kassel stated when we prepared the budget, we discussed that we were not anticipating doing much with sidewalks this year. We may want to revisit that.
  - Mr. Haskett stated we are performing the work in-house.
- Mr. Berube stated we typically spend more money on the engineering reports than we do on the sidewalk repairs.
- Mr. LeMenager asked who is responsible for that chunk of sidewalk that was removed by the water problem?
  - Mr. Haskett stated Toho Water Authority, and it was replaced yesterday.

# SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisor Requests

- Ms. Kassel stated I wanted to know what was going on with the grass on U.S. 192 and who decided and approved the spending, but that is now a moot point.
- Mr. Berube stated last month in our budget workshop, we discussed refinishing the pool. Is that to refinish all the walls of the pool and the tiles? Or is it just the tiles?
- Mr. Haskett stated it is to refinish everything. The tile has to be done but if you only do the tile, it doesn't work because the tile is embedded into the finish. It all needs to be

done at once. I received a second proposal although it is not in writing, that came to \$29,000, which includes the kiddie wading pool.

Mr. Berube stated most of the surface of the pool is in relatively good shape. There are some burned areas but I could not see replacing everything. Then it dawned on me that they will probably damage the surface when they replace the tile.

Mr. Haskett stated anytime you refinish the pool, it is considered a modification with the State. The skimmer is at a 1-degree angle and it is supposed to be at 2 degrees pursuant to the new Statutes, and that is where some of the costs are coming in. I am looking at that with different bidders.

#### SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Audience Comments

A Resident asked will anything be done on the outside deck with how hot the pavers get?

- Ms. Kassel stated I suggest you wear flip flops.
- Mr. Berube stated even if you put Cool Deck on it, it will still get pretty hot.

A Resident asked do the cameras work at the Ashley Park pool or when they will be working?

- Mr. Haskett stated the cameras do work and they work quite well.
- Ms. Kassel asked can residents access them? Who accesses them and how?

Mr. Haskett stated if the Board approves residents having access, there are certain levels of access that you can grant with the security cameras. You can allow a resident to see if their kids are playing well at the pool simply through a logon via the website. There are different security levels that should only be given to staff and the Board to manipulate the cameras and zoom.

- Mr. Berube asked is this a result of the internet that BrightHouse just hooked up?
- Mr. Haskett stated yes.
- Mr. Berube asked do you need our approval for now for residents to have access?
- Mr. Haskett stated no, I will bring that to you next month.

A Resident stated you could have a pervert watching the cameras at the pool if residents have access.

Mr. Berube stated they could also stand at the fence and stare at people at the pool or stand at their window with binoculars.

The Resident stated if they are doing that at the pool, you would notice it but if they are in their house, you would not.

Mr. LeMenager stated we are not talking about giving them access to the functionality of the cameras, just parents being able to check on their kids from a remote site.

Mr. Leeman asked will we have both cards and keys until September 9 or do we need to keep the key until September 9?

Mr. Haskett stated you need to keep your key.

Mr. Leeman asked the cards will not actually work until September 9?

Mr. Haskett stated that is correct.

Mr. Leeman asked will there be an assessment increase with the next budget?

Mr. Evans stated no.

Mr. Leeman stated I would like to thank Mr. LeMenager and Ms. Kassel for voting against spending \$8,000 on pond plantings. I want to say to Mr. Berube that I am very unhappy with your attitude that the Board "runs" this community. You do not "run" this place; you manage the infrastructure as our representative. You are supposed to listen to us. You are not the deciders. You sound like a Congressman when you say you are not spending \$15,000 but only \$8,000 so we should be happy, when in reality the increase is double instead of quadruple. I am not happy with that increase. If you kept it at the \$3,000 level we have spent every year, that would have been acceptable. I would like you to keep in mind, and this applies to all the residential Supervisors, that you actually are our representatives and you are supposed to keep that in mind when you are making the decisions that you make. I do not really expect that from Mr. Evans since he is the developer's representative and he has different interests to represent. You resident Supervisors are our representatives, and you were not elected to be a "boss." You were elected to follow our wishes and do the things that are best for us, not because you are our parents but because it is what we think is best for us. That is how you responded with the No Fishing signs. You responded to residential ideas and that is good. To say you know what is best and you are the deciders, that is not acceptable to me.

A Resident asked when is it scheduled to prune the trees?

Ms. Kassel asked are you talking about what we did last year when we pruned all the trees on the main boulevards?

Harmony CDD July 28, 2011

The Resident stated no, the pruning up to 10 feet.

Ms. Kassel asked are you referring to trees on the interior streets?

The Resident stated yes.

Mr. Haskett stated those are the responsibility of the home owners. The CDD does not maintain that landscape utility tract; the CDD only maintains the trees on the boulevards on a bi-annual basis.

The Resident stated I thought the CDD was going to trim them below 10 feet. I know you did it in the past.

Mr. Haskett stated just on the areas that we currently maintain, which is on the main boulevards.

Mr. LeMenager stated I have commented in the past that it is not a bad idea and you are correct that we did trim them once. I did say years ago that I would like the CDD to take a more active maintenance role on our easement in the interior streets.

Ms. Kassel stated we received a quote from Luke Brothers to perform that work for all the interior streets.

Mr. Berube stated we have money set aside for tree trimming. In next year's budget, we can make the decision if we want to trim the interior streets.

# EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

The next meeting will be Thursday, August 25, 2011, at 6:00 p.m.

	The meeting adjourned at 11:30 a.m.			
Gary L. Moyer, Secretary		Robert D. Ev	Robert D. Evans, Chairman	