

MINUTES OF MEETING HARMONY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Harmony Community Development District was held on Thursday, November 18, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. at the Jones Model Home, 3285 Songbird Circle, St. Cloud, Florida 34773

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Teresa Kramer	Chairperson
Daniel Leet	Vice Chairperson
Steve Berube	Assistant Secretary
Kerul Kassel	(via ZOOM) Assistant Secretary
Mike Scarborough	(via ZOOM) Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Angel Montagna	District Manager
Gerhard van der Snel	Field Supervisor
Tim Qualls	District Counsel
David Hamstra	District Engineer
Pete Betancourt	Servello
Brett Perez	Field Director
Julie Yevich	Assistant District Manager

CHAIRWOMAN OPENING REMARKS

Supv Kramer: Hello, welcome, everyone to the Harmony CDD November monthly meeting. Welcome to everybody in the audience and all our Board members. Two of our members, Supv Kassel and Supv Scarborough, are appearing by Zoom with us, so they are in that location.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Supv Kramer: *Called the meeting to order and called the roll.*

The record will reflect a full Board, with a quorum present locally.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

AUDIENCE COMMENTS

Supv Kramer: I want to thank everyone for coming out. The first section on our agenda is called audience comments. This is a chance for our audience members to come before the Board and use a maximum of three minutes to let the Board know of any items that you have concerns over or interested in, and your thoughts. We ask you to limit it to three minutes. This is, your time to give to the Board, and not a back and forth for us to argue with you. Do we have any audience requests?

Ms. Montagna: No, I did not get any via email.

Supv Kramer: Is anybody here in the audience that's interested in speaking? Yes sir, If you'll come up, and we do have a mic, if you want to use it. We record all of our meetings and then they do the minutes, the near-verbatim minutes, from those.

Tom Varacky: Okay. My name is Tom Varacky. I live in South Lake; 3470 Sagebrush. I have a couple questions. One is on the new dog park. It looks lovely, but it looks like one area. I'm just wondering if it will ever be split for big and little.

Supv Kramer: My understanding is that it was going to be a single dog park area. So the plan was just to have it, one single dog park area. If we find it's getting a lot of use, and the residents want to split it, then we could consider that option, depending on where we could set a fence.

Tom Varacky: Okay. I noticed it was, it appears to have been set up that way, with two entrances.

Supv Kramer: We do have two separate entrances to give us some versatility.

Tom Varacky: Just one more, and it's the landscaping. And I've already talked with Gerhard about it. I'm concerned on Five Oaks, the backside across from the South Lake Clubhouse, is the proliferation of dollarweed. He gave a plausible explanation. I'm still concerned because once that grabs ahold, it's almost impossible to get rid of, so, you know, whatever can be done about that, I'd appreciate it. Thank you much.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Thank you. Any other members of the audience? Yes, mam.

Nancy Snyder: Nancy Snyder, 7023 Five Oaks Drive. I'm wondering what the cameras at both entrances were used for?

Supv Kramer: I'm sorry. Do you have some comments about them, Nancy?

Nancy Snyder: No, I just wondered, what we got them for, what they're used for.

Supv Kramer: They were originally put in when we had, I guess, it was last year, when we had the theft throughout the neighborhood, we had a number of car burglaries, and that's why they were put in. They are not functioning at this point in time, and we will be addressing that in the near future.

Nancy Snyder: Okay. Thank you.

Supv Kramer: Anyone else? Yes, sir.

Jerry Mick: My name is Jerry Mick. I'm at 3449 Middlebrook Place, and my question is concerning the ponds. When we bought a piece of property, backside faces on a pond and the golf course. Nice views, that's why we bought it. In the past, twice a year, and then sometimes once a year, it was cut back to 8, 10, 12 inches, whatever. And it would grow up to about two, three feet, and then be trimmed. It hasn't been cut in two years and it's now over my head. The view is shrinking daily, can hardly see the golf course from it, and I just wonder what your plan is on these... these ponds.

Supv Kramer: Servello should be starting their biannual, or they do it twice a year, cutting of those edges, and those will be monitored to make sure that all the ponds are cut this year. Unfortunately, your developer did not put in a littoral zone, which is moderate-size plants that never grow up that high, and so we are battling that issue.

Jerry Mick: Yes, they do grow. They certainly do grow.

Supv Berube: You got to remember, just for a second, the reason that that buffer zone is there is to catch stuff running off the grass, primarily nutrients from getting in the pond, so it's working. The stuff is growing and getting the nutrient and it's keeping it out of the pond. So, it's plus and minus, right?

Jerry Mick: I have no problem with the buffer zone. I see that. Even some of the neighbors with small kids have said to me it's getting a little dangerous, we don't know if there's a gator laying in there.

Supv Kramer: Well, they should be out shortly to trim that.

Supv Leet: To speak to that, if it's 3449, you said, that pond behind your house there, that's actually a golf course pond. There is no CDD property between the residential properties on that side of Middlebrook and the golf course, so while we do have our... we're working with our Servello team, mowing the ponds that do have direct access for... And also, in the past, I know we've done maintenance with the golf course, but as of right now, I believe that is completely on the golf course for maintaining.

Jerry Mick: I was always told that was the CDD.

Supv Berube: There are a number that are not.

Supv Kramer: Okay. I don't want to get your three minutes... get sucked up by the rest of us.

Supv Leet: During the landscaping portion...

Supv Kramer: If you can hang on, then we'll see. Any other audience comments at this time? Hearing none, we'll proceed with our agenda.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

SUBCONTRACTOR REPORTS

A. SERVELLO

- LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE

Supv Kramer: Next up on our agenda is our subcontractor's reports. Servello?

Mr. Betancourt: It's going to be brief today. Addressing the ponds, my guys did do the ponds over here at Lakes of Harmony. They weed-eated only up to the pond's edge. If there's any cattails, overgrowth that's already in the pond, that will be –

Supv Kramer: You have to do a treatment.

Mr. Betancourt: Yeah, that will be –

Supv Kramer: Thank you for letting us know.

Supv Berube: When you say, "Weed eat", that's not typically what the cutting of that buffer zone has been. It's typically been cutting everything back to eight inches or so. We're on a different program now?

Mr. Betancourt: No. Our mower can't get that close, the bat wing. If I get it that close, there's two possibilities. I'll end up in the pond, which is not good, or my mower will get sucked, which is not good. The only truck that could pull it out is a 4x4, which is currently being serviced. So I have the guys go in with the weed eaters, up to the edge of the pond, the water's edge, and cut it down at least to about this high. It's about six to eight inches. That will be happening in December, because this week my guys concentrated on doing the pine needle, the mulching of the pine needle. Which is finished. Next week, I will not be here. But they will continue doing some detail. The following week, which is the last week of November, we'll be mowing.

Supv Kramer: So there will be more pond mowing then?

Mr. Betancourt: On that week, I'll send two guys to go do the ponds, which are by the dog park on the left-hand side, the one behind the school, the two over at the Estates, and the big one.

Supv Kramer: At the lakefront. All right.

Mr. Betancourt: That's all I got today.

- **SERVELLO PROPOSALS**

Supv Kramer: There are... are... and I'm not sure what order you want to do this. There are two Servello proposals. Would you like us to do those while you're here? Then you can takeoff.

Mr. Betancourt: Sure.

Supv Kramer: Without objection, we'll look at these two proposals. They were in your agenda packet; page 81 and page 83. The first one has several locations. It's to add bedding plants to Five Oaks east roundabout, southwest corner of Town Square, and Five Oaks, across from the townhomes. Any discussion from the Board on these... this proposal?

- **{SIDE TOPIC}**

Supv Berube: I appreciate the fact that we're trying to spruce the place up, but... and I'm going back to something I've been yacking about for a couple of years, off and on... we have hundreds, if not thousands, of square feet of sod that is in terrible condition along Cat Brier, Five Oaks, and Schoolhouse. Some of it is actually dead. There are a few houses there along Five Oaks where there is no sod left in the front. And we've talked about this over, and over, and over again. I don't know what the answer is, but I think we need to bring it to a head because when you ride around and look, the areas that we are maintaining in front of all these premium homes, along what is known as the boulevard streets, looks far worse than what homeowner standards are for their own lawn. And I think it behooves us to get that under control. I mean, we've talked about jasmine. We've talked about that, but it's a mess and it's not getting any better.

Supv Kramer: I think it was the last meeting, Supervisor Kassel was going to work with Servello to come up with a solution for those heavily shaded areas where the grass is not growing. I don't know. Is Supervisor Kassel still with us?

Supv Kassel: I am, and I was not aware that we were coming... that I was helping to come up with a solution. I was going to be available to meet with and discuss... but I think maybe we have the horticulturalist help us with making some... giving us some options for what we could do to replace that dead sod with something that would be... have some longevity. What I brought up was that we need a plan. We were talking about replacing some sod with a variety, or something, last month, in a couple of places. But my point was that we're going to have this issue all over Harmony, and let's create a long-term plan. However, I don't recall seeing in the minutes or recall from a meeting that I was going to meet with Servello and create a plan.

Supv Kramer: Okay. My apologies. I misunderstood that.

Supv Kassel: That's okay. I will be willing to work with them to create some solutions, but I think we need to speak with somebody who has some experience in a number of different communities, where they have this situation, and what the options are.

Mr. Betancourt: I believe that I remember that we were supposed to meet there, and Scotty was to give out ideas, not Supv Kassel.

Supv Kassel: Well, I never received any request for a meeting time and date.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Is Brett here?

Mr. Perez: I am.

Supv Kramer: This is Field Director Perez, he's with InfraMark, and he is the closest thing we have to a landscape expert. I've been very impressed.

Mr. Perez: Sure, so you know, one of the things we can look at in heavily shaded areas, there's a couple things we can look at doing is, is thinning out some oak trees, additionally to what we're doing, the current lifting, and possibly looking at replacing sod. They have new varieties of shade tolerant. I think most of the turf out here is floritam, so over time, that floritam doesn't do well in the shade. So, what we can look at is, there's Provista, there's Bitterblue, there's Palmetto that has some shade tolerance.

Supv Kramer: Okay, you're losing me totally.

Mr. Perez: Those are just different varieties of St. Augustine.

Supv Kramer: Can I get you to go out and look at it. Put some ideas together that we might be able to implement. Get with Supervisor Kassel, just to go over what you're thinking of, and then bring it back to the Board next month.

Mr. Perez: Absolutely. I'll work with Scotty and Mr. Betancourt as well.

Supv Kramer: Sounds good.

Mr. Perez: Thank you.

- **BEDDING PLANTS PROPOSAL**

Supv Kramer: Now, with this proposal for the bedding plants, do I have any Board discussion on this proposal? Do we want to go ahead with it? Do we want to hold off until we get the other areas addressed?

Supv Kassel: I have a question about it. My question is how this proposal came to be? In other words, who spotted these spots? Who made a request for a proposal? How did it come about?

Mr. Betancourt: That proposal was from an audit that Gerhard and I drove around back in August.

Ms. Montagna: From the monthly report that is done on landscaping. It was a request for proposal for those areas.

Supv Kassel: Sorry. Hard to hear you, Angel.

Ms. Montagna: Oh, I'm sorry. It was due to the monthly report that is done on the community, so a proposal was requested via that report.

Supv Kramer: So our management folks made a request of Servello for the proposal?

Ms. Montagna: Right.

Supv Kramer: So, the question is, do we want to go forward with it now? Do we want to hold off and do a more comprehensive workup or landscape plan? Or do we want to do it in sizeable bites?

Supv Kassel: I'm fine with doing it either way. I'm not sure that there's much of an advantage, unless there's a cost advantage, to doing more at once than smaller areas.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Do any of the Board members have a preference on moving forward with this proposal, or tabling it to a later date?

Supv Berube: I say what I said before, and... and I still think that we need to find out what Brett and those folks bring forward and... let's make the place look pretty at all once. The sod, it looks terrible. And if I lived in any of those houses... and I think... let's do the sod first, and then do the details after the fact because the sod is... it's been terrible forever, and it's not getting better. That's just my opinion.

Supv Kramer: The sod or some shade alternative.

Supv Berube: Yes. We'll see.

Supv Kramer: All right, so at this point in time, unless I hear otherwise from any of the Board members, we'll go ahead and hold off on this proposal until we work out those shaded areas along our boulevards that are devoid of life. Work on those first and then come back with this at a later date.

Supv Berube: Thank you, Mr. Perez.

- **POCKET PARK PROPOSAL**

Supv Kramer: The second proposal is a proposal at the pocket park at Buck Lake. This is an area... it was lush with ginger and it was a really beautiful pocket park. I'm not sure why, but it was cut down; I guess, expecting the plant material to rejuvenate itself. Is that why it was mowed down to the ground?

Mr. Betancourt: Ginger you can cut down and it will rejuvenate. It'll come back.

Supv Kramer: It was a serious mistake cutting it down. That area of the neighborhood has a lot of kids from age four to about 14 that are playing heavily in that pocket park, after it was cut all the way back. They've been playing heavily along there. It's wonderful to see and hear them play and have a good time, but it has completely destroyed the sod that was there and has seriously inhibited the plant life from returning. I would hate to go through and put all this back in because I know exactly what's going to happen now that the kids have made this is a play area for themselves. It's not going to survive. I would ask that we come up with a plan for, at least the next four or five years, for as long as that area has so much play activity in that pocket park, or a more versatile landscape plan for this pocket park. Do you have any comments? You and I live right around the corner from it.

Supv Berube: Kids play right there. I am going to go back to Mr. Perez. What's the most abuse-tolerant ground covering?

Mr. Perez: Synthetic turf.

Supv Berube: Well, it may not be a bad idea. Some grass is more tolerant of play surfaces than others. Celebration Bermuda comes to mind. For kids playing, what do we put underneath? Bahia?

Mr. Perez: To answer your question, stay away from Bahia.

Supv Berube: Right.

Mr. Perez: There's too much shade there. There's limited herbicide that you can apply to control weeds. It doesn't handle wear very well. Bermuda, whatever variety, whether it's 419 like on the golf course, or Celebration, would be a viable option. The concern I have with it is if the current scope and the maintenance plan that is in contract now doesn't suffice for maintenance. You're going to want to aerify it to relieve compaction. You're going to want to top dress, you're going to want to verta cut, you're going to have increased fertilizations because it takes about seven pounds of nitrogen per year, so it's a heavier maintained turf stand than St. Augustine, Bahia, Zoysia. Zoysia has some wear capabilities, but it doesn't recover well. I think a viable option in that park, since it's so heavily played, would be to look at all the new synthetic turfs that are rolling out, and a lot of vendors that are installing them. I think SiteOne Landscape offers it. Servello can get a price, or we can go straight to SiteOne and get a price to have them come out and measure and put it in. It would probably be the most viable option, long-term, in terms of maintenance costs. Even with Celebration Bermuda, if it's too heavily played on, then you're looking at replacing these patches, because it'll just be bare dirt, so that's my suggestion.

Supv Berube: Sure. I think these kids are probably going to be there, it's been most of the year that they've been heavily out there. I know I'm looking at the size of the kids, and I mean, that's what this place is about, dogs and kids, right?

Mr. Perez: And trees.

Supv Berube: I like that because if you keep throwing grass, and grass, and whatever variety it is, and just have them keep getting killed and dying, don't grow, whatever, it doesn't make sense, so I like that idea with the synthetic turf.

Mr. Perez: And there is an antibacterial spray that, every once in a while, you have to put on it, but it's very safe, it's eco-friendly.

Supv Berube: Well, that brings up the other thing. Dogs.

Mr. Perez: Well, the dogs have to stay off. I mean, there needs to be a "no dogs" signs on it, but there's no enforcement.

Supv Kassel: That's going to be tough. And even with the doggie pot right there, people walk through that park.

Mr. Perez: You could always move a doggie pot.

Supv Kassel: I depend on that doggie pot.

Supv Berube: I think if there were signs, "no dogs on this," there's still grass all the way around it. So, if you just direct people a little bit, "Hey, you know, you just spent ten grand on this turf, please don't let your dog go on it." You know?

Supv Kramer: Right. Can we put a plan together and then cost it out for us?

Mr. Perez: No problem.

Supv Berube: You know, there's a whole bunch of ginger there and other areas of jasmine in the back. The whole thing needs to be spiffed up.

Mr. Perez: Yeah. Hopefully, if you replace it with a viable option for kids to play on, then planting shouldn't be as big an issue. Now they have something they enjoy playing on versus running around in the beds, so you should be able to reestablish plant material, hopefully.

Supv Berube: Kids being kids.

Supv Kramer: You're going to have to put in some larger plant materials to keep them off. They're really respectful kids.

Supv Berube: They're decent kids.

Mr. Perez: Bougainvillea with thorns.

Supv Kramer: I was going to say that. I thought about it for years. No.

Mr. Perez: Okay. We'll work on that. I have an invite going out to Servello already for Cat Brier, and we'll add Buck Lake Park to that.

Supv Kramer: Okay. It's Buck "Lane" Park.

Mr. Perez: Buck "Lane" Park; sorry.

Supv Kramer: All right. Anything else for Servello at this point in time? Hearing no other discussion for Servello or questions, we appreciate you're being here. Did you have anything else?

Mr. Betancourt: You're welcome. No, no.

Supv Kramer: All right. Thank you so much.

Supv Berube: Happy Thanksgiving. See you next month.

Ms. Montagna: Have a great Thanksgiving.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

STAFF REPORTS

Supv Leet: Your stuff will be next month, right, David?

Mr. Hamstra: Pardon?

Supv Leet: The stuff we, with Billy's Trail will be coming up next month, right, with Servello.

Supv Kramer: Next item on our agenda, Staff Reports. Our District Engineer, David Hamstra.

A. DISTRICT ENGINEER

- GARDEN ROAD

Mr. Hamstra: Last meeting, we had three weeks ago, the County approved it, Florida Gas Transmission approved it. We didn't get South Florida's blessing at the time. We finally agreed upon the exemption category, which they're calling safety improvements, which is an exempt activity. That got submitted this morning. As soon as they approve that, we will then put in the street to local contractors bid documents and get a quote to do the work. So, again, this is the permanent solution, not the band-aid in-between that we talked about last meeting, so that's going forward in the right direction.

- **ESTATES DRAINAGE**

Mr. Hamstra: I went out with Brett, and Gerhard, and myself on November 9th to look at the pipes that are currently clogged, because they were two feet or three feet under the dirt for many, many years. Talked to Brett and Gerhard. InfraMark's not comfortable getting a vac truck to do the work, so I'm going to call Atlantic Pipe Services, who I've used multiple times on cleaning of pipes. And it's all the pipes that are outside the right-of-way in people's backyard that will be flushed out and made to work again for next rainy season, because they're full of dirt and the pipes are too small to get freed-up on its own, so –

Supv Berube: To that point, we have several other drains, one in particular, right in front of the Lakeshore Park restrooms. There's a drain in the ground that no longer drains, and when we're going to clean some in-ground drainage pipes, we might want to identify a few others, if you want, because if a truck's coming out... You know what I'm talking about. There's at least one there, and there's probably three or four others scattered around town, and whenever it rains, they pile up because the junk goes in.

Supv Kassel: I think by the dog park too. By the dog wash.

Supv Berube: There are several of these in-ground drains. I don't know where they go, but they clearly go somewhere.

Supv Kramer: There's also a culvert near Schoolhouse, right next to the school, under the trail for to dog park that is horribly clogged.

Mr. Hamstra: After we get these inlets cleaned, we're going put some rock around them, so they don't get hidden and buried like they did in the past, and hopefully they'll function better.

Supv Kramer: So, once this is done, do we have a way to enforce or work with our builders out there to make sure that they put in the proper silt screen?

Mr. Hamstra: After Gerhard got that message, we called the contractor the day after we saw it, and they immediately put it up. So, they didn't balk about doing it.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Great. So, we just need to keep an eye on it.

Ms. Montagna: When we see something, we need to call them and they'll... they'll fix it.

Mr. Hamstra: Like I said, we're going put rock or rip rap around these once they're cleaned out, so they're easily exposed and maintainable.

- **CHERRY HILL**

Mr. Hamstra: I got the rear yard swales survey yesterday from Johnson Surveying. They surveyed the house in question at 3170, as well as the swales on both sides. That came in today. We'll then do a limit to grading plan and get with InfraMark to get some local contractor to go back there and regrade the swales and then re-sod them, so it flows properly.

- **BILLY'S TRAIL**

Mr. Hamstra: We've been out there a few times with InfraMark, and Dan; thank you very much for all your input. So, what I submitted separately as a proposal - we're probably a Cadillac version of the survey versus maybe a pared back version - I still recommend the area... the north end by the cul-de-sac has priority. If you all want to approve that separately tonight... and then monitor to whether or not we do

need to do the staking midway... south towards the pump station. Now that we've got the new aerials that came in today, we brought them in to give, you get a better visual fixation where the trails should be, and so, maybe with the Board's consideration, I'll ask Johnston to focus only on the north half, where we're going up a new trail, doing a dog leg and then connecting with the existing trail. So, that area's really tight, he needs to do some work. So, I'm going guess instead of the \$8,700 I gave you, we'll go back to the original \$4,500, to do the topo and location at the north end of Billy's Trail, if that sounds good to the Board.

Supv Kassel: I have a question as to why Johnston's, alone, has been sought for this and no other bidders.

Mr. Hamstra: Sure. For 35 years I've been using a company called Southeastern Surveying, and they're out of Orlando. And they've done all my work for 35 years. But when I called them, they were the first to admit that the travel costs to come down to Kissimmee, and Osceola County, they're not going be competitive. And so, the reason I went with Johnston was two reasons. I have a colleague who I've known for many years, has his own company in Kissimmee and he highly recommends them as a surveyor. And number two, they'll done a lot of your prior work, so they have local knowledge and know where their control is at. So, I think, and I try not to as a professional, price other professionals against each other, once you establish relationship with somebody. For example, this week, we needed some wetland conservation lines, they quickly gave me the CAD files within a couple hours and saved us a lot of time and money to recreate them. To me, it's more of an established relationship. That's the reason why I typically, once I establish a relationship with a surveyor... GeoTech, an environmentalist... as long as they're doing a good job, and I think the price is fair, and I know that my other surveyor can't come down here to Kissimmee and do a comparable price. But I'm at the direction of the Board. If you want me to reach out to strangers and get prices, I can do that.

Supv Berube: We're probably saving the learning curve cost of having somebody new come in, because Johnston's already has all the base knowledge here.

Mr. Hamstra: They've got all the control output.

Supv Berube: They've got it. So, they know where they're going, right away, when somebody else is going to have to develop all that, is what I think I'm hearing from you.

Supv Kassel: I had offered the contact information for Kissimmee Valley Surveying. It doesn't seem they've been contacted. It seemed expensive to me, and I just wanted, well, I'm not the expert in surveying costs. It did seem like a lot of money for the relatively narrow survey area, it is not that large. That's why I wanted to inquire as to why no other bids were sought. I'm happy to give you that contact information, William Beekman is the owner. He's done work for me. I've found him dependable, fully reliable, and reasonably priced. I'm just putting that out there, that there are alternatives that we could at least see whether the price from Johnston's is reasonable.

Mr. Hamstra: I'll leave it to the Board if you want me to call them, I certainly can. Or we use them next time. I'm open either way.

Supv Kramer: I don't know, if we're revising this down a bit, maybe we could get a quote from both. If we're bringing it down. I understand that professionally, you don't want to bounce them off each other and it is nice, Johnston has been working with us for a long, long time. I feel since we are working with public monies, it might be good, just every once in a while, at least to get a double check on, where

we are and, and what's happening with those prices. I would be in agreement if the scope, graphics, and everything is already prepared, it's just a matter of sending it off to another company and getting a double check quote. So, if you could do that, that would be helpful. Again, just an every once in a while, double check on Johnston's can't hurt.

Mr. Hamstra: All right, do you want to give me a upper limit that way if I get a quote from either one, we can get started, or do you want me to wait until next month to bring back the second quote and make a decision?

Supv Berube: Well, that brings up a question I have. You had this in two pieces. The north sector and the south sector. Why would we not do it all together? You suggested potentially breaking it apart. Was that for cost?

Mr. Hamstra: No, the tighter area, the more awkward area is the very north end by the cul-de-sac, because we're going outside the CDD parcel, onto private property and back inside, into the existing trail. So, I wanted it to be surveyed topographically and for the limits to be done. Then, when I walked it by myself and with the group, there are a few areas that we're getting close to conversation area and I want to make sure we're not clearing or doing work in conservation land. The lots should be easy to recover. So, if you haven't been out there recently, all their dirt's washing back on your property. I've reached out by the way, to Jay and they have not responded to me twice, about cleaning up their mess. So there, there might be property corners, but they may be buried under six or 12 inches of dirt. I'm mostly concerned to make sure that when we do the clearing, and set as a trail, we're not getting into conservation lands or things like that. Talked to Teresa a little bit about what the conversation lands allow us to do, whether they're passive trails. So, that's the reason I'm maybe considering we still focus on the north area, which is critical, and be mindful of the map that I just produced today. The back of the property corners, we can make an educated guess where the trails should go for the majority of this. If I have to come back with a Part B with the surveyor.

Supv Kramer: The thing is, I went out there yesterday and re-hiked it and it's fairly clear where those boundaries are, especially since there are some existing conservation area signage that were put on the boundaries and some of the old, survey markers, most of these areas, in addition, I went and re-reviewed our conservation area easements with the Water Management District. Unlike some of the conservation area easements, the easements that we have do allow for passive recreational use within the conservation areas. If we do any of the heavier end passive uses, like having to put a boardwalk in for a trail or something of that sort, then we will have to go in and get additional permitting. Just the fact that we may edge up against a conservation area, would not be a violation of that. The other thing we've got is, some of the features are very distinct, and some of the areas, approaching up to where they were doing that sheet flow across the trail, that's still real dry, but that area in there, we're going have to hug that property lines really tight, because, it may not have been 20 years ago, but it is now wetlands. It would be jurisdictional wetlands, and it is growing a large crop of old-world climbing fern. So, we need to go in there, get that old-world climbing fern cleaned up and we'll have to hug those back-lot lines in there.

Mr. Hamstra: That will be picked up with this first survey.

Supv Kramer: Exactly. So that we don't delay it any further and we try and get Billy's Trail reopened, because the big sticking point, I think we can handle the point where we go under the tree branch, and the earlier point. The big sticking point is going to be that actual connection to Billy's Trail where

they've filled in so much of that property, right up to the property line. That's going to be hard and that does need to get surveyed. I would entertain a motion to set an upper limit and allow Mr. Hamstra to get two quotes, and then go ahead and get that surveyed. Does anybody have a recommendation on the upper limit?

Supv Berube: I heard \$8,700 a minute ago.

Mr. Hamstra: The original number I have for the north one was \$4,500. The original. Then I brought in the south end. And the reason, where the number went up substantially, I then asked Johnston's to include putting PVC poles, every so many feet along the edge, and to install concrete monuments, so we don't have to do this again. So, that's why you saw a big jump in the costs, is they would be cutting line all in the conversation area, sinking concrete monuments, to establish permanently the trail CDD parcel. I think \$4,500 as an upper number, should be sufficient, because I know at least that's what Johnston had for the north section. Now, if the second guy comes in at or below that... if he comes above it, then I'll go back to Johnston and get started. If he comes in lower, I'll check his scope to make sure it's consistent, and then we'll get him started as well.

Supv Leet: This area had been surveyed, I want to say, within the last two years. That extra cost of, you know, doing the permanent markers, I mean if that's something we want to consider, versus what you were saying earlier, again, so maybe we have some leeway. What are everyone else's thoughts?

Supv Berube: It just seems, to do it right now, and get it done, and it's there; and to paraphrase Mr. Hamstra, we don't have to go back and do it again. We've done this before, I think.

Supv Kramer: I want to say three times. I've seen three separate sets of markers and that's why I'm avid about getting monuments put out there so we're not going through this again.

Mr. Hamstra: Well, that's why we were also going to put the PVC poles. So, Gerhard can sink four by four-inch wooden posts in the ground as trail indicators. There was a reason why we allowed more of the survey to include permanent ground and also for markers to be put in the ground, to keep the trail guides where they're belonging.

Supv Berube: If you don't, you're just throwing money after money after money, here. It's just the way that I see it.

Supv Kassel: So, are we still talking about doing just the north part? Or just the northern back part of the trail, you know, before it gets to the wetland area? I'm a little unclear.

Mr. Hamstra: It's not my money, but I would like to see it done the right way the first time.

Supv Kramer: Why don't we do this? Why don't we go back with the portions of the trail that were included in the original proposal of \$4,500? I don't think we need to survey the conservation area up front. I think we're good on that. That was added in.

Supv Kassel: Does that proposal include staking and a topographical survey?

Supv Kramer: That did not include staking.

Mr. Hamstra: The north end is survey and staking, both at the north end. I have to make it clear to both firms whether or not we want to at least put the markers at the north end. So that's set for life.

Supv Kramer: Yes. I would say, yes. Why don't we start with that point. And then, we can make a... the trail markings don't have to be on our property boundary. Again, it's a trail. It just needs to be within our property somewhere. Does that make sense?

Supv Berube: Well, we need the property markers, the permanent markers to be on the property lines. Right? The trail markers that are going to go in later can be within those lines.

Mr. Hamstra: Yes.

Supv Berube: But the permanent markers have to be on our property.

Supv Kramer: Yes, they will be. Right? The permanent markers for the survey, for reference. What I'm saying is, if you look at this map... can we put it up Supv Leet? Show folks what we're talking about. It's very convoluted.

Mr. Hamstra: That's the problem. You have about 25 to 30 kinks along this corridor.

Supv Berube: And that's not in an area that we can do any clearing of ground brush. Right?

Supv Kramer: We can clear within that boundary. We can clear on some of the edges of it.

Supv Berube: But we can't unkink it, is what I'm saying.

Supv Kramer: We cannot unkink it, and as you get from the section line north, the kinked part on the other side is owned by Harmony Florida Lands, LLC. We'll get to that a little bit later. But that property is currently still owned by the developer, who did The Lakes and The Enclaves; Sun Terra or Harmony Florida Lands, LLC, that owns it now. So that's the kinked side. So, we don't want to get over into that land, but I think we can more than accommodate a trail through our portion of that property without getting so close over to the kinked side. But we don't need to get every zig and zag unkinked.

Supv Berube: Right. We're going to mark it. We're going to have it surveyed. We're going to have concrete markers put in with the... the scope of work for this is, survey this zone. Put permanent concrete markers and PVC pipes over the concrete markers for the North end. The zig-zaggy piece. That's the scope of work at this point. Right?

Mr. Hamstra: Right.

Supv Berube: With a not to exceed of – Now, your not to exceed of \$8,700 was for...?

Mr. Hamstra: The whole soup to nuts, which was \$8,700.00.

Supv Berube: The thing we just talked about. I'm okay with approving that. I'll move to approve.

Supv Kramer: With a not to exceed of...?

Supv Berube: The scope of work that we just discussed, with a not to exceed of \$8,700.

Supv Kramer: So, you want to do the whole trail? Not just the north end?

Supv Berube: Oh, I thought we were just talking about the north end.

Mr. Hamstra: The north end alone is \$4,500.

Supv Berube: But I thought it was another \$4,200 if we did the...

Mr. Hamstra: For the entire trail.

Supv Berube: Oh! So \$4,500 includes the markers and everything?

Supv Kramer: If you just do the north end.

Supv Berube: Let me revise my motion. I will move to approve the scope of work we just discussed, for the north end, with a not to exceed of \$4,500, from however many contractors Mr. Hamstra wants to go to. You have a motion.

On MOTION by **Supv Berube** seconded by **Supv Kassel**, with all in favor, the Board moved to approve the surveying & placing of permanent markers on the North end of Billy’s Trail with a Not To Exceed amount of \$4500.00.

Supv Berube: I hope these trails get used, because we sure do spend a lot of time and money on them.

Supv Kramer: The problem is, we only have what, two trails really two trails, maybe two and a half, until the other conservation areas get turned over to us. And again, when I came across the passive recreational use in some of our conversation areas, I think it's time we reassess some of our conservation areas, work with Water Management District, and put in a few more trails, possibly if we can, to introduce some of our newer residents to the beauties of the Florida landscape. We'll look at that.

- **RV STORAGE AREA**

Mr. Hamstra: I'm sorry, Steve, regarding the fence, I have not submitted the letter to the county yet. That will be done very soon, to get permission to do the perimeter fence for the boat and storage area.

Supv Berube: You don't need to apologize to me. It's okay.

- **RESERVE STUDY**

Mr. Hamstra: I believe last week the draft came out to the Board members to review. I believe the Supervisor – or the Chairwoman – has already issued comments to Steve Schwartz, and he's already addressing those; and whatever other comments you may have as a Board.

Supv Kassel: I have some questions about the reserve study. One of them was that it wasn't clear to me how they were doing their computation versus there were some confusing parts to their study in explaining certain things. But also, I felt that certain things were missing; like, they had the basketball poles and benches, but not the surface of the court. That wasn't included.

Supv Kramer: I'm sorry. If you can give them a summary... send them to... and we're going to be talking about later, possibly setting up a workshop, so that we can workshop the reserve study. That was definitely one of things, the basketball court resurfacing, was on the list that I sent in. But if each of the Supervisors will go through the study and list out your questions and forward them on to Steve Schwartz.

Ms. Montagna: Or myself.

Supv Kramer: Or to our District Manager, and they will forward them on to them. I got a quick response that he is working on getting all my answers. I mean, mine was a four or five page list to him, so,

rather comprehensive on questions. If each of you could do that, then that would help us get the most comprehensive reserve study possible back.

Ms. Montagna: Thanks.

Supv Kramer: And the other thing, in the reserve study, the comment they made was they... they only had a few of the as-builts. I think we've got most of those... or a lot of that information... in the information Steve Boyd presented to you.

Mr. Hamstra: He gave me a lot of files. I don't recall seeing a lot of as-builts, but I will double check.

Supv Kramer: If we could turn as much of that over to the reserve study folks as possible, they were stating that the largest, high density polyethylene pipe that they had... that they saw... was 24 inches and we know there's a 48 inch one out there.

Mr. Hamstra: Bigger okay. If I don't have them, or Steve doesn't have them, the county's been really forthcoming with them. I'll get them from the county.

Supv Kramer: Thank you, sir.

- **HARMONY COVE**

Mr. Hamstra: The easements that Steve Boyd presented last meeting, you all approved it. Steve Boyd got it officially recorded with Osceola County. The recording easements are part of my report, so to me, that's coming off the list. We're done.

- **FIVE OAKS DRIVE**

Mr. Hamstra: I'm working with Brett and Gerhard to straighten out a little kink in one of the sidewalks, and that'll be officially done.

- **SIDEWALKS**

Mr. Hamstra: I turned over all the final exhibits to Gerhard last meeting. So, as far as I'm concerned, I'll step out of it, and then InfraMark will implement their sidewalk maintenance program for future discussions.

- **FOOTBRIDGES**

Mr. Hamstra: Fred and I walked the footbridges a couple weeks ago. I believe they're adequate; they're not perfect, but they're not horrible. And I'm okay with supporting making your final payment to Dock-Tiers, to get them closed out.

- **DOG PARK**

Mr. Hamstra: We did a final inspection of the dog park. Gerhard already took care of some of the visual things we saw regarding some grading issues, and some piles of debris. The only thing outstanding is for us to get with Toho water authority to run a service line inside the fence, so we can wash down and keep clean your puppies and keep them watered during the hot summer months.

- **ALLEYWAYS**

Mr. Hamstra: I just haven't focused on milling and resurfacing because that's going to be a big-ticket item. Not sure financially if you guys are ready to undertake that in a couple months or not.

- **HOUSE BILL #53**

Supv Kramer: For House Bill 53, do we have all the information we need?

Mr. Hamstra: We have the infrastructure. I just have to come up with a... what they call an analysis... between now and June 30th. And I will start working on that probably this spring.

Supv Kramer: And 20 years... it's a 20-year use of the model?

Mr. Hamstra: Yeah, based on your population demands and things like that. You don't have to worry about water and sewer because that's other utility companies' responsibility.

Supv Kramer: Thank you. The next order of business is the District Counsel.

B. DISTRICT COUNSEL

I. FIRST NATURE RANCH

Mr. Qualls: Good evening, Madame Chair and Members, the first item is just a quick update on the discussions with the Ethics Commission on meeting at First Nature Ranch. I did get a call back from the Executive Director, Kerry Stillman, and she suggested I work with the gentleman who drafted the initial report. I contacted him and put in the wrinkle, "*if no money changes hands, essentially, would that still be considered a transaction?*" Still waiting on his response. We'll keep everybody updated.

II. CHANGE ORDER PROCEDURE

Mr. Qualls: You have in the packet, under our report, an update to the change order policy. What it says is that change orders are discouraged; and then it says, if the amount of a cumulative change order is to exceed \$5,000 or 10% of the contract value, whichever is less... wait a minute, let me just read it. "*Change orders are discouraged, and subject to the approval of the Board, when the cumulative amount of proposed change orders will exceed \$5,000 or 10% of the contract value, whichever is less. The District Manager may, in consultation with the Board Chair, approve change orders provided the cumulative amount of all change orders on a given contract do not exceed \$5,000 or 10% of the contract value, whichever is less.*" So, we're looking at a motion to accept this language & update your procurement policy.

On MOTION by **Supv Berube** seconded by **Supv Leet**, with all in favor, the Board moved to approve the language to amend the Procurement Policy to allow the District Manager, in consultation with the Chair, to approve change orders, provided that the cumulative amount of all change orders on any contract does not exceed \$5000 or 10% of the total amount of the contract, whichever is less.

Supv Berube: I have a question for counsel.

Mr. Qualls: Yes, sir.

Supv Berube: Our standard contract has something, isn't there language in the contract as well? That says that change orders are discouraged and subject to approval by the District Manager?

Mr. Qualls: Yes. In just your very latest contracts.

Supv Berube: Right.

Mr. Qualls: That came up since this was initially brought up.

Supv Berube: Sure.

Mr. Qualls: But that language is in there now.

III. DOG PARK PRIVILEGES LETTER

Mr. Qualls: Okay, couple things, just to say that these are done. One, the letter revoking the privileges to the Harmony Dog Parks that was discussed in detail last month. That's been sent. I don't think any demand for a hearing was provided.

IV. ARBORIST COST DEMAND LETTER

Mr. Qualls: The next is, the letter sent to the gentleman for trimming beyond his property line, on that oak tree. That letter has been sent as well. If there's no questions on those, I'll just keep rolling.

V. SPIES® POOL REPAIR CONTRACT

Mr. Qualls: Okay, the next is the pool contract that was approved last month. There was a good bit of negotiation, back and forth. I know the Manager worked with the Chair. We got that, I believe, in the shape it needs to be in. It's under the not to exceed amount of \$100,000, barely. And now, we'll just send that to the contractor and get it approved. There's really no action for the Board to do at this time.

Supv Kramer: Okay. I think this is the best place to address the pool pump issue. We got the contract hammered out. I want to compliment Julie. She's here tonight. She did an excellent job working with the pool contractors and the total for all the work including the lights and things, is \$92,349. There was one issue that one of the proposals brought to our attention, and we worked through, and that is that our pool pump in the Swim Club, actually has a metal housing and that is causing, and correct me if I'm wrong Julie, if I'm getting this wrong, an issue with rust and discoloration of our pool surface. That's why you're seeing that browning that's starting to take place, I mean that's starting to take place, the recommendation is to go ahead and change that out, since that was not in our original scope then, we would like to bring that to the Board right now. Did we get another quote on that from another vendor?

Ms. Yevich: No, we haven't heard back from them.

Supv Berube: Most pool filter housings are metal, without a doubt. And most of them are lined with ceramic or some other type of material to prevent exactly the problem that you're talking about because pool water is corrosive. And if you just have untreated metal, yeah, it will give off material and then, it will end up in the pool water. But that surface in that pool has been tinged from the day it went in, and as is the little kiddie pool, and the kiddie pool has a completely separate pump that the two waters are not shared. That browning of the surface happened due to the lousy quality control from the contractor who put it in.

If there is rust in that water then... if there's iron in the water, that will create rust. I doubt we have iron, but we should test the water for iron before we get down this road. It's the iron in the metal that creates the rust. And when you look at it, if there really is rust forming, it's not forming under new patches that are in there, there's 15 of them, and it's not forming there. And if you look on the shelves, Shawn acid-washed those skimmer shelves last year? And they are still very bright white. So, if you had rust in the water, those would have turned brown like the rest of the pool has turned brown. I don't think we have iron in the water. I don't think we have rust coming in. I know it's a good assumption because if you look at that, the pump, the exterior of that pump housing is rusty without a doubt. But it's a really easy way to find out if there is rust in the water, if that's rust, you buy an iron test strip from the pool supply or Amazon or whatever, just little strip of litmus paper, and it will tell you if you got iron in the water. Before we spend \$9,000 or more on a pump, we should ascertain, but I'm telling you that that water, that surface turned brown almost immediately when that pool contractor put that surface on. They did a lousy job with it, as we know.

Supv Kramer: At this time, what we have got is, we want to make sure that we have good quality water going into our resurfacing. So, we need to go ahead and we can do some testing and evaluating on that pump and then, the question would be, do we want to go ahead today... and have a motion to go ahead and replace that pump if it's found... if the water is found to be injecting –

Supv Berube: Iron into the water.

Supv Kramer: Then replace it, we can do and not to exceed. We're going to start, if everything goes well, it sounds like we've got to start date at what? November 29th?

Ms. Yevich: Yes.

Supv Kramer: Okay. And they're going to, they estimate to take three to four weeks?

Ms. Yevich: They said 20 business/working days, so 20 business days is what they're saying.

Supv Berube: Four weeks.

Ms. Yevich: Depending on weather.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So that would still give us enough time to come back to our next December Board meeting, which I think is the 16th?

Ms. Yevich: 16th, I think.

Supv Kramer: And approve the change with the pump. The nice thing about the pump is they say, it's a one-day turnaround, to get the pump, and then they can quickly install it. But if we do have an iron problem, we're going to want to get that done before we refill.

Supv Berube: I'm not arguing with that.

Supv Kramer: No, I understand that. And I, I don't want to replace the pump. Oh, by the way, the \$92,349 also includes the kiddie pool. There is discoloration starting in that kiddie pool.

Supv Berube: Yeah, the discoloration has been –

Supv Scarborough: If I may I interject here. I deal with water, chlorinated water, every single day of my life; that's my profession. I have everything with me at this moment that I can actually go and test that water for anything that you want to test it for... tomorrow... and that... you're right to say that pump

should be PE lined, or lined with some other substance. But yes, the chlorine would eat it to pieces. So, I can definitely test the pool water for whatever you want to test it for.

Supv Berube: Well, it's my belief, Mike, and you know... you work with water all the time... it's my belief that iron in the water creates the rust situation, would you agree with that?

Supv Scarborough: Oh, well, certainly, yeah. But you can also get discoloration with too much acid too. I'm familiar with what took place with that pool. It was resurfaced, but not all the details as to acid washing. So too much acid will do it too, but yes, I agree.

Supv Berube: Well, that's a good point because whoever was involved at the time, remember they said they spread grout all over the coping, and their response to get rid of that grout is to literally spray muriatic acid on the coping and the grout, then all that wash right into the pool right on that brand new surface. So, you have brown grout and acid washing onto the brand-new surface. Killed it.

Supv Scarborough: That I'm sure of.

Supv Berube: Mike, so you can take care of this testing of the water, pretty quickly?

Supv Scarborough: I can, tomorrow.

Supv Kramer: So, we'll have the water tested, we'll also have the pool pump evaluated and then bring it back to the next meeting as to whether we need to go forward with the new pool pump or not. Anybody else have any input on that? Okay, great. So, we will be executing that contract, probably tomorrow, and getting the pool resurfaced. We will not be doing them concurrently, we will doing the Swim Club and the kiddie pool first, starting November 29th, and then the Ashley Park pool will begin February 2nd.

Ms. Yevich: February 7th.

Supv Kramer: 7th will be the date because they've already booked a job in between the two. Any other questions on the pool? I will turn it back over to Counsel. Sorry to interrupt.

Mr. Qualls: No. No, problem. You are the Chair.

VI. TOWING CONTRACT & RESOLUTION

Mr. Qualls: For the towing contract, we're going to have to go out and find some other company. This company that was selected had represented to us that it was in compliance with the statute. Due to a little due diligence, the area that was utilized for the towing facility was actually a town home and so, I think that's probably a multitude of red flags, so we're going to find another towing company and come back, next month on that.

VII. TREE TRIMMING & MAINTENANCE

Mr. Qualls: The tree trimming policy. I just got hot off the press a document from the arborist, and so, we can take that document and put it in a nice tidy policy, if that's what the Board wants to utilize. And with that, I think my report is, concluded.

Supv Kramer: All right, the tree trimming, I think that was handed out to everybody at the meeting?

Ms. Montagna: I will email Kerul's.

Supv Kramer: Yes, Supervisor Kassel it will be emailed to you. If everybody can review it and get comments back to our District Manager, if you have any comments on that, then we can move forward in getting that into a formal policy and, and getting our tree trimming back underway and a whole project developed with that. Any questions on that? No other questions for our District Counsel?

Mr. Qualls: Thank you all.

Supv Kramer: Thank you. The next order of business is the Field Manager.

C. FIELD MANAGER

Mr. van der Snel: Good evening members of the Board. You have received the weekly report. Are there any comments on that, let me know?

- {SIDE TOPIC}

Supv Kramer: I had a quick question on that. In one of the weekly reports, you mentioned something about, having some more hydrilla show up in one of the other ponds. Is something being done about that or what, or where are we with that? Have we done the sampling, verified it's hydrilla and treated it yet, or...?

Mr. van der Snel: Right, so when we saw the hydrilla, we need Board direction to start over again with the treatments, which is, as you know, a pricey treatment. That's pretty much about \$2,500 to treat it. Cherry Hill Pond has already been treated one time, for hydrilla, and that's why it might be handy to get the Board direction on what we need to, how to proceed with this, if we want to do this again.

Supv Berube: Same pond?

Mr. van der Snel: Same pond, same area.

Supv Kramer: And when was it last treated?

Mr. van der Snel: It was two years ago, I would say. Two or three years.

Supv Berube: With the SePRO stuff?

Mr. van der Snel: Yes, SePRO.

Ms. Montagna: What was the one we approved earlier this year? What pond is that one?

Mr. van der Snel: Yeah, that was in Waterside.

Supv Berube: The Cherry Hill Pond is the big one in the back.

Mr. van der Snel: Right. Well, behind Dark Sky.

Supv Berube: Yeah, yeah. Along the, the Conservation Area.

Mr. van der Snel: Actually, more... more downwards.

Supv Kassel: Sorry, you were saying that there's hydrilla in it?

Mr. van der Snel: Hydrilla, yes.

Supv Kassel: Again?

Supv Berube: Yes, hydrilla again.

Supv Kramer: Was there any warranty or... I know that's tough, because hydrilla is –

Mr. van der Snel: No, there's no warranty on that.

Supv Kramer: I didn't think so. Have we taken a sample and got it positively identified?

Mr. van der Snel: Well, no, but Brad saw that it was exactly the same hydrilla. I can get a sample on this.

Supv Scarborough: Just for reference for the Board, I actually treated that a couple of years prior myself for hydrilla. That was the third occurrence.

Supv Berube: We discussed it at that point. There was the Cadillac treatment, which was like a double whammy, and then there is the standard treatment, which I think is what we chose, which is about half the money. So, it was effective, but I guess it really doesn't matter.

Supv Kramer: Well, obviously not.

Supv Berube: Well, you know, hydrilla, once you got it, you got it for the most part. I guess the SePRO worked, is two or three years enough?

Supv Kramer: Well, the question is this, are we actually getting rid of it with the SePRO or is it just knocking it back to where we're not seeing it and then, it's sprung back?

Supv Berube: It's knocking it back so we do not see it.

Supv Kramer: Should we bring on... the pond to... bring in the professionals?

Supv Berube: Brett?

Ms. Montagna: We can get a proposal.

Supv Berube: Is hydrilla like a tuber where you... you kill what you can see growing, but the seed effectively stays in the bottom until it's sprung up again? Is that how hydrilla works?

Mr. Perez: So, the seeds are viable. So even though you treat the active plant, that... they already dispersed its seeds in there, in the lakebed, that they can come back. You're targeting the active plant just like you do with glycolate targeting a weed in your bed. The other thing that we have to remember too is ducks are in a pond that has hydrilla and they fly in their new pond, they can reintroduce this aquatic weed back into these ponds. So a three-year control is pretty solid. I do have another district that they use SePRO, so much higher cost, on a very large pond, and it was done three years ago, and it's back again. So, I think this is just a normal reoccurring unfortunate issue that we have in this pond.

Supv Leet: That pond is also at the end of the chain. You know, we have several other ponds this will drain into.

Mr. Perez: Correct. Are any of the golf course ponds tied into that; do we know?

Supv Kramer: No.

Mr. Perez: Okay. Asking out of curiosity because if they treat, we treat those ponds as well, so okay.

Supv Berube: So what do you do? Treat them with SePRO again, or ignore it?

Supv Kramer: I don't think ignoring this is a good idea.

Supv Berube: Well I know, but –

Supv Kassel: I think we treat it with SePRO again. We had a good longevity, as Brett expressed. This is something we're just going to have to address on the continual basis because it doesn't go away completely. Seeds germinate, wildlife carries the hydrilla from one spot to another, so I would suggest we just treat it with the SePRO again.

Supv Berube: Brett, you're comfortable with our aquatic guys doing the SePRO treatment?

Mr. van der Snel: It usually goes under guidance of SePRO itself, a representative is there, they help us with the application from beginning till end, that's what they did with the Waterside pond.

Mr. Perez: Okay.

Ms. Montagna: And that was worth \$2,500... was it last time? Something like that?

Mr. van der Snel: \$2,500, yes.

Supv Berube: Are we authorizing that amount at this point?

Mr. van der Snel: I do have to note that the prices have gone way up. Round-Up has tripled almost. Giving the Board a heads up. That's probably also with this product, so if you're giving a not to exceed, I would go a little higher, if I may advise.

Supv Kramer: Okay, so it sounds like it will be over \$2,500.

Mr. Perez: Sorry, Madam Chair, there's a... just a quick hydrilla Google. SePRO also has a new product out called ProcellaCOR that we need to check with them on pricing. It does discuss eliminating hydrilla. So, again, eradication of weeds is never discussed...

Supv Kramer: Well, yes, Old World Climbing Fern, as we know –

Mr. Perez: ...but let's check with SePRO on various products that they offer.

Supv Berube: It sounds like we're probably going to bring this back next month until we know more where we're going to go and we have the numbers rather than giving you a not to exceed and you're over by \$2.

Supv Leet: If it were just the one, yeah, but we got to schedule twice.

Supv Kramer: So, we will set that on next month's agenda and look forward to getting some quotes and further information. Any other questions concerning the weekly updates that we've been receiving?

Supv Berube: Yeah, as a matter of fact. Every once in a while, you do a landscape zip around that shows up on those reports on... is that on a regular basis? Is that a scheduled...?

Mr. van der Snel: Yeah, it's scheduled the first Monday of the month.

Supv Berube: Once it's done and you make the report and we see it, what happens after that?

Ms. Montagna: It should be followed up on. The team, whoever is doing it, should be going out and checking those things from the report and making sure they're complete and checking them off.

Mr. Perez: So, the way it should work is Gerhard does ride around with the representative of Servello, typically that's Mr. Betancourt. The items are discussed and noted in the report. We ask and we send these reports off to the vendors, we give them five business days to respond, not necessarily with everything being completed, but with an action item or plan for completion. Unfortunately, we're not getting those responses from the landscape vendor.

Supv Berube: That was the intro I was looking for.

Ms. Montagna: And we haven't been.

Mr. Perez: Right, and we haven't been since we've rolled out the new photo site audit that you all had been seeing in your agendas. This has been discussed with Servello to no avail.

Supv Berube: So, you need some Board help here with it?

Mr. Perez: It wouldn't be a bad idea to send them a little nudge. I mean I can reach out to them again. There's a plethora of options, you can send them a 30 day right to correct, you can... We've discussed other items already in a workshop, that we could pursue if we wanted to. But again, that's all up to the Board on direction on where you want to go.

Ms. Montagna: And that's kind of where I was going with that. Gerhard can only check up on things that are being done and there's, we're not getting any responses, so –

Supv Kramer: So, are we not getting verbal or written responses, are we seeing actual progress on it? I mean, now they're just not talking to us about it but are getting them done, or they're just not getting done at all?

Mr. van der Snel: So some of the items are reoccurring items that are actually already scheduled in their work schedule. Like the Berms, they said, "*Well, we already scheduled it.*" Like we don't really know what, uh, when they do that. So, whenever I notified like, "*Hey, the weeds in the berms need to be done here on East Five Oaks*", they say they scheduled it, but obviously, I cannot influence their schedule on when they are doing it. However, if it reoccurs in another audit the month later with the same berm issues, then, you know, I cannot force them to arrange their schedule and say, "*Look, you need to do these weeds right now,*" or "*You need to do...*" That is kind of... They're a vendor on its own.

Supv Kramer: My question is, if something shows up in the audit, that's a real problem. They may not send you any email or give you a call back saying, "This is when we're going to deal with it." But do they deal with it in a reasonable time?

Ms. Montagna: It's a mixture.

Supv Kramer: So, we're not getting a total snub, but not everything's getting done?

Ms. Montagna: Correct.

Supv Berube: I'll give you the real world. I typically ride around my bicycle all the time, and I take pictures when I send them to him. And then he goes, and re-verifies these, the picture, we put it in a report and what not. And then I ride around again, and again, and again, and again. And some of the stuff I sent in July is still untouched. It's probably 50/50 in my experience with the stuff I've sent. How many times do I send you pictures? Four or five times in the last two, three months, right? Good number of pictures and it's pretty easy to pick it out. And half of it is still undone. And that's why I ask the question.

It makes it to the audit, I see it and then we all got a nice picture that says, "*Here's the report, here's all the bad pictures and everything.*" And then, it just kind of goes from there.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Now, my next question is, have all the Board members gotten their comments and concerns about our landscaping scope of work for our next proposal back yet?

Ms. Montagna: No.

Supv Kramer: Okay. I would ask you, if you're not going to provide any, just send an email saying, "*I don't have any comments.*" That way we can finalize that... that way we can let Servello know that we're ready to go out at the drop of a hat. That may or may not have any effect on them, but we do have an out clause in our contract. We can give them six... I think it's 60 days' notice.

Supv Berube: Yes. 30, I think.

Mr. Perez: No, they have 60.

Supv Kramer: Okay, we can give them notice and then start the... uh... process for getting a new landscape contractor. And I'm not bashful to do that, but we have to have our ducks in a row, so we don't end up in this situation again.

Mr. Perez: And, to add to that, do you... actually, you can go out to RFP prior to giving any notice. So that way, you can see proposals come in, then you can make the decision and then issue notice, if that's the direction you want to take. But getting that information back from you all would be extremely helpful. And there have been some supervisors that have responded.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So, that's what I would like to... Let's get that finalized... so again, if... for all the supervisors... if you don't have time or don't want to or don't have the expertise to make any comments or just don't have any comments, please just let them know and... we are going to go ahead and finalize that scope of work. We hope to have it finalized by what? The next meeting?

Mr. Perez: If that's what you would like. Yeah, we can.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So, by the next meeting we should have the scope finalized, so get your comments in if you want them considered, and that way we will be prepared to make a decision on whether to go on out with the RFP, or not.

Supv Berube: I think they are at the point where they're just sliding along because they know they were in there last year. And going back to the beginning of their contract, we'd put some pressure on them, and they gave us the 30-day notice and said, "*See yah.*" And we kind of went along on that.

Supv Kramer: Well, my understanding from the contract is that, if I recall... legal counsel, are you still here?

Mr. Qualls: Yes, ma'am.

Supv Kramer: I think they don't have one. I think it's just on our side.

Ms. Montagna: Just to be clear, do you want the RFP scope in the agenda for December for Board review or no?

Supv Kramer: Yes, let's have it for Board approval.

Ms. Montagna: Okay, perfect.

Supv Leet: So, any comments? We want to have it?

Supv Kramer: Right. So, if you want your comments addressed in that, get them in.

Ms. Montagna: Prior to the scope going out.

Supv Kramer: Right. If you would like a deadline date for that.

Ms. Montagna: I'll send everybody an email with the deadline date.

Supv Kramer: Okay, great. Anything else?

Mr. van der Snel: Yes, I have a couple of additional items.

- **BEDDING PLANTS PROPOSAL**
- **POCKET PARK PROPOSAL**

Mr. van der Snel: The Servello proposals were covered previously.

- **SIDEWALK MAINTENANCE**

Mr. van der Snel: So, we did start on the... quadrant one of the sidewalk maintenance. Sidewalk maintenance on East Five Oaks, that's quadrant one. It starts at the pool and then goes all the way back to East Five Oaks. What we did is we start grinding down, um, this quadrant. The replacement panels have been sent to InfraMark for proposal, so that will be a proposal for concrete replacement. Also, pressure washing has started on quadrant one. Most of it we have done. However, pressure washing broke down again, so we have to fix it, and then we can continue. A couple of items came in between, like the basketball court, which we started, but it has a clean line now on the outside and the Boardwalks, we wanted to have them done because they were a slip hazard. So, that is the sidewalks on quadrant one. Okay. Then I found the dip in... the Town Square pavers have been dipped. There was a tree prior too. What I think is happening is that there were probably deep-rooted roots, and that they are deteriorating, and it creates air or dip and the... I'm working on quotes for that, also.

Supv Kramer: We're sure there was a tree there?

Mr. van der Snel: Yeah, pretty sure.

Supv Kramer: Is there anything sub-surface that might be causing that?

Mr. van der Snel: Oh, no. There was a tree there. I had it taken away.

Mr. Perez: There is no wash out like if it was a main line from the irrigation, you don't see any rising sands. It is a depression; it looks like it could be decomposing. The ground was stomped –

Supv Kramer: Right. Now my other question, was this like we normally see them?

Mr. Perez: Yes.

Supv Kramer: And they don't show any washout underneath? We can look at the plans and see if there is anything stormwater related in that area.

Mr. Perez: We can check.

- **FENCE PROPOSALS**

Mr. van der Snel: The fence quotes, I'm sorry the last one... also of fence... came in really late. I really have to beg them to get the quote, but they did... and, by advisement, I sent it over to the Board. Hope everybody received it. That makes... we have a complete total of three quotes for the Board to look at. If there's any questions, please let me know. I did verify with all the contractors that all the poles go in concrete. Two of them did put that on that quote, but it's such a normal... they said it's just a normal practice that they did... they did put it on. However, Chapco did, which is good.

Supv Kassel: I had a question about the fencing proposals. So, I didn't know how these proposals came to be requested. I'm assuming this is for the fencing out on 192. Who requested this, and why & where?

Supv Kramer: Okay, we're going to have Mr. Perez answer that.

Mr. Perez: So, Supervisor Kassel, it was one of a proactive approach on Gerhard's part, you know, we're look at the fence that needs to be clean, but it's pretty battered, there's a lot of Band-Aids on, it's the best way to put it. Um, it's a very old fence. So, I spoke with Gerhard and we decided that we needed to move forward with getting proposals to present to the Board if they wanted to consider this as an action for replacement. Just again, no requests from supervisors, solely just a proactive proposal.

Supv Leet: And I think there were pictures in the agenda... two months ago, maybe?

Supv Berube: Yes, it's been ongoing. We talked about it several times.

Supv Kassel: That was another thing with the reserve study that I didn't see; the replacement of that fence was imminent and in the reserve study, which was confusing.

Supv Kramer: Yes, that's one of things, Supervisor Kassel, that I asked the reserve folks, "*You quoted us a lifespan of this fence yet we're looking at replacing it 10 years earlier because it is literally crumbling?*" So, I asked them to maybe rethink their position on the lifespan of that vinyl fencing.

Supv Berube: What do they have for that?

Supv Kramer: I don't recall.

Supv Berube: It's been here for 20 years.

Supv Kramer: It's been here for almost 20 years. There are two questions, now that we're on the fence, and maybe we can deal with the fence now. One of the questions is we don't own the entire frontage and fence. So, the question is, what are we going to do. Is there a possibility of working and doing a cooperative with the other owners, which could be difficult. Or do we want go in and renew and replace now and set the standard and hopefully the other owners will follow suit? So, thoughts about those two issues.

Supv Berube: Well, you have two. One, just changed hands, and the other was the golf course.

Supv Kramer: Oh, we actually have three.

Supv Berube: Three?

Supv Kramer: Yes. We have Compass Trading. We still have some for Harmony Retail. We have the new owners that are getting ready to do a development. Then we have the golf course, and then ourselves.

Supv Berube: Harmony Retail just changed, I believe, and that probably took Compass Trading along with it, though that may not have been reflected in the property records, but that just happened in the last while?

Supv Kramer: Wasn't that just west of the entry road and not east of the entry road?

Supv Berube: I don't know what all transferred.

Supv Kramer: I understand it was not the stuff west of the... I mean, east of the entry road.

Supv Berube: I thought that Mr. Fusilier and his entity sold everything that he owned, but I don't know that for sure. That is rumor on the street.

Supv Kramer: Yeah. I will check –

Supv Leet: Harmony Retail is still the owner from the property records as of right now.

Supv Kramer: Right.

Supv Berube: So, it hasn't... maybe it hasn't been recorded. Somebody is going to find all these owners and tell them what you're doing and ask, right?

Supv Kassel: I'll just say that it's a lovely idea to be an example, but based on our experience with the recent developers, being a good example does not mean that they will follow suit.

Supv Berube: Right.

Supv Kramer: So, at this point, until we know something firm, do we want to just keep... keep Band- Aiding it? Are we in a position where we can replace single panels? Luckily, the fence is strictly aesthetic, and it's not a safety issue. It's nothing like that. We're not worried about someone falling through it or tripping over it or anything because it's out there on the frontage. So, can we get pieces to replace the parts that are so majorly deteriorated and hold it together for a while, or do we want to jump in?

Supv Berube: Well, here's something to consider. We're going to put a 3,300 linear feet of nice shining white fence. Two years from now, it's going to be dirty and green and other colors. So, I'm going to go out there, I'm going to hit it with pressure water, and that nice shine is going to disappear. Then we're going to be pressure washing it every year and you... you have this degradation of it every time you clean it. Right down the street, those folks put in a black fence. It doesn't matter how dirty it gets. Solves the whole problem of getting it dirty. Oh, I know everybody's shaking their head, "*No, no, no. We like the white fence.*"

Supv Kassel: I like that.

Supv Berube: But the white fences look terrible once they get old.

Ms. Montagna: I think this one's been cleaned so much that if it's cleaned with any other stuff it's going to start crumbling.

Supv Berube: I understand that. Yes. It's done. It was that recycled plastic, when they put it up, then the green stuff, you know, I get it. But the fact of the matter is when you put white vinyl outside, it

turns dirty really quickly and the more often you pressure wash it, the shorter the cycles in between because it gets all rough and dry and the molds sticks on it and there you go.

Supv Kramer: The other question I had was when I was looking at the sites for the pool furniture, and that pool furniture, I swear to heavens, but for the manufacturer's defect, that has lasted. When was it originally purchased?

Supv Berube: Some was replaced, but some of it is probably original, because we moved it around. But we did a major repair there five years ago... seven years ago? We spent around \$50,000.

Mr. van der Snel: That was the original amount that was purchased in the beginning of Harmony, and then later on, purchased more lounge chairs for \$40,000... about that.

Supv Berube: Right.

Supv Kramer: When was that?

Supv Berube: Five years... five to seven years, at most.

Mr. van der Snel: Longer. It was before my time. So, it's going to be at least 8-10 years.

Supv Kramer: Yeah. So, 10 years.

Mr. van der Snel: Yep.

Supv Kramer: Okay. That stuff, I'm telling you...

Supv Berube: It's like brand new. Except for where it has cracked.

Supv Kramer: It's beautiful. They have fencing that they make out of the same stuff. And, but for the position we are in right now with our economy, I would say go there, but that's not available and the cost has like doubled or tripled. So, my question is, if we can hold off for another six months by patching here and there, and using bailing wire, and then keeping it together... might we get to a better place in the economy to look at some much longer-term permanent fix, because that's stuff seems to me – being out in the sun, being heavy, you know, wear and tear – it'll probably last us 50 years.

Supv Berube: I think the bigger difference is the way that the pool furniture gets maintained and cleaned where Shaun goes in there and just sprays it with bleach, rinses it off and you're done. That's not really super aggressive on the plastic. When that plastic gets whacked by the hot water at 2500 psi, it opens up those pores and, and that's your difference in lifespan, I think. And it's impractical to go out to 192 with the spray gun with bleach. You're going to kill all the foliage, right? And then, just the trying to do it. I think that's the major difference. And I agree that the pool furniture, the material itself, it's beautiful.

Supv Kramer: Well, it's not hollow, it's solid.

Supv Berube: Right.

Supv Kramer: And it's very different.

Supv Berube: And it maintains that shine.

Supv Kramer: Right.

Supv Berube: That's the big difference. When the shine of the white plastic goes away, that's when it goes downhill quickly. You know, if at some point, the fence... it's us, I mean... it defines Harmony.

Everybody knows where the white fence is. It's been there for a long time. It's looking pretty dingy when you look at it carefully, because the median in the middle of the road is unmaintained too and that was pretty dingy as well, but that's a separate story. The only thing I don't like is us putting up some patchwork fencing and having our neighbors with their fence connecting to ours still looks dingy, right? If we can get an agreement from our neighbors that own that same kind of white fence, I'd take it on now. But if we're not going to get that agreement, I don't want to spend this kind of money, and... Because everybody's going to think the CDD didn't replace all of their fence, they put this patchwork in the middle and look at that.

Supv Kramer: Yeah. Well, why don't we do this. Why don't we let District Management reach out to the other owners and talk to them about it. And then we could look at doing some cooperative agreement, and then we will come back and reconsider our options. Again, I don't think this is the time, unless we have something that's structural at issue, to be sinking money into vinyl or recycled because the prices are just out of this world.

Supv Kassel: I agree.

Mr. Qualls: Madam Chair.

Supv Kramer: Yes?

Mr. Qualls: Just one quick note. It's been a while since I researched it, but I believe the law is if an adjoining landowner buys property and the fence is already there when they buy it, they then have an obligation to help repair and maintain the fence.

Supv Kassel: Can't hear you.

Mr. Qualls: Oh. So, I believe the law is, if an adjoining landowner buys their property when the fence is already in existence, it's a boundary fence, they then have an obligation to help repair and maintain the fence.

Supv Berube: You said a key word there, Tim, boundary fences. They're not really a boundary fence. It's not a boundary for us, it's a boundary between 192 and private property, but we're not arguing at that point.

Mr. Qualls: It's the boundary between public and private property. It's the boundary of the CDD. I think it's a boundary.

Supv Leet: No, it's not on... it's like I've got on screen here. It's like, typically, it's in the middle, actually, of the tracts, but it's just for the aesthetic fence along 192.

Supv Berube: We're talking about it'll be private on both sides of the fence.

Mr. Qualls: Who constructed it?

Supv Berube: Developer.

Mr. Qualls: There's probably no... there's probably zero duty of this District to do that, if you didn't construct it and it's not on your boundary.

Supv Kramer: That's true.

Supv Berube: We're talking about the aesthetics.

Supv Kramer: Understood, the aesthetics of it. I think we're good.

Supv Berube: Yeah. Well, we'll have the conversation and see where it goes.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Does that cover everything?

- **DOG PARK ACCESS**

Supv Leet: Is there a key card proposal for the dog park?

Mr. van der Snel: Oh, yeah. Sorry. So, the key card proposal, you have. I was trying to get someone else on board, which is not calling me back, so unfortunately for that... However, what we have here is just single for the dog park. I did not do the new dog park because it... it does not have power, so it doesn't have a base point to start with... for TEM.

Supv Kassel: I have a question. This appears to be talking about one east entrance and the one west entrance, and I'm confused by the proposal. Can you please clarify what this includes?

Mr. van der Snel: So, the Board has discussed to close two entrances to make this a cheaper option, correct me if I'm wrong.

Supv Kassel: That's correct.

Mr. van der Snel: So, two entry boxes would be closed, so now you have two quotes for two entry boxes.

Supv Berube: On the same dog park.

Mr. van der Snel: Yes, on the same dog park. However, the one for the small dog park and one for the large dog park, which is actually it's adjacent to the play area. So, the one is at play area, the one in far back would be closed then and the one on the Bracken Fern side will be closed then.

Supv Berube: So, for this cost, we will be locking two gates permanently and denying access.

Mr. van der Snel: That's correct.

Supv Berube: I don't think that's going to fly. People aren't going to be happy.

Supv Kassel: That's what I mentioned last week, at our last meeting, but others had a different opinion.

Supv Berube: Yeah. Well, I know.

Supv Kassel: Yeah, but without doing this at the third park, you know we're making it a magnet for people who wouldn't have key card.

Supv Berube: Let me ask our Field Manager a question here. So, if we wanted to do the other two gates at these parks, it would roughly be this amount of money plus because it has to be some trenching involved for a further distance, basically, around the perimeter of the park with trenching?

Supv Kramer: Yeah. I think it was \$30,000.

Mr. van der Snel: Yes. 30,000. Yeah.

Supv Berube: Yeah. So, well, that's what we thought, and if you double this plus a little bit, we're back at the original \$30,000 estimate.

Supv Berube: I don't think we should be in the business of taking things away from people what they have and an entrance gate, it's rather important. Like when a tree falls down, you don't just cut the stump and cover it over, right? You put the tree back up because that's always been here before. Taking away a couple of gates for the purpose of saving money and I understand we need to save money, but you know, again, it comes down to the moral dilemma we faced last month with this one-time deal with this dog. I'm sorry it happened, but the fact of the matter is you're asking the community potentially to spend \$30,000 to maybe not solve the problem, because another attack can happen on a dog. I mean, it's sad this happened. People get bit by dogs, you don't react in a huge manner, and lock everybody up in the cage. So, and then we still have another dog park to think about with two more gates. I don't know. It's tough.

Supv Kramer: Am I hearing any inclination to go further with the key card lock on the gates? Or are we going to hope that the letter and the threat of trespass will suffice?

Supv Berube: I like the letter and the threat of trespass.

Supv Leet: I mean we have this formal quote now. So, going forward if we do have either continued issues with this previous subject or additional issues, we can balance that against... well, we know it's going to be about \$30k to do this one... maybe, you know, 40, 45 to do the additional one as well. We can have a conversation at the time, but as of right now, seems to be that we're good.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So, all right. At this point, we will move on, we will keep this in consideration, and one of the things that we may need to do, whether it's myself or the District Manager, we need to have a sit down with the Sheriff and talk about the issue of trespass and trespass notices since it is in our rules. And, we are not allowed to be law enforcement, so we do need law enforcement to work for us or assist us in our duties. So, unless there's further discussion, we will leave that at that. Okay. Anything else for today?

- **{SIDE TOPIC}**

Supv Berube: Yes. How many vehicles do you have down right now?

Mr. van der Snel: Two.

Supv Berube: Bobcat and Landmaster? Because of repair costs or some other problems?

Mr. van der Snel: We have discussed it to leave the Landmaster and the Bobcat, without... not repairing it, let's say that... because we're getting a new vehicle, I think we're still getting a new vehicle. Once that vehicle is there, then we would have full operational. We could manage it without a spare vehicle at that point so the Bobcat repairs will be more than a thousand dollars. The Landmaster has been trouble, as you know, for a while. We can absolutely bring it to the repair, but I'm guessing it'll be more than thousand dollars to get it all up and running again. The thing was, do we weigh in the cost of repair, or were we going to sell it anyway? So, the Board obviously needs to decide, are we going to sell the Bobcat and Landmaster? The Bobcat is a draining expense right now. Well, so about the Landmaster, it has been troubled for a long time. We could keep that as a spare vehicle. However, the Bobcat and the Landmaster are both out of commission right now.

Supv Berube: Sure. So, you're down one guy right now?

Mr. van der Snel: Correct.

Supv Berube: One front line vehicle and your spare vehicle is down. So, you're operating now with enough vehicles but if you get another guy, staffed up, you'll be short one vehicle for that guy?

Mr. van der Snel: No, that's not correct. So, we have the Viking, we have the Polaris, we have the Mule, and we have the EV – electric vehicle.

Supv Berube: So, you should be good?

Mr. van der Snel: Right. So, the Mule could turn into the spare vehicle.

Supv Berube: Yeah, right. That makes sense because that's the second oldest one.

Mr. van der Snel: And then it's very reliable. More reliable now than the other two.

Supv Berube: I'm just trying to get a handle on what your needs are, what the money cost they're going to be.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So, we're good?

Ms. Montagna: We've already started gathering proposal for the vehicle that the Board budgeted for. When would the Board like to see those on your agenda for review?

Supv Berube: Is there... Do we have any EV available somewhere?

Supv Kramer: Well, the question is... I don't want to jump in to buy now if there's not an immediate demand for the vehicle because right now the cost of everything is much more than it should be.

Ms. Montagna: That's why we've just been getting proposals, but I don't want the Board to think that we haven't been getting proposals. It's whenever you're ready to view them, we've been getting them and we'll continue.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Just keep an eye on the pricing, and when it starts coming down to more reasonable, then we can talk about it, but my understanding is that everything's just kind of out of this world right now.

Mr. Perez: Well, the Polaris we got quote for, I believe \$14k and change, and then the club, the club cart, the UMax, right?

Mr. van der Snel: The Yamaha?

Mr. Perez: Yamaha. I'm sorry. The Yamaha UMax. I believe you guys bought one last year for right around \$11k. The one I got quote for was \$11,900. So, it's not that far out of line.

Supv Berube: So, it's a UMax 2 EV?

Mr. Perez: From Kissimmee Motorsports.

Supv Berube: In today's world, that's a bargain.

Mr. Perez: Those are two quotes that I've received. I didn't get the Polaris one, the Polaris was –

Supv Kramer: A lot higher.

Mr. Perez: Three grand higher.

Supv Kramer: Okay. The question is, the work that vehicle is going to be doing, will the UMax be able to do it?

Mr. Perez: I will defer to Gerhard because he's the one who uses it.

Mr. van der Snel: Yes. So, the UMax, Mr. Berube has investigated that, with purchase of the previous UMax. Has a very good torque, it has a very good pull. It has a hitch in the back, you can pull a trailer with it.

Supv Kramer: Right. All I need to know is, is it going to do what you need it to do. I don't want to buy something that is not needed.

Supv Berube: Here's the reason for pushing EV. Number one is quiet. And all the specs of that before we bought it matched up with the gasoline powered one and by doing that, you save all the gasoline powered maintenance cost.

Supv Kramer: You don't have to mention that. I'm good with that. Yes, I'm totally on board with EV.

Supv Berube: That UMax has required zero dollars maintenance.

Supv Kramer: But the Polaris is an EV also.

Supv Berube: Yes.

Supv Kassel: So, are we in need of replacing that vehicle right now?

Supv Berube: Your Mule is 10 years old and it's only going to start costing money to repair. That's a problem.

Supv Kramer: Well, we just spent something like \$2500 on the Polaris because of a transmission issue, there's no reason that age of vehicle should have had that transmission problem. So, we need to look at how we're driving the vehicle.

Supv Berube: No, what happened there is your dealer took you for a ride on that, it needed a belt. That's what it needed.

Supv Kramer: Well, then we need to be more careful and –

Ms. Montagna: I'll reach out to get information on that and I'll be sending that report out to the Board.

Supv Kassel: So, do we need this vehicle now? At this time?

Supv Berube: No, but you will.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So, we will revisit it at a later date. We have the quotes. We have the possibilities, and we have the options.

Supv Berube: Then you put it in next month's package for review?

Ms. Montagna: That's what I, that's what I'm asking if it –

Supv Kramer: Sure. Let's put it in the next month's package.

Ms. Montagna: Okay.

- **{SIDE TOPIC}**

Supv Kramer: A quick one. This deals with Field Services, the Conservation Area monitoring. Austin Environmental, again, was a no show on our Conservation Area monitoring. I had a call with the Water Management District, they are in agreement that seven of the eight transects we've been monitoring are not on CDD property and are not even representative of the issues that they want monitored. So, they have given us the go ahead to revise our Conservation Area monitoring program, and to reestablish one. They said even if we continued on for another year at the eight transects, they would not be ending it at the end of five years. It would be ongoing because we have such a major problem with the old-world climbing fern. I've asked David to reach out to his, environmental folks. When I sent the notice to Austin Environmental, I first verified that they did not follow through on the contract. I told them to end any monitoring. I said we'd be more than glad to accept the proposal from them, but again, based on their past performance that would be kind of iffy, but we'll see what they offer up.

Supv Berube: The transect question is because our permit required us to... We had a blanket permit and they got into private ownership.

Supv Kramer: We have no right to be on any of those lands. So please, do not go on or invite anyone or ask anyone to go on those. They have, the Water Management District has, an easement to access and go on to and monitor and do management, but we do not, whether they're in our perimeter or not. So, that is currently under Water Management review as to what they're going to do. The indication right now is they are going to enforce against those landowners, since they have not been taking care of their Conservation Areas.

Supv Kassel: So, if I understand correctly, what you're saying is seven or eight of the areas we've been trying to treat, we no longer have to treat, because they are not owned by the CDD. And we are going to put together some new wetland monitoring plan, and probably not with Austin Environmental. Did I get that right?

Supv Kramer: You're very close. The transects and their locations were not representative of the proportion. We own approximately half of the Conservation Area lands that are on this side of US192, or within our boundaries, the other half are under private ownership. Luckily, we identified this early and we have not been treating the private lands because of my concern that it would possibly get us into a trespass issue, and using toxic chemicals on other people's property is never a good idea. So, we have not been treating it, we've had enough of a problem on our own CDD owned lands to deal with, so we've been actively working on our own lands. But what will help us out enormously, if the Water Management District enforces against the private landowners who are holding on to those Conservation Areas, enforces against them and forces them to do the treatment, then those Old World Climbing Fern spores will then not come onto our property. So, that is the goal that we're headed for and not having to pay for that treatment.

Supv Kassel: Okay, thank you.

Supv Kramer: We'll come back next month with a couple of proposals to present to the Board for a new monitoring plan and moving forward from here.

Supv Berube: There's got to be a better way with this stuff.

Supv Kramer: It's called aerial spraying. And we may have to look at going outside of the ... One big option we need to look at is going outside, having a company come in and do a major blast, it's going

to be expensive, but a major blast so we get ahead of it and we get to the point where we can maintain it. The problem is, it's growing faster than we can kill it.

Supv Leet: I know, in the distant past of Greg Golgowski, they did some thinning of the south shore, by Buck Lake.

Supv Berube: Right. They cleared it "all" out. They completely removed the understory and left fertile ground for Old World Climbing Fern to proliferate.

Supv Leet: Okay, was it because that was only a half measure, like did... that was a good thing to do, but then needed the... additional treatment, or...?

Supv Kramer: No, we didn't have Old World Climbing Fern before that was done. So, it's a combination of two things that we've got a real problem with now. One is opening up the ground to let the spores take root. The other is that we are seeing temperatures change, Old World Climbing Fern is migrating further and further, more aggressively north in the State of Florida. Those two combined have given us the problem, it's not one or the other unfortunately.

Supv Berube: The stuff is almost indestructible. You can blowtorch it, and it comes back.

Supv Kramer: Yes, it's pretty tough.

- **VANDALISM REPORT**

Supv Kramer: Gerhard, do you have any vandalism to report?

Mr. van der Snel: Oh, yes. Vandalism. So, there in September, October, there's been some vandalism mostly in the Ashley Park. I worked together with Ryan Quinn who is a local sheriff, who also lives in Harmony is very involved in keeping Harmony safe. So, we worked together with him, sent him all the video material. He did some investigation. Resource officers at school recognized one of the persons. Got in touch with the person and he gave up the other names of the other persons who were contacted by the sheriff's department and, they were all outside of Harmony. Only one person was inside Harmony. We got in contact with the family. The grandfather owned the situation, made him come to my office and apologize. So, he did apologize, to the CDD, about, having a, his elbow going through the window of Ashley Park, those costs for \$160. He wrote me a cheque right away for \$160 and that was that. Now, however, there's another damage of the window that we can't define. There's missing graffiti, on Ashley Park, that we don't know who that was. However, the persons that were causing the problems have not been seen at the Ashley Park pool anymore. And that's pretty much the goal that was the goal. We retrieved half of the damage, the rest of them which we could fix in-house. However, we worked together very well with the sheriff department and got the situation handled as far as we could.

Supv Kramer: Okay.

Supv Berube: That glass got broken twice?

Mr. van der Snel: Yes.

Supv Berube: Same guy?

Mr. van der Snel: He says, "No"; but it was different, and we cannot trace it back on the camera because the restroom doesn't have, you know, camera area.

Supv Kramer: Okay. I will get with you later, I'd like to view that video because if they are the same individuals that we had a run in with at the other pool, then we need to look at that. But I'll get with you on that. I don't think you are on property when that one happened. I do want to say one thing, if it comes down to questions of charging or not charging, please let us know because that's a decision we need to get with the Board on. There was a mistaken statement in one of the weekly reports... from my understanding, InfraMark Management did not authorize Gerhard to make those charging decisions.

Ms. Montagna: Correct.

Supv Kramer: Those are serious decisions that we need to make.

Mr. van der Snel: No charges have addressed. There's no... no trespassing.

Supv Kramer: Right. But... again if we need to do that, that needs to be a decision by the Board. So anyway, just be aware of that. Okay? Is that the extent of your report?

Mr. van der Snel: That's all I have.

Supv Kramer: Thank you. The next order of business is the District Manager.

D. DISTRICT MANAGER

Ms. Montagna: Very quick, just a couple of things.

- **HARMONY INVOICES**

Ms. Montagna: The Harmony invoices we talked about a few times, I'll send everybody the information again. All I was looking to do is see if there was a way to make getting the Board their invoices and things a lot more efficient and streamlined for you all.

Supv Kassel: Speak into the microphone please.

Ms. Montagna: Sorry, I'll send something out to the Board, I was just wanting to make getting your invoices and things a lot more efficient and streamlined for you, that's all. So, I'll send that out to the Board, just a couple of different options, and then we can talk about it at the next meeting. The other thing is, Gerhard, we have moved to an InfraMark credit card and any purchases that Gerhard makes on that card, or even myself, anybody who makes a purchase for District on an InfraMark credit card, there's a 15% markup on that. It's an administrative fee, to process it, therefore I'm asking the Board... And we're not charging that, by the way, I let Chris know that this Board is not open to that, we're not charging it. However, the Board... what I'm asking is, do you want to go back to having a CDD card, Harmony credit card, where there is no markup, there is no admin fee, there is no anything, or I can go back and try and, you know, let Chris know, "*No, you want to keep the InfraMark card, but we do not want to pay a 15% admin fee for that?*" So, those are the two options. As of right now, Gerhard is fine, he's making the purchases as he needs to, but there is no markup. But we need to determine, and I need to let Chris know, we need to go one way or the other. Next thing is the Garden Club, this will be on your next agenda, and I can put the credit card on the next agenda as well, that way it's on here for everyone. The Garden Club has requested a Harmony CDD credit card as well for \$500 to make their purchases as they need them to do the things for the Garden. As I explained to the Garden Club, I don't have that authority, that would have come before the Board, so that will be on your December agenda as well.

Supv Berube: To be clear, you're talking about credit cards, we've never had a credit card, we've always had debit cards.

Ms. Montagna: Well, you can use it as debit or credit. Yeah. Same thing.

Supv Berube: Right.

Ms. Montagna: So, you had a Harmony CDD... is what your staff used... your staff meaning Gerhard used that. Well, we went away from that and went to an InfraMark... he's got a corporate card per se, but everything he uses it for... obviously, it's just for Harmony, so it gets billed back to the District, but typically it would be a 15% markup.

Supv Berube: Which happened last month on the permits.

Ms. Montagna: Correct. Well, that was because of Natasha, another employee... when we first did it, they automatically did a 15% markup. I have gotten that credited back. I think it was \$180, for the permits that were first paid when we took over the contract in June. That has been credited back, you're not going to get charged the 15%, but you need to determine... I can go back to Chris, "*No, want to keep the InfraMark card, but do not want to pay that 15% admin fee.*" Or go back to a Harmony CDD card, as you had before. Okay? We can put that on the December agenda, I don't think you all need to get into a long discussion about it now. Also, the Garden Club credit card, I'll put under my report next month as well.

- **RESERVE STUDY**

Ms. Montagna: The Reserve Study workshop-it's very important, I think, with all the questions and concerns, and all of that, with the initial Reserve Study that we set up a Reserve Study workshop. So, if anyone has any dates or anything like that, I'll be happy to set that up.

Ms. Montagna: So, the only other thing is, do you have a date that you guys would like to schedule a workshop? I can give you time to think about it and we can come back and talk about it at the December meeting. People can shoot me dates, I can shoot out dates, however the Board would like me to do that.

Supv Berube: Well, next week is out.

Supv Kassel: We could do a Doodle poll.

Ms. Montagna: I'm sorry?

Supv Kassel: We could do a Doodle poll. I was saying we can do a Doodle poll for dates. Are you familiar with Doodle polls?

Supv Berube: Do a doodle poll, email poll. Pick something, you pick a date.

Supv Kassel: It's Doodle, D-O-O-D-L-E, you go to Doodle... I believe it's doodle.com... and set up a poll where everybody gets a link and then they can go to the poll and they can select from certain dates.

Ms. Montagna: Oh yeah, I can't do that... I can't poll the Board.

Supv Berube: No –

Mr. Hamstra: Since we're meeting on the 15th, can't we just do an hour before that? Since we're already committed to the 15th?

Ms. Montagna: I could reach out, if that... if that's an option the Board wants to take, I can definitely, myself or Julie, reach out to Deb and ask if it is available, we can do that or do it on a different date. Again, up to the Board, I don't think the Board needs to get down in the weeds tonight, but you probably want to do it sooner than later, so you can get your Reserve Study wrapped up.

Supv Leet: We, just to be clear, we can do those telephonically, or do we need to have a meeting space with a quorum to do it?

Ms. Montagna: No, you can do it over Zoom, you can do it in-person, we can do telephone, it's the Board's preference.

Supv Leet: The only thought with trying to do it before the meeting, do we think an hour will be enough? I mean, we've typically gone the whole length.

Supv Kramer: How about two weeks from tonight, do you think the Reserve Study folks will be ready for it then? It's better before they do the final, right?

Ms. Montagna: Correct. And that's the point, is to give them all of the Board's input and answer any questions you guys may have.

Supv Berube: December 2nd?

Supv Kassel: That's the Harmony Nature and Animal Committee, which Dan and I are both attending.

Supv Berube: December 9th?

Ms. Montagna: I cannot do the 9th; I have a prior engagement. Not that I need to be here for it either, if we're going to record it and all of those things, I don't have to be here.

Supv Leet: We've done them Wednesdays in the past as well.

Ms. Montagna: Okay.

Supv Berube: December 1st?

Supv Kassel: The 8th would work for me. I'm not available... I don't think I'm available the 1st, but the 8th would work.

Supv Kramer: I think I'm okay.

Ms. Montagna: I don't think I have anything.

Supv Kramer: Okay. So, tentatively we will schedule it for December 8th, unless I hear otherwise from any of the members.

Ms. Montagna: Time?

Supv Kassel: Are you going to do it via Zoom or...?

Supv Kramer: Yes, let's do it via Zoom, unless anybody says otherwise.

Ms. Montagna: What time would you like that?

Supv Berube: Six o'clock.

Supv Kramer: All right, do it, schedule it from 6:00 to 8:00, and hope we finish early.

Ms. Montagna: Okay. December 8th, 6:00 PM to 8:00 PM via Zoom?

Supv Berube: Yes.

Ms. Montagna: I will confirm with Reserve Study first and make sure he's available that date, and then if everybody can get over their questions, concerns, comments, to him prior, way prior to that, actually like in the next week, so he can kind of put everything together and be ready to go, so it's a productive meeting.

Supv Berube: Should we roll that... those questions through you, so you can avoid the duplicates?

Ms. Montagna: Sure, that's fine. However you'd like to do it.

Supv Berube: Because rather than have the guy get five emails all with the same question, right.

Ms. Montagna: So, all right, that's it.

- {SIDE TOPIC}

Supv Berube: One question for the District Manager while she's on. We talked several times about a true-up, where do we stand with that?

Ms. Montagna: Doesn't need to be done yet, Elizabeth's monitoring it. So, everything that I have sent you still is where it is today. And the minute that it needs to happen, I'll update everyone, and Elizabeth checks in with me pretty much every other day.

Supv Berube: The key that we're watching here is that A2 plat – ?

Ms. Montagna: Correct. Whichever is platted first, A or M, that's what's going to trigger it, and then once it's triggered, then we move forward.

Supv Berube: Thank you.

Supv Kramer: Thank you. The next order of business is the Consent Agenda.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

CONSENT AGENDA

Supv Kramer: We currently have the Consent Agenda up for approval. It includes the monthly minutes, the financial statements for October 2021, and the approval of invoice register 259.

A. MINUTES APPROVAL

Supv Kramer: I have a couple of changes to the minutes that I noted that I wanted to be sure of. Page 40 of the Minutes, which is page 132 in the agenda, there is an action box, it says "Motion by," it doesn't list the name, that should be Supervisor Kassel. And her motion is made on line 1214. Seconded by Supervisor Scarborough, and that's on line 1219.

Ms. Montagna: Okay.

Supv Kassel: Good catch.

Supv Kramer: So, that is one. Another one is on page 57 of the Minutes. It's again a motion box, an action box, the second on that one should be Supervisor Scarborough. His second is on line 1779, he seconded it for discussion purposes, and did not withdraw it, so that would have been the second.

Ms. Montagna: Okay.

Supv Kramer: There is a third one that we need to rectify, and on this one I need to apologize to the entire Board and ask that we ratify just to be sure. This action item is on page 44 of the Minutes, which is page 136 of the agenda. The action was on the Harmony Cove drainage easement and amendment. We had a unanimous vote on this, but we lacked a motion and a second. Unfortunately, there was an indication that we might have had a motion and a second and I called the question and we did not. So, at this point in time, I would ask the forgiveness of the Board and ask that we do a formal motion and second.

Supv Berube: Wait, wait, wait, we all voted aye without a motion and a second?

Supv Kramer: Yes, we sure did.

Ms. Montagna: I think everybody was talking over everyone. When I re-listened to it, in the audio everybody was talking over everyone, and then the next thing you know we made it. I think it was done with a motion of the second, it just didn't get picked up and –

Supv Kramer: Yes. It was a mess. In an abundance of caution, if the Board will indulge me, I'll make the motion to approve the Harmony Cove... or to ratify the vote that we took on the Harmony Cove drainage easement and 10th amendment. Do I hear a second?

Supv Berube: I'll second.

Supv Kramer: I have a motion and a second, do I hear any objections? Hearing none, it passes. We have reaffirmed that motion.

On MOTION by **Supv Kramer** seconded by **Supv Berube**, with all in favor, the Board ratified their vote on November 18, 2021 to approve the Harmony Cove Drainage Easement and 10th Amendment.

Ms. Montagna: When you approve, we will do as amended with these revisions?

Supv Kramer: Yes. All right, any further discussion of the Consent Agenda?

B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT

C. EXPENSES APPROVAL

Supv Berube: I have a question for the District Manager; something we talked about. The Servello irrigation invoice rose from \$2,200 a month to \$2,233 this month. That's one and a half –

Supv Kramer: That is –

Supv Berube: I know what it is, it's 1.5% over, but I went back and looked at our motion two months ago when we renewed the contract, and we renewed the contract, but we didn't provide for a price increase.

Ms. Montagna: It was in the contract.

Supv Kramer: It was a part of the renewal of the overall contract, the overall contract normally would renew at, I think it was a 3%, they agreed to only do a 1.5%, and that's the one... one and a half.

Supv Berube: Okay. I just wasn't clear that we had authorized a 1.5% increase on that contract. I know we did for the overall, but I know that we handle the irrigation one separately to the –

Supv Kramer: It was folded into the overall contract.

Supv Berube: Okay.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Any further questions on the Consent Agenda?

Supv Leet: I would just say that, for InfraMark, we had some issues with the approved Minutes from back in September, it was a scan or something and I had to go through and reprocess it. I don't know what the issue was. It was a PDF, but something was wrong with the PDF and it gets grainy. So just a little more attention there so we have a good version for the website.

Supv Kramer: Okay. Any further questions?

Supv Kassel: Yes, I have a question. I noticed in the financials we were over in sidewalk maintenance by \$7,000 already, just since the first month of the year. I just would like an explanation on that, please?

Supv Kramer: Oh, do you have the explanation for it? I think what happened was that the sidewalk for the crosswalk... Am I right on this? And the dog park concrete, and everything, all that concrete action that InfraMark did for us so wonderfully got lumped into sidewalks instead of being put into the right category, and that will get corrected. And we're having a head shake, we have got it made.

Ms. Montagna: Yes, I'm having a few things reclassified, that actually went into the wrong buckets.

Supv Kassel: All right, thank you.

Ms. Montagna: I apologize for that.

Supv Kramer: Any other questions? None? Then I move to approve the Consent Agenda.

Supv Kassel: I second the motion.

On MOTION by **Supv Kramer** seconded by **Supv Kassel**, with all in favor, the Board moved to approve the Consent Agenda as amended

Supv Kramer: Thank you. The next order of business is Old Business.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

OLD BUSINESS

A. GARDEN ROAD

Supv Kramer: We're going to finish this up pretty quickly. Garden Road update, we're still waiting on that, he gave it to us in the engineer's report. Towboat repair proposal, Brett?

B. TOW BOAT

Mr. Perez: Yeah, so the towboat was delivered to Jim's Fiberglass, he gave his assessment on it and provided us a quote for repair based off of what he found. And we did remove... his initial quote was \$7,000 for repair and we went back to him and removed some hatches on the hull to eliminate some costs,

and it came back \$6,500 as the final price to get that boat repaired. He is waiting for a decision, if there's going to be a change, he did text me tonight and asked I to let him know as soon as possible. I do still feel that this is probably the best option to continue with this repair. I know you mentioned that there could be some carpentry work or if we knew a carpenter... I think if we continue to delay the process any longer we're going to be probably looking at buying a new boat versus getting this one repaired. That's just my opinion.

Supv Kramer: And the problem is... is that the material for the repairs are going up steadily and unfortunately, Jim's Fiberglass, I think he had to procure insurance in order to meet our requirements.

Ms. Montagna: He did.

Supv Kramer: So, that bumped the quote up also a bit.

Supv Kassel: What was the not to exceed on this?

Supv Berube: \$4,000.

Supv Kramer: 4,000 was for us to go out and take a look and see what was out there. The problem is... is that it's very difficult to find anything, any services at all dealing with boats right now, it's just nearly impossible. I know Brett and Gerhard, myself, I don't know how many other people were scouring, trying to locate... Unfortunately, boat repair people are not something you just go out and get. They work in the back of the industrial complex and you've got to know somebody who knows somebody to even get to them. So, Jim's Fiberglass, he indicated, and I think he verified, that he works with the Florida Game and Fish, and a couple of other entities. So, that's what we have right now.

Mr. Perez: He also mentioned too, Teresa, that he is not passing the entire cost to COI along to us... because he does feel that he should have it. The crazy thing is the state doesn't even ask for it.

Supv Kramer: Right. I know

Mr. Perez: FWC, the local authorities down there, that they work on Donzi and stuff, they just ask for a quote and they say, "*Get it done.*"

Supv Berube: Because 99% of the time, they assume that he has it.

Mr. Perez: Correct.

Supv Kassel: So, we need a motion to approve this new amount?

Supv Kramer: I do, yes.

Supv Kassel: Okay, I so move.

Supv Kramer: I have a motion to approve \$6,500 for a complete renovation of the interior of our towboat, including the transom. Do I hear a second?

Supv Leet: I'll second.

Supv Kramer: I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion?

On MOTION by **Supv Kassel** seconded by **Supv Leet**, with all in favor, the Board moved to approve \$6,500 to repair the Tow Boat.

Supv Leet: It sounds like some heartburn over there, Steve.

Supv Berube: Giant heartburn.

C. BERUBE

Supv Berube: You can skip item C.

Supv Kramer: Okay. The next order of business is New Business.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

A. LEGAL FEES

Supv Kramer: We are going to skip item 7A, we will deal with that next month.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

SUPERVISOR REQUESTS

Supv Leet: Just for our benefit here, I am going to send DM Montagna an order for a room mic, we can just plug it in with the existing hardware we have, and everything, it'll pick up the room, whoever happens to be speaking at the time will be recorded and all that, so –

Supv Berube: And related to that, this is nice that's it here and it's close and it's free, but it will be nice to have a regular meeting place. Do we have any movement towards that, or...?

Supv Kassel: Oh yeah, Mr. Qualls did not report on that, but he –

Ms. Montagna: He did. I have not looked at any additional places, COVID is still in full effect in a lot of places, I can start reaching out to them again, we covered probably, what, seven to 10 places.

Supv Berube: I think we all agreed the last time the library would be nice, if First Nature was not available. So, if the library is still available, I think we kind of all agreed on it.

Ms. Yevich: Yeah, so the library had COVID protocols in place, so you have still to do the six foot distances and they have limited room, for people in the room. So, it's like we can revisit it, but I know that one of the issues with the library was that you have to observe the COVID protocols.

Ms. Montagna: There won't be very many people allowed in there... based on the size of the room.

Ms. Yevich: Yeah. It would be very limited, yes.

Audience Member: What about the Ballroom? Do we have that contact person?

Supv Berube: The library was 17 people.

Ms. Yevich: Yeah, well the library was... there was no charge. Some of the... a lot of the other places that I looked into were... there was a hefty charge.

Supv Berube: To your point, the Ballroom just changed hands, so maybe there's an opportunity there as well, with some new management, so... It just... you know... this is all right.

Supv Kramer: We'll look at it.

Audience Member: I mean, you have to keep mind, if we have to drive there, I probably wouldn't go.

Supv Kramer: Exactly.

Audience Member: If it's here in Harmony, I'll be here.

Supv Kramer: You're close. And I think we can adjust the tables and have it set up a little bit differently.

Ms. Montagna: She allows us to do pretty much whatever we need to do in here, so...

Supv Berube: But the Ballroom, if we could get a cooperative agreement there, without getting sent from room to room and all that, I mean, it worked for a long time, it just, you know... And that's still in Harmony, we'll figure it out.


TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business,

On MOTION by **Supv Berube** seconded by **Supv Leet**, with all in favor,
the Board move to adjourn the meeting.

Angel Montagna
District Manager



Teresa Kramer
Chairwoman