1 2 3		ES OF MEETING NITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
3 4	The regular meeting of the Board of Sup	pervisors of the Harmony Community Development
5	District was held Thursday, October 27	, 2022, at 6:00 p.m. at the Jones Model Home, 3285
6	Songbird Circle, Saint Cloud, FL 3477.	3.
7		
8	Present and constituting a quorum were	à: :
9	Teresa Kramer	Chair
10	Daniel Leet	Vice Chair
11	Kerul Kassel	Assistant Secretary
12	Joellyn Phillips	Supervisor
13	Also amogant oither in across arvis 7s	om Video Communications vyona
14 15	Also present, either in person or via Zo	District Manager: Inframark
16	Angel Montagna Wes Haber (by phone)	District Manager: Inframark District Attorney: Kutak Rock
17	David Hamstra	District Autoritey: Rutak Rock District Engineer: Pegasus Engineering
18	Pete Betancourt	Servello & Sons
19	Sean Israel	Inframark
20	Dorraine Leonard	AAA Court Services
21	Andre McAden (by phone)	Inframark
22	Vincent Morrell	Field Services Supervisor
23	Brett Perez	Area Field Director, Inframark
24	Samantha Smith (by phone)	Inframark
25	Residents and Members of the Publ	lic
26		
27	· ·	anscript but rather represents the context of the
28		available in audio format upon request. Contact the
29	District Office for any related costs for	an audio copy.
30 31	FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS	Call to Order and Roll Call
32	Ms. Kramer called the meeting to o	
33	Ms. Kramer called the roll and indi	cated a quorum was present for the meeting.
34		
35	SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS	Audience Comments
36	Ms. Kramer stated this is a time wh	ere anyone from the audience, whether by Zoom or
37	in person, may speak for up to three min	nutes and present to the Board any concerns or ideas
38	you might have related to Harmony and	how the District can operate and do its work better
39	or if we need to be aware of a problem	in the community.
40	Mr. Sean Neale stated I have a com	ment on something I think is a bit controversial that
41	was on Facebook recently, which is the	e request that all of us received to start mowing the
42	District-owned tract in front of our hon	nes. I think enough people have raised the question
43	regarding the legality of that where pe	erhaps it is something District Legal Counsel can

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

comment on so that we can understand the legal basis, first, for being asked to do that. The other point I wanted to raise is a similar subject regarding the patch of grass in front of my house. I emailed the District quite a few months ago. I think it was Mr. Perez who was very quick to organize quotes to see what the cost would be to replace it. That was presented to the Board, and it was very expensive, so I understand why it was rejected. But then it seems to have been forgotten about since then. With this new subject of who is responsible for maintaining it, I am curious to know the next steps. In my opinion, it is not just a case of replacing it or leaving it, but about seeding it, which is much more cost effective that would improve it, because it is really looking quite bad. I was in front of my house yesterday taking photographs of Halloween decorations, trying to actively avoid picking it up in photographs because it looks so bad. Any thoughts on that would be appreciated.

- Ms. Kassel stated we will be discussing that issue tonight.
- Mr. Gregory Noble stated thank you everyone for the service you have given over the years. I have three items. First, specifically thank you to Ms. Kassel for reaching out to me individually. Whenever we have private communication, it is better than publicly on social media. Second, I reached out four days this week to our representation for the District, and I have not received a call back. Ms. Montagna, please check messages. This is not a personal attack, but I have been reaching out every single day.
- Ms. Kassel asked what email address are you using?
- Mr. Noble stated I have been calling the District office, so the phone number that was on the letter regarding maintenance of the easement. I have not received a call back.
- Ms. Montagna stated I have had meetings, and we were going to discuss it tonight. I apologize for not getting back with you. I will definitely look and see what messages I have.

Mr. Noble stated thank you. As the Board discusses the issues that we heard about the easement, a couple things I want to make sure I can voice. One, is the overall concern of inconsistency. I have no problem maintaining the yard in the easement, but if I do one height and someone else does another height and someone across the street does another height, it is inconsistent and will not look well. Please take that into consideration, especially with some of our concerns about the main entrances that we have had for some time. I have pride saying I get to live in this community. Let us talk about that. I have concerns about the enforcement of the maintenance. If my neighbor does not but I do, what

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

is that going to look like for liability and making sure that happens for consistency. What is going to happen with the leaves that need to be picked up when we get to that time of year, which is maybe coming really soon? Who is responsible for that? Who is going to be responsible for sod replacement, fertilizing, not fertilizing, weeding? Will that fall on the homeowner? With the shaded areas, it will look very inconsistent if that sod is not all being maintained consistently. It could be \$1,000 or \$2,000; we are not sure. Who is that going to fall on, and how are we going to do that? The other part is, I would like to hear or see at some point what we are really saving by doing this, if we decide to keep this. I am aware but do not know if it is a fact that our costs for the Servello & Sons ("Servello") costs went up. If they went up, what are we saving by doing this, and what are we getting out of it? Another question I do not know for sure, but a lot of us as owners on the boulevards pay more. Maybe not, and if we do not, then scratch my comment. If we do pay more, then what are we getting out of this? With the inside streets, some decisions have been made through the years where they can change the groundcover, so sod is not on the easements. I do not know what it is called, but they have changed out the sod to some other groundcover, so they do not need to have grass there. That way it would be more consistent. Also, decisions have been made over the years for inside streets that have some paver walkways. Again, if that happens on boulevard streets, we will have some major inconsistencies as we go through the main view of our neighborhood. I thank you for your service. I know not everyone wants to do this, but I implore you to make the right decision for the look of our community. I have no problem paying for it, but make sure it looks right. Ms. Marilyn Ash-Mower stated I understand the reasoning why the boulevard, as we call it, is where you cut the grass because the investors did it so that it looked nice for them. Their houses are built, and they are no longer here. Everyone in the rest of the community paid twice now to have their lawns cut. We pay to have landscapers come do our lawns, but through our operation and maintenance ("O&M") assessment, we also pay to have the boulevards done. I do not think that is quite fair. It is a strip of that which is about five feet. I do not see why they cannot have their landscapers simply come and do that strip of land because the rest of the residents in the neighborhood take care of theirs. Like Mr. Noble said, it is inconsistent. The house next to me has groundcover, and I have grass, but that is

107	due to the builders when they built the houses. They put in groundcover, and the
108	homeowner continued with it. I understand the dilemma.
109 110 111 112 113	THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS  A. Minutes for the September 29, 2022, Regular Meeting and October 6, 2022,  Continued Meeting  Ms. Kramer stated the meeting from September 29, 2022, due to Hurricane Ian was
114	continued to October 6, 2022 and completed on that date.
115	Ms. Montagna stated Ms. Kassel sent in one change, and Ms. Kramer sent in changes,
116	which will all be reflected in the final minutes that are posted on the website. I will ask the
117	minutes be approved as amended.
118 119 120 121	<ul> <li>B. September 2022 Financial Statements</li> <li>C. September 2022 General Ledger Detail</li> <li>D. #269 Invoices and Check Register</li> </ul>
122 123 124 125	Ms. Kassel made a MOTION to approve the consent agenda, minutes as amended.  Mr. Leet seconded the motion.
126	Ms. Kramer stated I have a couple questions on the general ledger, which we will
127	review in more detail later, but I wanted to note if you look through the invoice and
128	expenditure report, sometimes you will see a duplicate. I double checked in Avid, and we
129	did not pay them twice. I wanted to clarify that.
130 131 132	Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the consent agenda, minutes as amended.
133 134 135 136	FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  A. Servello & Sons  Mr. Betancourt stated we are into our bi-weekly mowing schedule already, which
137	means mowing is done every two weeks. The crews are working in the Greens
138	neighborhood, cleaning the pocket parks. I have a question from the last meeting. The
139	Board discussed Clay Brick Road and the resodding proposal.
140	Ms. Montagna stated I never received the proposal.
141	Ms. Kramer stated we need to figure out the irrigation.
142	Mr. Betancourt stated that is not a problem. The District owns parcel U-2 on that side,
143	which is where the valves are. They are on District property. All I have to do is locate it,
144	put a node in it, and you have water. So you could resod Clay Brick Road if you want.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

145 Ms. Kramer stated at the last meeting, we discussed whether or not it was a watering 146 issue. Some of the grass is still nice and green, but we have spotty brown spots. We have 147 made sure it is not a pest issue? 148 Mr. Betancourt stated no, it is not. That Clay Brick Road area is connected to clock 2, 149 which is still not on your land, and it is still locked. 150 Ms. Kramer asked but you will be able to get irrigation? 151 Mr. Betancourt stated I can bypass the clock, isolate the valve, put a little clock on it to 152 control just that valve, put a timer on it, and whenever it goes off, it will water Clay Brick 153 Road. 154 Ms. Kassel asked that area is not due to foot traffic or people getting in and out of their 155 cars? 156 Mr. Betancourt stated the only area matted down is at the tunnel where kids cut across 157 that grass area. Honestly, I would leave it like that because you cannot control the kids. Ms. Kramer stated it is also not car exhaust from people idling. 158 159 Mr. Betancourt stated no. 160 Ms. Kramer stated I know we had that problem on Cupseed Lane. 161 Mr. Betancourt stated I sent staff over there to see if it has any bugs or anything, but he 162 said it was just dry. The clock, for some reason, is not turning on. All that back area at the 163 tunnel is not getting water. 164 Ms. Kramer asked now that we have the watering situation, you will bring back a 165 proposal for that? 166 Mr. Betancourt stated yes. I will measure it. Some areas start and you walk halfway 167 down because what is left of the sod is not much. Everything else is weeds. I will remeasure 168 and resubmit the proposal. The irrigation will be separate because we have to turn it on, 169 see what is broken, fix it, and then install the sod. I will provide an irrigation proposal and 170 a sod proposal. 171 Ms. Montagna asked you will provide that to me before the November agenda package 172 goes out? Mr. Betancourt stated yes. 173 174 Ms. Kassel asked you are mowing the dog parks? 175 Mr. Betancourt stated we are still going to do that.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

207

we should do, or any other options.

176 Ms. Kassel stated the tropical chickweed we discussed and all the sticky seeds, it has 177 already gone to seed. We talked last time about applying some sort of herbicide or 178 something to get rid of the tropical chickweed and posting signs in the dog parks for people 179 not to go in during that time. 180 Mr. Betancourt stated it is like any other weed killer they use. Once it is dry, you can 181 go in the park. We will post signs. If you want to close the dog park for an hour or two that 182 day, I can let Mr. Morrell know when the horticultural guys will be here. We have not 183 finished Harmony yet because one guy is on vacation. He will be back next week. I can tell 184 him to call Mr. Morrell when he has sprayed the dog park, put a temporary lock on it, and 185 come back in a couple hours to open it up again. 186 Ms. Kassel asked what about the tree that split on Beargrass Road to Cat Brier Trail? Mr. Betancourt stated I told Mr. Perez that I will send a proposal for replacing it. 187 188 Ms. Kassel asked do we need to replace it? 189 Mr. Perez stated with the damage on that tree, it will not survive. With the scarring on 190 the trunk, it will fail. 191 Ms. Kassel asked can we paint it with the preventative? 192 Mr. Perez stated no. We can try, but I think that is an old wives tale. 193 Ms. Montagna asked do you mean painting them white from the bottom up? I thought 194 that was just aesthetics. 195 Ms. Kassel stated no, there is something we can paint on it. 196 Ms. Kramer stated it is a black, tar-like something they used to use. 197 Ms. Kassel stated I thought there was something else they can apply. 198 Mr. Perez stated you can leave it until it fails, if you want. 199 Mr. Betancourt stated it is up to you. 200 Ms. Kassel stated there is some substance they can apply that will help prevent disease 201 from getting in. 202 Ms. Kramer stated we might want to reach out to Mr. Stacy Strickland about this and 203 have him come check it. 204 Ms. Kassel stated yes. Has this been done? Can we ask the District Manager to do that? 205 Ms. Montagna stated yes, I will ask him to come out and evaluate the tree. 206 Ms. Kassel stated whether we should replace it now or whether we should wait or what

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

241

208	Ms. Kramer stated then convey to Servello so they can submit a proposal. That can
209	probably go through your authorization.
210	Mr. Betancourt stated what is left of the tree, I personally would not charge you. I
211	would just cut it and then charge you for a new tree if that is the way you go. What is left
212	are two branches.
213	Ms. Kramer stated we have a plan.
214	Ms. Montagna stated I have received a lot of phone calls over the past week about
215	weeds. Being that Servello is going bi-weekly, is this going to be an opportunity for you to
216	clean up the weeds?
217	Mr. Betancourt stated yes, definitely.
218	Ms. Kramer stated they have gotten quite out of control, and a lot of them will need to
219	be hand pulled because they are growing up through the shrubs and plants.
220	Mr. Betancourt stated this is our time to catch everything up.
221 222 223	FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  Ms. Kramer stated I will ask that we swap items 5A, Field Manager Report, and 5B,
224	Field Proposals, so we can make the best use of Mr. Betancourt's time.
225 226 227 228 229 230	<ul> <li>A. Field Proposals</li> <li>i. Enviro Tree Service #7308, Remaining Interior Tree Trimming from 2021</li> <li>ii. Servello #7122, Entire Interior Trees</li> <li>iii. Servello #7185, Remaining Interior Tree Trimming from 2021</li> <li>iv. BrightView #464255, Remaining Interior Tree Trimming from 2021</li> <li>Ms. Kramer stated four proposals deal with interior tree trimming. Enviro Tree Services</li> </ul>
231	provided a proposal to trim the remainder of the interior trees leftover from 2021 tree
232	trimming that had to be stopped. We received a similar proposal from Servello, and he
233	provided an amended proposal. The amount is \$11,428.75. Enviro Tree for the same
234	amount of work is \$29,040. Then Servello also provided, because it is coming up on
235	another tree trimming time for the entire interior, a proposal for that in the amount of
236	\$28,600 to do all the interior trees that need to be trimmed. BrightView did the same. They
237	went through tree by tree and did a complete inventory. Their initial proposal, which
238	included all the interior streets including two County-owned streets—Cordgrass Place and
239	Dark Sky Drive in Greens—was \$21,600, which includes cutting the branches that are up
240	against the buildings. If you remove the County right-of-way roads on Cordgrass Place and

Dark Sky Drive, it would be \$18,810. The question is, do we just finish up what we left

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

273

242 undone, or do we go ahead with a full interior tree trimming to bring it up? It is only four 243 or five months before we would be doing that anyway. 244 Ms. Kassel stated we have two proposals from Servello. Does the more-expensive 245 proposal include the work that would be done in the recently reduced balance from the 246 previous trimming? Or would it be in addition? 247 Ms. Kramer stated my understanding is it is included in the reduced balance. 248 Mr. Betancourt stated yes. 249 Ms. Kramer stated I think it is the entire interior trimming. 250 Mr. Betancourt stated it is for the entire neighborhoods. 251 Ms. Kassel asked the entire interior? 252 Mr. Betancourt stated yes. 253 Ms. Kassel stated the proposal for \$28,600 says it includes a price increase from 254 \$22,400 to \$28,600 due to the tree size changing each year. It is to lift and thin all hardwood 255 trees throughout the community that are not under the current contract. To me, that says it 256 does not include the new \$11,000+ revised proposal. It sounds to me like they are separate. 257 Mr. Betancourt stated no, they are not. That one proposal was for the remaining trees 258 that did not get trimmed last year. If you go with this proposal for \$28,600, that will be for 259 all the trees. 260 Ms. Kramer stated it is for all the trees that are not in the parks or on the boulevards. 261 Mr. Betancourt stated that is correct. 262 Ms. Kramer stated to make it a little easier, proposal #7308 from Enviro Tree Service 263 and proposal #7185 from Servello include the same scope and the same group of trees, 264 which is to finish the trees from 2021. Proposal #7122 from Servello and #464255 from 265 BrightView would include the entire interior tree trimming for those trees that require or 266 are in need of trimming. Servello is \$28,600, and BrightView without the County rights-267 of-way is \$18,810. Ms. Kassel asked does the Servello proposal include the County rights-of-way? 268 269 Ms. Kramer stated I do not think so. Typically they have not done them in the past. 270 Mr. Betancourt asked which County rights-of-way? 271 Ms. Kramer stated Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive. 272 Mr. Betancourt stated the proposal includes those streets.

Ms. Kassel asked BrightView is \$28,000?

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

305

Sky Drive and Cordgrass Place?

274 Ms. Kramer stated no, to compare apples to apples, Servello's proposal for entire inside 275 tree trimming including Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive in Greens, from what I am 276 understanding, is \$28,600. The same proposal from BrightView is \$21,600, so it would be 277 a \$7,000 difference. 278 Mr. Betancourt stated I am going by what they did last year. They did include Dark 279 Sky Drive, which is the District's, even from the houses. I looked it up. 280 Ms. Kramer stated not when it goes into Greens. 281 Mr. Betancourt asked where is Greens? 282 Ms. Kramer stated the ones that are across from the school and back up to the pond. 283 Mr. Leet stated it is basically the entire length of Dark Sky Drive, so neighborhood F. 284 Ms. Kramer stated that back. 285 Mr. Betancourt stated yes, that is the District's. 286 Ms. Kramer stated no, it is not. It is County owned from edge of sidewalk to edge of 287 sidewalk. 288 Mr. Betancourt stated I counted those because I looked at the real estate maps, and from 289 curb to sidewalk down the whole strip, those trees are owned by the District. 290 Mr. Leet stated not that portion. 291 Ms. Kramer stated I beg to differ. If you included them, we know what you bid on. 292 Ms. Kassel stated it is a \$7,000 difference, and we are wondering if Mr. Betancourt can 293 match BrightView's price. 294 Ms. Kramer stated I will make a motion to approve the proposal from BrightView. 295 They are a new vendor. We have had trouble with Servello's tree trimming in the past. 296 Mr. Leet asked does the management company have experience with BrightView in 297 other districts? 298 Ms. Montagna stated yes, they have been around for a long time, just like Servello. We 299 have worked with BrightView, and they are maintaining Celebration currently. Tree 300 trimming is a little different. It is obviously bigger and phased in. They have been 301 responsive. Has Mr. Perez received any complaints yet? 302 Mr. Perez stated no. 303 Ms. Kramer stated they do bring a lift in, is my understanding, and they pull it away 304 from the homes, which is something we missed with Servello. Do we want to include Dark

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

341

306	Mr. Leet asked which proposal is the motion for, the remaining trees for 2021 or the
307	entire community?
308	Ms. Kramer stated my motion would be for \$18,810, and we can revisit the areas that
309	are on County rights-of-way. We do not currently have an interlocal with the County to
310	maintain those. I do not think they would be upset if we chose to go in and maintain those,
311	but I think it is important that we have that agreement before we enter into it. My motion
312	is for all the interior tree trimming for the trees that need trimming except Cordgrass Place
313	and Dark Sky Drive, and pulling the trees away from houses.
314 315 316 317 318 319 320	Ms. Kramer made a MOTION to approve proposal #464255 from BrightView for all interior tree trimming excluding Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive, in the amount of \$18,810.  Mr. Leet seconded the motion.
321	Ms. Kassel asked what if we were to approve the one that included Cordgrass Place
322	and Dark Sky Drive, pending an interlocal agreement? Perhaps we can get that interlocal
323	agreement done with alacrity so that it was in place by the time they got to this.
324	Ms. Montagna stated I do not know that we would need an interlocal agreement, but at
325	a bare minimum, we could get a maintenance agreement with the County for those trees.
326	Mr. Haber stated yes, if the District wants to maintain property on a County right-of-
327	way, generally speaking, that is a fairly common occurrence throughout the State. I would
328	say the County will have some form of document they will typically enter into with the
329	District that allows the District to perform a greater level of maintenance to that property
330	than the County would otherwise do on its own. I cannot speak specifically as to what
331	Osceola County requires or enters into, but certainly it is something I imagine would not
332	be a first for Osceola County and would be an arrangement the District could enter into.
333	Ms. Montagna stated it is typically a maintenance agreement. Ms. Kramer is able to
334	amend the motion if she chooses.
335 336 337 338 339 340	

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

381

342	Ms. Kramer AMENDED the motion to approve proposal
343	#464255 from BrightView for all interior tree trimming
344	including Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive in Greens, in
345	the amount of \$21,600, subject to entering into an agreement
346 347	with Osceola County for maintenance of trees on Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive. If unable to enter into the
348	maintenance agreement with Osceola County within a
349	month, then the motion is to approve proposal #468120 from
350	BrightView for all interior tree trimming only on District
351	rights-of-way, in the amount of \$18,810.
352	Mr. Leet seconded the amendment.
353	
354	Discussion ensued regarding a Board member abstaining from the vote on this motion.
355	Mr. Leet stated I have been looking at hiring a tree service for my house, and the result
356	of this would be one less tree on my property. It would be a very small financial benefit as
357	the result of a vote.
358	Ms. Kramer stated I believe all of us have inside trees, so Mr. Leet is good to vote.
359	Mr. Haber stated I did not hear the full discussion on the choice to abstain. Florida law
360	requires a vote unless there is a conflict that would prevent the vote. I did not hear the
361	background on the reason to abstain.
362	Mr. Leet stated I am looking to get my trees trimmed, and this would be one less.
363	Ms. Montagna stated I do not think there is a conflict.
364	Ms. Kramer stated Mr. Leet is good to vote because we all have trees and would end
365	up abstaining.
366	Ms. Phillips stated Mr. Leet is on Dark Sky Drive.
367	Mr. Leet stated yes, which is not currently receiving a benefit.
368	Ms. Phillips stated yes, but the County would do it.
369	
370	Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval
371	was given to proposal #464255 from BrightView for all
372	interior tree trimming including Cordgrass Place and Dark
373	Sky Drive in Greens, in the amount of \$21,600, subject to
374 375	entering into an agreement with Osceola County for
376	maintenance of trees on Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive. If unable to enter into the maintenance agreement
377	with Osceola County within a month, then the motion is to
378	approve proposal #468120 from BrightView for all interior
379	tree trimming only on District rights-of-way, in the amount
380	of \$18,810.
201	

382	Ms. Kramer stated if we are going to trim Cordgrass Place and Dark Sky Drive in
383	Greens, which are County owned, we also have two other County owned that are not gated,
384	which are Feathergrass Court and Middlebrook Place. If we can also reach out, we have
385	the ability to do a limited change order. I would like us to speak with BrightView about
386	what it would cost to add those two streets.
387	Ms. Kassel stated yes. The maintenance agreement should include those two streets,
388	also.
389	Ms. Montagna stated we will work on that right away.
390 391	v. Proposal for Clay Brick Road Area  Ms. Kramer stated we mentioned Clay Brick Road area earlier.
392	Ms. Montagna stated we will be providing two proposals for November: irrigation, and
393	sod.
394	Ms. Kramer stated yes.
395 396	B. Field Manager Report The field manager's report is included in the agenda package and available for review
397	on the website or in the District office during normal business hours.
398	Mr. Perez stated I received an interesting email today, finally a follow-up from
399	Advantage Golf Carts ("Advantage") on the Umax. It is bad news, unfortunately. Yamaha
400	only made so many this year and has stopped producing the Umaxes. Unfortunately, the
401	Umax that was ordered for here is one that they are not producing this year. We are not
402	getting the Umax, as discussed. Advantage has sent over additional quotes that were not in
403	the agenda package, but I will include them next month. I was also able to contact Toro on
404	three different types: lithium ion, wet cell battery (normal battery power), and a gas-
405	powered cart. Westco is the only authorized Toro dealer in the State of Florida, with
406	Jacksonville, Lake Mary, and Sarasota locations. I reached out to them regarding
407	governmental purchases through GSA. We do not qualify, from what they are telling us,
408	but we can research that more.
409	Ms. Kramer asked do they have a State contract?
410	Mr. Perez stated they are the only authorized distributor in the State of Florida. They
411	do all their work. Westco does all their stuff with Disney. From what this sales
412	representative told me, and he is providing me with quotes, all three types of carts are one
413	year out. I was able to get a quote, after the agenda package went out, from Global Turf.
414	They have a couple used pieces. We can get two used Cushman carryall-type carts. They

- 415 are gas powered, but we can get two of them used from 2018 for about \$10,000, combined. 416 A new one is about \$13,000. The Umax we were looking at is about \$15,000. All this will 417 be addressed in the next agenda, but I wanted to give you this update from Yamaha on the 418 unfortunate news on the Umax. 419 Ms. Kramer asked have we received checks for the two? One was stolen and one was 420 wrecked. 421 Mr. Perez stated I have not seen checks yet. 422 Ms. Kramer stated we received the check for damage to the bathhouse, but not the 423 deductible check. 424 Ms. Montagna stated that is correct. 425 Mr. Perez stated I also followed up with Polaris on the warranty that we are supposed 426 to be reimbursed for, and I have not received any further information since they said they 427 will honor the warranty. We should be getting a check for that, as well. Polaris is not 428 moving forward with the engine replacement. They are not budging on that. 429 Ms. Kramer stated but we are getting the check back. 430 Mr. Perez stated yes, for the warranty. The contact I have has not responded back yet, 431 but I have his email where he says they are honoring the warranty. That helps. 432 Ms. Kramer asked have you had a chance to contact the governmental services 433 maintenance staff about what they would charge us for an engine replacement? 434 Mr. Perez stated no, but I can. 435 Ms. Kramer stated I will ask you to bring that back with the other information. 436 Mr. Perez stated yes. 437 Mr. Morrell stated regarding the splash pad, the water pumps are still on backorder. I 438 was speaking with Andrew from Spies Pool today, and they are putting pressure on their 439 vendor to get the water pumps in a timely manner. They will sign the agreement we sent 440 to them, and I will receive it tomorrow. 441 Ms. Kassel asked that is an agreement our attorney has prepared? 442 Mr. Morrell stated that is correct. 443 Ms. Kramer asked we will receive the water pump tomorrow? 444 Mr. Perez stated no, the signed agreement.
- Ms. Kassel asked what is the estimated time of arrival ("ETA") on the pump and installation?

Harmony CDD
October 27, 2022, meeting

- October 27, 2022, meeting 447 Mr. Perez stated the pump is backordered, and we have not received an ETA from Spies 448 Pool. 449 Ms. Kramer stated I am concerned. The Spies Pool proposal said they could get one 450 the next day. 451 Mr. Perez stated I will need to double check that. 452 Ms. Kramer stated that is one of the reasons their proposal was so attractive. 453 Mr. Morrell stated Andrew is saying he is putting pressure on them because it is not 454 normal. I will call him tomorrow. 455 Ms. Kramer stated I am hesitant. I will ask Mr. Haber to make sure there is a time 456 deadline in the contract. If someone else can get a pump and put it in, which is questionable, 457 I do not want to be waiting indefinitely, like we have been for the Umax. 458 Ms. Montagna asked should we look at other vendors before the contract is signed? 459 Will it have any bearing when Spies Pool signs that contract with no stipulation? They have 460 been reviewing it, which is why we do not have it signed yet. 461 Mr. Haber stated I will have to look at the agreement; I do not have it in front of me. 462 Just to make sure I understand the facts we are dealing with, we have given them the 463 agreement, but it has not yet been signed. So presently we do not have any contractual 464 obligations one way or the other. 465 Ms. Montagna stated that is correct. Before we enter into that contract, if this is 466 something we do not want to be tied to, then should we look at other vendors before the 467 contract is executed? Once it is executed, I do not know that we will have the autonomy to 468 go with someone else because we have waited too long. That is my question. 469 Mr. Haber stated I will have to look at the terms of the agreement to see if it has that 470 specified. 471 Mr. Leet stated the agreement was in the last agenda. It says, "Please note: new pump 472 is currently in stock locally with a one-day lead time for impellor trimming. Price is good 473 for 30 days from date on quote." I am not an attorney, but I do not see that they guarantee 474 they will be installing it within a certain number of days upon signing the contract. 475 Ms. Montagna stated we will review the contract with Spies Pool. If that language is 476 not in it, then if the Board wants to go in that direction, I will pull that contract from Spies
- 478 Ms. Kassel stated or at least amend the contract so it includes that.

Pool and see if we can get the pump from someone else.

477

479	Mr. Haber stated this is a contract our office prepared, so I just need to look at it. We
480	typically include a delivery timeframe, but not always. I need to look and see if it was
481	included in the form of agreement we are presently waiting on to be signed.
482	Ms. Kramer stated thanks. Our vote last month was not specifically for Spies Pool, so
483	we have some room this next month to work with it if we can find someone.
484	Ms. Montagna stated yes, I want to do that before they execute the contract.
485	Ms. Phillips asked how big is the pump? Is it large? Will it fit in a suitcase?
486	Mr. Perez stated it is 25 horsepower, so it is a big pump.
487	Ms. Phillips stated if we can find it somewhere else, I would have offered to go get it,
488	but not one that big. My golf cart guy had a used Umax, and he sold it the day before I
489	talked with him about that. I asked him to keep an eye out, but I do not know if we are
490	permitted to buy from small vendors, like he would be.
491	Ms. Kramer stated yes, we can, so keep your eyes out.
492	Ms. Phillips stated then we should all put out the word.
493	Ms. Kassel stated I wanted to come back after this discussion to the two used Umaxes
494	for \$10,000 and see if that is a viable option.
495	Mr. Perez stated they are not Umaxes; they are Cushman and gas powered. They are
496	more like golf course maintenance carts. They are not going to be electric powered, but we
497	talked at the last meeting that we may have to look at gas, due to the fact that everything is
498	taking so long to manufacture and get out. Going back to the splash pad pump, I will add
499	that Celebration had a failed pump for a canal, and it took three months to receive. The
500	supply chain on stuff like this is delayed. If they had one in stock, we will follow up and
501	see if it is still there. I am cautioning you that if we stop the process and we start it again
502	with someone else, it may be an even longer delay in getting this pump for the splash pad.
503	Some of these are manufactured overseas, and they are shipped over.
504	Mr. Morrell stated the two vendors I was working with to get the estimates said the
505	same thing. One said it will be hard to get the specification of the water pump for this kind
506	of splash pad. Then Spies Pool said they could get it quickly.
507	Ms. Phillips stated they said they had to do some impellor trimming, so I do not think
508	they had the right one, anyway.
509	Mr. Morrell stated that is because this water pump has no replacements. It is a dinosaur.
510	Ms. Phillips asked are we required to offer a splash pad in our community?

511	Ms. Kramer stated no, we are not required to, but it is a facility that our residents really
512	enjoy.
513	Ms. Phillips stated after the hurricane, so many places need things. If we keep it closed
514	for another three months, it is not against any kind of rule.
515	Ms. Kramer stated we will continue trying to get it and do our best.
516	Ms. Phillips stated it will be hard because everyone is scrambling for things.
517	Mr. Morrell stated for the Swim Club, the heater was off during the summer, and when
518	we put it back on, I ran it in test mode to see how it was improving the temperatures, from
519	low to high. The first week had basically no change. The second week, I had my staff do a
520	deep backwash of the filters because months ago when I started working here, this vendor
521	said it probably needed a backwash.
522	Ms. Kramer asked did the backwash fix it?
523	Mr. Morrell stated no. I did it one week just to see. The third week, I proceeded to call
524	the vendor. He was working today, so at 4:00 I saw the heater go from 75° to 81°, which
525	was LP5, which means low freon.
526	Ms. Kramer asked is it working or not?
527	Mr. Perez stated they should have it fixed today.
528	Mr. Morrell stated the person from AAA came today regarding the basketball court,
529	and they fixed the ripples. It is now open for residents to use the court.
530	Mr. Perez stated for the benefit of the audience, the ripples were not from anything the
531	contractor did; the ripples were existing. They pressure washed and cleaned the court. They
532	thought when they put the acrylic on that it would solve that issue, but it did not. He said
533	he could not keep pouring more acrylic or keep applying more coats because it would have
534	made the court uneven in that spot. He came back and ground out the ripples in the concrete
535	and put new acrylic on the top, which will lead into our other discussion regarding the
536	basketball court and resurfacing that took place. I believe the Board had some questions
537	and wanted to discuss it on what we should do going forward. An AAA Court Services
538	("AAA") representative is on Zoom.
539	Ms. Kassel stated there is ponding on the court. Mr. Leet has a picture to share on the
540	Zoom screen. We have known for a long time that the original laying of the concrete for
541	the basketball court was faulty and there had been ponding issues pretty much since it was
542	installed. I am the only Board member who has longevity on the Board to know what

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

happened in the past. We also have known in the past that the only way to correct that is to rip the whole thing out and re-lay the concrete with a 1-inch slope so the water drains off. That is a very expensive proposition. It is one of the reasons the previous Boards did not take any action on doing anything with the basketball court, including repainting it, because it felt like we were just putting lipstick on a pig. My feeling is, it is not a happy thing to still have ponding. We thought there would be patching work that would reduce ponding, but apparently, that has not been the case. The contract did not guarantee that there would not be ponding if the slope was less than one inch. The only thing that we had to go on was that we were supposed to be informed beforehand before they painted the court if the court did not have the one-inch slope. We were not informed, but in any case, what would we do? We would have to rip it out and replace it.

Ms. Kramer stated not necessarily. It is true that we could have chosen to not go forward with the resurfacing. In the contract, in addition to the 1% slope note, there is also a statement of the process that the contractor was going to go through to repair as much ponding as possible. That was to flood the court, to allow the court to sit in open sun for one hour, and then to measure the ponding areas. In the ponded areas that had more than one-eighth inch of depth, then concrete leveler would be added to bring those up prior to the acrylic being put down. My understanding is, they did do some of that. They put some leveler down, but it appears that not enough leveler was put down because as you can see from the photograph, we have significant ponding right at the center of the court, and at the one end of the court closest to Schoolhouse Road, there is quite a deep ponding area. We have done some research and some measurements. We were out there doing elevations across the court in those particular areas. We have a graph that shows some of the problems that we see. In the two bottom graphs, the higher one is a cross-section of the center line of the court from side to side. You can see where the ponding area is. With the addition of more leveler, we should have been able to get much less ponding. In the agreement, the acceptance was that they would only be required to fill the areas that were deeper than oneeighth inch. It is a given that at points in the basketball court, we will have at least oneeighth inch of ponding. However, in this particular area, we have more than the one-eighth inch of ponding. In fact, through the center of the court, when you take away the one-eighth inch allowance, we have basically a one-quarter inch ponding area, a significant ponding area. In the other area on the other end of the court closest to Schoolhouse Road, we have

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

more. The deepest ponding areas, when you remove the one-eighth inch allowance, after not just one hour but four hours of sitting and evaporating, we have areas that are three-quarters inch deep. My understanding is that concrete levelers have different qualities. Some will fill depressions up to three inches. I am not sure what style of levelers they used or how much. What I would have liked to have seen is, once they stripped and ground the court and measured and determined we did not have a 1% slope, they would have come back to us and said some can be leveled up and given us an option. They could have said they can only get it to a certain level, or they could do a change order and add more leveler to get it to another level, or they could have given us some options. Instead, our options were foreclosed by them moving forward. What I would really like magically to see is for us to have those low areas releveled and refinished. I think we all knew going in that we would never have a situation where the water would sheet flow off our court. We are always going to have some water, but these depths of ponding in certain areas are critical.

Ms. Phillips asked has the ponding hurt the court over time, or is it just an issue when people are playing ball?

Ms. Kramer stated it is when they are playing ball, but also the more water that sits, the more algae will grow. We saw that before we did the project. It can cause the court to be very slick and slippery, and thus, dangerous.

- Ms. Phillips stated it is pretty crucial.
- Ms. Kramer stated yes, that we reduce the ponding as much as possible.
- Ms. Phillips asked did we pay the bill already?
- Ms. Kramer stated we paid half of it up front.

Ms. Leonard stated I know when Rick initially got there and we flooded the court, we did discover that it did not have the slope that it should, that it was holding water. We call them birdbaths, very deep ones. It was holding birdbaths all over the court. At that point in time, we spoke with Mr. Morrell, which is when we realized we had the problem, and we started patching it. We explained at the time that what we could do with the court was patch it to the best of our ability. However, when we patch it, it is just going to cause it to shift from one spot to another. The water would just continue to move from one spot to another, just because of the base condition of the court. It was actually discussed at that time how we could fix this problem. We said the only way to fix the problem would be to demolish the court and start with a new one.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

607 Ms. Montagna asked before you put the acrylic down, you are saying your technician 608 spoke with Mr. Morrell? 609 Ms. Leonard stated yes, I am. 610 Ms. Montagna stated Mr. Morrell is saying that is not accurate. Your technicians talked 611 with Mr. Morrell after the acrylic was put down. 612 Mr. Perez stated after the patching had already started. 613 Ms. Leonard stated there were patches down. The acrylic resurfacer took pictures when 614 Mr. Morrell was out there, and we had patches on the court. 615 Mr. Perez stated Thursday, October 13, 2022, at 4:47 p.m. Patches are down on the 616 court. The discussion did not happen until Friday, after the acrylic was done, after the paint 617 was done. 618 Ms. Kramer asked do you have a limit of the amount of leveler that you use when you 619 go into a job like this? 620 Ms. Leonard stated there is a specific mix that you make patching with, and with a 621 concrete court, putting the patches on concrete courts, realize that about 95% of courts are 622 actually asphalt and have a concrete base. A couple things needed to be done with this on 623 the concrete. You would never go in and put a two-inch or a three-inch layer of concrete 624 on top of a court. 625 Ms. Kramer asked do you use actual leveler? It is not concrete, as such. There are 626 leveler materials for concrete slabs. 627 Ms. Leonard stated actually, it is a combination of concrete that is mixed. You use 628 Portland cement, and it is mixed with patchbinder. That consists of Portland and sand and 629 is what you create a patch with. It gets poured into the areas where it needs to go, and you 630 put it down with a squeegee, just like you do the paint. 631 Ms. Kramer asked you do not use self-leveling leveler? 632 Ms. Leonard stated no, not at all. I have never even heard of self leveling, and I have 633 worked for three different companies. I have never heard of anything called leveler. 634 Ms. Kramer asked is there anything you can do to this court at this time to reduce the 635 deep ponded areas? 636 Ms. Leonard stated no, there really is not. We have a recommendation to fix it properly 637 so there will not be this problem on the court, the condition of the base court is so bad that 638 the only way to fix it would have been to demolish it and lay a new one.

639	Ms. Kramer stated the question to the Board is, did AAA complete the job with the
640	scope of work as described in their contract. If not, what are we going to do to remedy it?
641	Ms. Kassel asked what tells you that they did not complete the scope of work, aside
642	from the fact that they did not inform us before they started painting that the ponding areas?
643	Ms. Kramer stated one item on their scope of services said the contractor will patch the
644	depressions greater than one-eighth inch. That obviously has not been done. We have a lot
645	of depressions that are greater than one-eighth inch.
646	Ms. Montagna asked did she say why that was not done according to the contract?
647	Ms. Kassel stated she said when you patch one area, if it does not have a one-inch slope,
648	then it will just move to another area.
649	Ms. Kramer stated which is not necessarily accurate.
650	Mr. Leet stated the contract says it needs to have a 1% slope to guarantee removal of
651	water, but I do not think that is the issue we are discussing. We are not expecting complete
652	removal.
653	Ms. Kramer stated exactly.
654	Mr. Leet stated there are still depressions.
655	Ms. Kramer stated we expect that because it is not sloped. Understand the one-inch
656	slope is on the high side of what is required for basketball courts. If it is from side to side
657	on a 60-foot slab, it would mean a 7.2-inch difference in height from one side of the slab
658	to the other, which is significant. The other is, if the crown is in the center and you have it
659	sloped out from the center, it will be a 3.6-inch slope from the center to each side. That is
660	a pretty significant slope. I am not sure.
661	Ms. Kassel stated maybe we speak with our attorney about how to think about this,
662	considering the proposal, the work that was done, and what our options might be.
663	Mr. Haber stated if we can draw the conclusion that the contractor failed to fully
664	perform the agreement, which would be by virtue of showing that there are areas of the
665	court that have depressions greater than one-eighth inch, presumably to fully perform under
666	the scope of the agreement, any depression greater than one-eighth inch was to be repaired
667	through a patch. I think it is fair to conclude that full performance of the agreement would
668	have resulted in no depressions on the court greater than one-eighth inch. To the extent
669	those exist, I think the District can take the position that the services under the contract
670	were not fully performed. I know a representative from the company is on the call, and I

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

do not know if there is any explanation for why there would be depressions greater than one-eighth inch, given that scope of services, but I think it gives the District the position to have some recourse against the contractor moving forward. I think you can demand full performance. I do not know anything about how that works, whether full performance means they have to remove the cover to then fix the depressions greater than one-eighth inch and then replace the cover. That may be. I think that is the most straightforward way to address this issue, and it would get the District in the position that it thought it would be in if the contractor performed in accordance with the terms. I think that would be my first option of what to do. The contractor's response to that would then show where we go from there. If they are willing to do it, that would be great, and there would be no depressions in the court greater than one-eight inch, and you would get it resurfaced as contemplated by the agreement. You have the right to demand that, given the terms of the agreement and the obligation the contractor has under that agreement.

- Ms. Kassel stated thank you. Shall we hear a response from AAA?
- Ms. Leonard stated this is something I need to discuss with Rick, the owner of the company. He is in a meeting. He was on property and met with them. I absolutely need to share this specific information with him because he needs to hear this and we need to figure out how to address it for it to be in a condition that is acceptable to you. I do not know what our next step is moving forward, other than for him to come out and meet with someone and figure out exactly what we can do to resolve it so that the court is to your specifications and that we have it to spec on one-eighth inch.
- Mr. Leet stated we are clear there will be some water. I think we are talking about oneeighth inch versus three-quarters inch.
- Ms. Leonard stated yes and that is a very large difference. We will definitely correct that issue.
- Ms. Kramer stated we will leave it with AAA and the District's management team to come up with a resolution and let us know what that is.
- Ms. Leonard stated AAA will most definitely do that, and thanked the Board.
- Mr. Morrell stated next I have Awesome HOA Signs. I talked with them about the 12 Harmony signs. They gave us a partial price of \$2,100, which does include a 10% discount, and free installation and delivery.
- Ms. Kassel asked which signs are we talking about?

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

703 Ms. Montagna stated interchangeable wording on the directional and informational 704 signs. 705 Ms. Kassel asked do you have a proposal that shows what it looks like? 706 Mr. Morrell stated I am waiting for that. I spoke with them. Our signs measure four 707 feet by eight feet, and they are showing this price for smaller signs. He will talk with his 708 manager and contact me tomorrow because it might be a higher price, or it might be the 709 same at \$2,100. 710 Ms. Kramer stated I would like a list of what it includes, if it is just months of a year 711 and dates, or whatever. The other thing we could do is, there are ways to get the same. We 712 already have the backing, and theirs includes the backboard you attach it to. Maybe we can 713 design something that we can have our own sign company work with us and have an 714 interchangeable sign. There are different ways to accomplish that, but let us see what they 715 come up with. 716 Mr. Morrell stated I talked with him, and he said he will send a different design of the 717 Harmony sign including the different options. 718 Ms. Montagna stated he knew he was waiting on something, but he wanted to give you 719 an estimated price today, being they could not get him the proposal in time. 720 Mr. Morrell stated regarding electric box replacement at Buck Lane and the dog park, 721 I spoke with the vendor. He was not able to sign the agreement because he was getting 722 legal assistance to read the contract. He told me this afternoon that he will sign the 723 agreement, and he will send it to me tomorrow. 724 Ms. Montagna stated at the last meeting, the Board approved Access Air, and they did 725 not reach the insurance requirement. It was sent back to us to ask if the District will waive 726 the insurance requirement, which sometimes we do and is at the discretion of the Board. 727 However, this Board has never waived the insurance requirement. I spoke with the Chair, 728 and being that this Board has never waived the insurance requirement, there was no reason 729 to bring it to you. So we moved to the next vendor, which was Heavenly Air. They were 730 able to reach the insurance requirement, and I have now sent their proposal to Mr. Haber 731 to draft the agreement. They have the proper insurance, and they sent over their insurance 732 certificate and W-9, so they meet the requirements. 733 Ms. Kramer stated they are working at quite a bit of height on our property, so insurance 734 is critical.

- Ms. Montagna stated yes. I wanted to let the Board know this, and it is in the works.
- Once Mr. Haber sends me the agreement, I can provide it to them, get it signed, and then
- 737 they will be able to start the project.
- Ms. Kassel stated the dog park gates need readjustment. People open the gates and let
- them slam behind, which gets things off kilter. They need to be checked every week or so.
- Mr. Morrell stated I was sending a staff member to adjust the gates every week.
- Ms. Kramer stated maybe as they service the dog pots, they can keep a wrench with
- them and check the gates at the same time.
- 743 Mr. Morrell stated yes.
- Ms. Phillips stated maybe we can discuss an access card entry.
- Ms. Kramer stated no.
- Ms. Montagna stated we have been down that path before.
- 747 Ms. Kramer stated it is expensive.
- Mr. Leet asked in talking about the site audit, what we see in the agenda are the follow
- 749 ups. You have to go back to the previous agenda to track down more detail of what the
- items were. Are we thinking that will be an ongoing thing that is updated? Is it a snapshot
- in time for what you have and what you are working on?
- Mr. Perez stated the responses should be tied to the current report in the agenda.
- Ms. Montagna stated every month when the site audit is in the agenda, it is a current
- 754 site audit that was done.
- Ms. Kramer stated no site audit was included. It was just the update to the site audit.
- Mr. Leet stated I wanted to know if this will be the case going forward.
- 757 Ms. Montagna stated no.
- Ms. Kramer stated all this information will be online for us to be able to access.
- 759 Mr. Leet asked through the dropbox program?
- Mr. Perez stated we took out the less pertinent information.
- Ms. Kramer stated that eats a lot of data storage.
- Mr. Perez stated it still needs to be considered to put in there because if you use your
- 763 District laptops that are not Inframark laptops, then you will lose all that information.
- Having it in dropbox is not necessarily a bad idea.
- Ms. Kramer stated I would rather not ever see copies of resident checks or driver
- 766 licenses.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

796

797

798

- 767 Ms. Montagna stated that should not be in there. 768 Mr. Perez stated my point to that is, you have two District-owned laptops that you are 769 running Door King. If either of those computers crashes, there is no backup on those. 770 Ms. Kramer stated put it somewhere else in the Inframark system that we cannot access. 771 I do not want that kind of information to accidentally get out. 772 Mr. Perez stated dropbox is updated. It should have project lists, inventory lists, and 773 checklists when the guys do inspections they are working on. You should be able to use 774 the same login information we sent and view it. 775 Ms. Kramer stated if all that is working properly, then the actual site audit does not 776 need to come to us in the agenda package. It is something we can access on dropbox. 777 Mr. Morrell asked do you want me to add the inspection report to dropbox? 778 Mr. Perez stated yes, that is what she is saying. If the Board wants to see it in the agenda 779 package, we can do that. 780 Mr. Morrell stated the inspections are mine, of all the landscaping and projects. 781 Ms. Kramer stated I am struggling with the reporting of every little thing, the problem 782 we are having with Servello to us. I do not think we need to know every little thing. We 783 need our field services staff to be able to quantify what Servello is or is not doing, and for 784 that which they are not doing but is required under the contract, you need to put a dollar 785 amount for it. 786 Mt. Perez stated we cannot do that; we did not price the contract that way for us to 787 determine a dollar amount per service skipped or not rendered. It would be a percentage. 788 Ms. Kramer stated I think we discussed it in the contract. I worked with Mr. Michael 789 Eckert on that. 790 Mr. Perez stated we have no line item pricing. How would we do that? 791 Ms. Kramer stated there was a percentage you took. Mowing, for example, you take 792 the line item for how much mowing is and divide it by number of mows. If they miss 10% 793 of the mowing for two weeks, then you calculate how much that would be, and we need to 794 deduct it. If we are unable to do that, then we need to say they are not performing, so we 795 need to go out to bid for landscaping.
  - Ms. Montagna stated I would like to speak to that. For months and months, field staff and I have been coming to these meetings and telling you Servello is failing. It has been reported in a physical report that has been in the agenda month after month after month.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

You, as a Board, decided to go out for a request for proposals ("RFP"). We put that RFP together, and you chose Servello again. Servello is continually failing. I do not know what more we and your field staff can do, and your field staff gets beat up about holding Servello's feet to the fire. That is not fair. They are holding Servello's feet to the fire. Short of us going out and beating them over the head, we cannot force them to do anything. We hold their feet to the fire. We bring it to your attention. We do not have the authority to hire or fire or withhold money. We bring it to the Board's attention. Residents send in complaints. Ms. Kramer sends in pictures. We have received dog park pictures. You all send it in, and you all know what is going on. We cannot do anymore than we are doing to tell you they are failing. They were failing before you went out for RFP. They are still failing. There is no more.

Mr. Perez stated I want to clarify the inspection report. It is not a monthly recap of everything overall that has happened. That would be something we would need to change so that every week you are getting a report showing each week of the month. The monthly field inspection report is a snapshot of a drive through the community to identify what areas look good or need work or need a proposal. It is either landscape or other field related. He is pointing out field-related issues that he is adding to his project list. It is a recap for you, as a Board, for when you are going to your meetings, you can review the items. It is not a weekly report. To Ms. Montagna's point, we have pointed out deficiencies over and over and over. Tree trimming issues, for example.

Ms. Kassel stated when we made the decision to go with Servello, we had a fairly extensive discussion. We spoke with the engineer also about whether or not we could trust other vendors to do as good a job and problems with staffing or supplies. Essentially the answer was, they are pretty much all the same, so why should we spend another \$100,000 or more to go with another vendor we do not know about when we would not be guaranteed. I want to hear from the attorney about what our recourse is at this point to try to get our landscaping contractor to perform better.

Mr. Haber stated I think the strongest recourse you have is your right to withhold payment for failure to perform. The contract contemplates that. It acknowledges that landscape maintenance is unlike a service, like the basketball court where you have work performed, it is completed, and you have the benefit of it when the work is performed. Landscape maintenance is different because, if it does not get done and your plants look

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

bad but they do not get to them for two weeks or longer, you do not get the benefit during those two weeks. The contract recognizes that, and they are not entitled to payment for fixing a missed maintenance item later because it does address the fact that you went for two weeks with it looking bad. We went out of our way, knowing there was a risk that Servello may not perform, to try to provide as many rights as possible for the District to withhold payment for failure to perform. I think that is your strongest remedy. You are entitled to do it under the Florida Prompt Payment Act. You are entitled to do it under your agreement with them. If they are not getting paid, they will either feel that pressure and start performing, or not. The other thing you absolutely have the right to do is terminate the agreement and look for a new contractor if you are dissatisfied with the services that are being provided. Absent from withholding payment, there is not much else you can do. As Ms. Montagna said, you cannot force them to do something that they are not doing. Ms. Montagna stated we did withhold payment. We withheld \$3,800 last month for missed services. We have documentation of missed services. To Ms. Kramer's point, that is exactly what Mr. Perez did last time. For the stuff that was missed, we looked at the contract, took a percentage of it, and that is what we withheld. We let them know, but they did not say much. They did not feel that coming to the Board to argue about \$3,800 was worth it, so he did not bring it up when Mr. James Whitaker was here. Ms. Kramer stated I think he knew he was in the wrong. Ms. Montagna stated that could have been; I do not know. We had a conversation with him. We can continue doing that, but services still will not change. Ms. Kassel stated at least we are not paying for services we are not getting. Mr. Leet stated we have been under the new contract for four weeks. In the process of negotiating that, understanding inflation, we understand the costs went up, and we tried to counteract that with secondary consequences. We reduced the scope a little bit, and in that discussion, we brought this up. We have all been here. We have all been to these meetings. We all live here. We know there have been issues with them in the past. I do not remember the exact conversation, but we said we are under contract and we are paying them more for the same services. My thoughts are, if we can keep using that as a screw we can turn, it is keeping the pressure on them in a tangible way.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

861 Ms. Montagna stated we can absolutely do that; we can ding them every month, but the 862 fact is, when Mr. Betancourt is standing here giving his update, no one tells him anything. 863 No one says a word to him about why something looks like this. You see it in your reports. 864 Mr. Leet stated we did not see it this month. 865 Ms. Montagna stated one month you did not see it in the agenda, but it has been in there 866 every month previous. For months and months, they were not even responsive to the 867 reports. I am not sure what we can do, but we will continue to keep track of what they do 868 not do and withhold money. 869 Mr. Perez stated this will come off as being blunt, but I am being honest because I come 870 from the landscape side of things. Are you more concerned with saving money? Or are you 871 more concerned with making your community looking as good as possible? 872 Ms. Kassel asked how do we do that when we have a contract? Do we fire them and 873 hire someone else, and then have to charge the residents more? 874 Mr. Perez stated nothing prohibits you from going out for an RFP. If you do not like 875 the price, you throw it out. 876 Mr. Leet stated this is our biggest budget item. If we have to spend the money, then we 877 want good results. 878 Mr. Perez stated that is my point. Withholding money every month and getting the 879 same result over and over is not going to improve the appearance of the community. 880 Ms. Kassel asked how long have we been withholding payment? 881 Mr. Perez stated we just started last month. 882 Mr. Leet stated that is what I am saying: keep turning the screws. 883 Ms. Kassel stated yes. Keep doing it. 884 Ms. Kramer stated we have seen no improvement. Actually, it was not last month but 885 the month before. We can withhold money again for this past month. You would have 886 expected, in fact, we saw significant improvement when the RFP was out. I noticed it. 887 Mr. Perez stated sure, any landscape company is going to turn it up if they are bidding 888 on it. 889 Ms. Kramer stated that is what I am saying; they can do it. They know how to do it. 890 You would have thought if that was the case, they would have continued on for at least the 891 first month of the contract. 892 Mr. Leet stated we have the legal standing to do so.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

893 Ms. Montagna stated we have always had it. They do not care. 894 Ms. Kramer stated we can go out to RFP again. Our problem last time was, almost 895 every community development district in the area was out for RFP at the same time. There 896 were a lot of landscape companies that did not want to over extend if they got the contracts 897 they bid on, so they were not willing to bid on ours. Things have changed now. They know 898 pretty much what their business is going to be for the next couple years. Am I right? 899 Mr. Perez stated it changes every month. 900 Ms. Kramer stated I understand, but the big crunch was the start date of October 1. 901 Also, the slowest time and the most money you will make as a landscape firm is starting 902 now through March. Now would be the time because a new vendor will want to get on 903 during the slow period. They will be less likely to come on if you wait and do it in March 904 because you are angry and Harmony looks really bad. That is my proposal. Do we have to 905 give them notice of termination now? Or do we just go out for an RFP? 906 Mr. Perez stated go out for an RFP. We will run it. They will see the advertisement; it 907 will be a public bid because it is over the threshold. We will publicize it again. The first 908 step is to bring the scope back. I know we just approved it, but there is a discussion tonight 909 at some point about another piece that was added to it regarding roadway mowing. Is that 910 going to be on or off? 911 Ms. Kramer stated it is an option. 912 Mr. Perez stated my point is, the Board has to approve the scope. Once it is approved, 913 I will get the RFP package set and ready to go, we will publicize it and run the whole thing 914 again, and hopefully more vendors show up. It was a public bid. Six firms picked up the 915 package, but only four attended the meeting, and one did not turn in a bid. So three out of 916 six ended up turning in a bid. 917 Ms. Kassel stated one was disqualified. 918 Ms. Kramer stated yes because they did not provide a bid bond. 919 Ms. Kassel asked in the other districts you manage, are their landscapers performing 920 better than Servello is performing? 921 Mr. Perez stated it depends on the account manager. 922 Ms. Montagna stated that is correct. 923 Mr. Perez stated yes, we have some districts that are looking very, very good right now, 924 and others not.

925	Ms. Kassel asked are they this big?
926	Ms. Montagna stated they are bigger.
927	Mr. Leet asked are any where Servello is the landscape contractor looking good?
928	Ms. Montagna stated no.
929	Mr. Perez stated in all honesty, other districts where your current vendor maintains
930	landscaping are considering RFPs right now.
931	Ms. Montagna stated one is actually going to RFP now, and the other is considering it.
932	Mr. Leet stated we can start the process now.
933	Mr. Perez stated it does not cost you anything. I am not charging for this.
934	Mr. Leet stated we either see improvement, or we do not.
935	Mr. Perez stated a recommendation would be to request Mr. Whitaker come back and
936	actually question him. Ask him pointed questions. Tell him, the last time we went out for
937	RFP, they made the place look phenomenal. You retained the work, and then it goes back
938	downhill. Why? What is causing that? Yes, it is their off season. It is typically bi-weekly
939	mowing. That does not mean anything. Bi-weekly mowing just means mowing. They still
940	have duties to trim and pull weeds. That is not a visit. Nowhere in the specifications does
941	it say that is one week.
942	Ms. Kramer stated what worried me about what Mr. Betancourt said was that now is
943	the time they are going to hedge and pull weeds, but our weeds grow all year round. Hedges
944	grow all year round. Are we happy with the scope of work we went out to RFP before?
945	Mr. Perez stated it is a very standard scope.
946	Ms. Kramer asked if we are, can we just approve that scope now and set the RFP in
947	process? Why wait until after November?
948	Ms. Montagna stated if that is the case, I will ask the Board to make a motion specific
949	that we use the scope approved for the last RFP with no changes but that exact scope.
950	Ms. Kassel asked does that scope include the rights-of-way?
951	Ms. Montagna stated it was either way. They provided a bid to include rights-of-way
952	and to exclude rights-of-way. So you will see both prices.
953	
954	Ms. Kassel made a MOTION to approve the request for
955	proposals package for landscape maintenance services, with
956 957	no changes to the current scope of services, and to direct staff to solicit bids.
958	Mr. Leet seconded the motion.

959	
960	Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval
961 962	was given to the request for proposals package for landscape maintenance services, with no changes to the current scope
963	of services, and to direct staff to solicit bids.
964	Ms. V remar stated proviously, our atterney recommended that we include a contract
965	Ms. Kramer stated previously, our attorney recommended that we include a contract
966	form within the RFP so they know what our contract looks like and cannot complain later.
967	I would like to include that form of contract, also.
968	Ms. Montagna stated yes, we can do that. We do that in other districts with RFPs.
969	Mr. Perez stated we just include a sample contract.
970	Ms. Montagna stated it will be the same as we did in Harbour Isles. Mr. Haber will
971	provide the contract, and it will be a standard contract for Harmony. It will be subject to
972	some changes.
973	Mr. Haber stated we can use the format you already negotiated with Servello. We will
974	pull out the details, but all the various terms will be included. Presumably we would apply
975	them to any contractor.
976	Ms. Montagna stated yes.
977	Mr. Perez stated I will ask Mr. Haber to update that agreement minus the current
978	vendor's name and send it over.
979	Mr. Haber stated yes, I would be happy to do that.
980	Ms. Kassel asked if the RFPs come in well above our budget, how will we address that?
981	Mr. Perez stated you can throw them out.
982	Ms. Montagna stated not necessarily throw them out. There are different ways to
983	address it. One, can you find the money? I can provide those answers to you. At that point,
984	it is a determination if you want to pay that extra money out of reserves or unassigned cash
985	to be able to cover the deficit. I am not a landscape expert, so this is a guess, but you went
986	out to RFP not too long ago. I do not know that the price will change that drastically over
987	the past few months, to be that far out of whack from what you currently have.
988	Ms. Kassel stated the price differential between Servello and others was substantial.
989	Mr. Perez stated it should not be much higher, and hopefully we will have more bidders
990	that are in line with the current budget.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

Ms. Kramer stated it is possible we might get bids from some of the others that were in line but did not want to bid because of the other outstanding bids they had. They might be more in line with what we are paying now.

Ms. Montagna stated ultimately, if you want to stay with your current landscaper, you have that option, as well. At a bare minimum, it will give you some options.

Ms. Kramer stated I wanted to address the question of rights-of-way. I do not think the full picture was provided in the letter that was sent. I want to provide that now, and I will ask Ms. Kassel to pitch in where needed. Harmony, when it was first developed which has been mentioned already, the developer made the determination that the rights-of-way on boulevard streets would be maintained by the then-developer, because the developer controlled the District. The intent, as was mentioned before, was mainly for marketing. They wanted a uniform look so that they could market their products and show people through the boulevards in order to do that. In doing so, they also put irrigation systems through those areas, which were also owned and operated by the District. We were paying not only for mowing, but irrigation. We will continue to pay that because we are not going to separate out those irrigation systems. You will maintain that benefit, but the RFP we accepted, we took out the actual mowing, which was rather expensive. The cost savings under Servello was about \$17,000, and it went all the way to another vendor who bid on it to \$120,000. You can imagine that moving all that right-of-way area with trees in it is labor intensive, as well as having to edge both sides of that strip. Before we made the decision, I spoke with a couple of the landscape providers that work in Harmony. I asked if the District does this, would they double the bill to the homeowners or what would they do with those bills. Most of them said they would not add anything to the bill. They said it was nothing to add to the cost to the residents. It made sense that as the landscaper is out on the lot, that they are mowing all that uniformly. Also, as you look out, if you look at Osceola County or the City of Saint Cloud or the City of Kissimmee, any local government—not just in Florida but anywhere in the United States—they all own those rights-of-way, the areas between the sidewalks and the curbs. Sometimes, they do not even have sidewalks, but it is just an imaginary line at the edge of your property. In Saint Cloud, we had this situation. They owned it. It was their right-of-way, and they could take it at any time and expand the street, but you, as a resident, were always expected to maintain it. Here in Osceola County, if you look, everyone on the County-owned streets with County-

- Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting 1023 owned rights-of-way, they are expected to maintain all that area, and it has always been 1024 that way. This is the way it is done. 1025 Mr. Neale stated these are not rights-of-way; these are deeded, and the District owns 1026 that strip. 1027 Ms. Kramer stated it is considered right-of-way. It is the same thing. It is the area 1028 between the sidewalk and the curb. 1029 Ms. Kassel stated the District owns it. 1030 Ms. Kramer stated yes. 1031 Mr. Neale stated the District owns it, and you are asking someone who does not own it 1032 to maintain it. 1033 Ms. Kramer stated that is correct. People who do not own the right-of-way for County-1034 owned property, the County owns the right-of-way in front of the house where I used to 1035 live in Saint Cloud. They own it, just like the District owns the strip between the sidewalk 1036 and the curb. 1037 Mr. Noble asked does the County have an irrigation system there? 1038 Ms. Kramer stated not that I know of. We can take that out. I do not think we want to 1039 do that. In Saint Cloud, for example, if I were to put a full irrigation system into my 1040 property, just like here in 90% of the properties throughout Harmony, when you put in an 1041 irrigation system, you also irrigate the right-of-way. You treat that land, adjacent to your
- get the right to use it. You get to cross it. You get to park next to it. You get to use it.

  Mr. Noble stated so does everyone else who goes to the basketball court.
- Ms. Kramer stated that is correct. When people drop their kids off at school, they get to use my right-of-way, also. But I am required to maintain it, just like others are required to maintain theirs. What you do not realize is, the bulk of Harmony maintains their own right-of-way.

home or on either side of the corner which may be quite a bit of land, as your own. You

- Mr. Noble stated it is not the same traffic.
- Ms. Kramer stated yes, it is. Come and visit me during school hours.
- Mr. Neale stated you are the exception.
- Ms. Kramer stated no, there are quite a lot of homes, and it goes all the way down
- 1053 Beargrass Road and Cupseed Lane.

1042

Ms. Montagna asked are we going to get into a back-and-forth conversation?

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

Ms. Kramer stated no, I am done. What I am saying is, it is also an equity issue. We cannot make it totally equitable with all the residents because our irrigation system is through there. You will still be getting a considerable benefit, in that, the District will still irrigate it.

Mr. Neale stated I would still like to hear what the legal basis is for someone who does not own the land to be expected to maintain it. If you can point to a Statute or a law that allows for it, then that will make more sense to me, and that should have been stated in the letter rather than just a brief statement saying we are now responsible for this.

Ms. Phillips stated I have lived in a lot of places, and I have always had to maintain the right-of-way.

Ms. Montagna stated it is standard.

Ms. Phillips stated I had to take care of ice and snow on the sidewalk, and I have always had to take care of that section. This is not unusual. I do not know if you have owned homes in other areas.

Ms. Montagna stated I will ask Mr. Haber to weigh in on the legality of this issue.

Mr. Haber stated traditionally and what I see across the State for the numerous clients we represent, the right-of-way portion adjacent to a lot is maintained by the homeowner. That is 100% accurate, notwithstanding the fact that the property is owned by the District. The maintenance expectation is on the part of the homeowner. The question of what obligates the homeowner to maintain that portion is a little more fact specific. I do not know if this is the case or not in Harmony because I have not reviewed your declarations of covenants and restrictions in detail to know, but in many communities, it is quite common that the declaration of covenants and restrictions recorded against the various lots specify that, notwithstanding the fact that a homeowner may not own the right-of-way between the sidewalk and the curb, that homeowner is still obligated to maintain that property. That is not something the District enforces, but it is an obligation that every homeowner has and every homeowners association ("HOA") has the right to enforce. The way you actually see it work, similar to what you saw earlier in the meeting where I was asked about the District maintaining certain trees in County-owned rights-of-way, often units of government do not maintain property to the same level that a homeowner wants their property maintained. The same way a homeowner may be arguing that they do not have an obligation to maintain the property, the District has every right to say we are only

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1114

1115

1116

going to maintain the property to the extent we feel is appropriate. If that is the case, we will mow it four times a year. The District would have every right to do that, as well. For the purpose of a homeowner ensuring that their home, because more often than not, the area we are talking about appears to be part of the lot for each individual home, it is quite common for the homeowner to maintain that area. As far as the legal obligation to do it, I refer back to what I was saying earlier, that commonly in covenants and restrictions that are recorded against each lot, whether that is the case here, I will need to review. Beyond that, sometimes other aspects may impose that obligation. Sitting here today without having reviewed this issue in advance, I want to reserve the right to review that in more detail before I speak more in depth about what right the District has to impose an obligation for that maintenance.

- Ms. Kassel asked Mr. Haber to review that and provide something in writing for the agenda for our November meeting.
- Mr. Haber asked was the request to look into what right the District has to obligate homeowners to maintain the District-owned rights-of-way?
- 1102 Ms. Kassel stated yes.
- Mr. Haber stated I would be happy to do that.
- Ms. Montagna stated we can address this at the November meeting.
- 1105 Ms. Kramer stated as everyone has heard already, we are going out for RFP for 1106 landscaping again. We will again be asking the landscape vendors that bid on the package 1107 to bid it two ways: one including maintenance of the boulevard rights-of-way, and one 1108 excluding maintenance of the boulevard rights-of-way. Based on the amount of savings we 1109 might see at that point, a different decision might be made, but I cannot guarantee it. As to 1110 the question of what is usually done, again, the boulevard homeowners have been getting 1111 a serious benefit that no other homeowners in Harmony have been getting. You will 1112 continue to get that irrigation benefit. I would appreciate it if you will consider how the other homeowners might feel. 1113
  - Mr. Noble stated I will ask the Board to remain pure in what you are trying to do. I guarantee for Schoolhouse Road, Cat Brier Trail, and Five Oaks Drive residents, it is not about saving \$100 a month for us individually. That is not the issue.
- 1117 Ms. Kramer stated in fact, you will not be paying \$100.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1148

- 1118 Mr. Noble stated that is not the issue. I would go back to what our field services partner 1119 said: please keep the purpose to make our community look as good as possible. Otherwise, 1120 it will not be worth it. 1121 Mr. Neale stated the boulevards look terrible, and this has been in place for less than a 1122 month. 1123 Ms. Kramer stated drive down the interior streets where we all drive. 1124 Mr. Leet stated you brought up uniformity among all the yards. Does that not cross 1125 over into what the HOA would enforce, and how people are maintaining their individual 1126 yards as a whole? In some sense, residents have always included that front portion, and we 1127 are discussing who that applies to. There are already, through the HOA, mechanisms in 1128 place to hopefully encourage more uniform maintenance of the entire community. 1129 Mr. Neale stated an HOA Board member felt very strongly about that, and said that 1130 was not the case. 1131 Ms. Kramer stated my hope is that all the boulevard homeowners will act responsibly 1132 and maintain that area. 1133 Ms. Kassel stated one of the reasons I believe boulevards do not look great right now 1134 is, this letter was just received a few days ago. The letter should have gone out in September 1135 and not received three weeks into October. So we have three weeks of people not realizing 1136 they are supposing to be maintaining the rights-of-way because they were not informed. I 1137 think that is one of the reasons they look bad. I know a number of areas that are the 1138 District's responsibility to maintain where Servello has fallen down on. They have not 1139 removed leaves. They have not turned on irrigation. Apparently they have not been 1140 fertilizing those areas. I think that is part of what is going on. Personally, I feel troubled 1141 that we are turning over areas that were supposed to be District maintained back to 1142 homeowners in the shape that some of them are in. I am not sure exactly what to do about 1143 that. For example, Mr. Neale's strip is not looking good. My feeling is, that it should have 1144 been looking good by the time we handed it over to him or that we should somehow attempt 1145 to remedy the situation where those strips are looking bad, if they are not caused by what 1146 my husband used to call bulldozer blight. There are homes on Cat Brier Trail with dirt and 1147 pine bark here and there because people are abusing that right-of-way. No matter what we
- Ms. Kramer stated we are very late in this meeting, and we need to move on.

put there, it will get bulldozed by foot traffic. I am putting that out there as my concern.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1150 Mr. Leet stated we have not opened the floor for public comments. Some on Zoom are 1151 asking to speak. 1152 Ms. Nancy Snyder asked what about fertilizer and mulch? Does that have to be 1153 uniform, especially the mulch? 1154 Ms. Kramer stated we inside residents are doing it uniformly as much as we can. I guess 1155 the boulevards would be the same. 1156 Ms. Snyder stated I think that should be included in whatever you send out. I have no 1157 problem with it, but I think it should be uniform. 1158 Ms. Kassel asked what does our contract say? It says no longer maintaining the strip, 1159 but what does the contract say? Is it just about mowing? Or was it also about fertilizing? 1160 Ms. Kramer stated it says turf maintenance. 1161 Mr. Neale asked so that is on the homeowner, as well, now? 1162 Ms. Kramer stated that is your turf maintenance. Mr. Neale asked so I have to fix a patch of dead grass that was caused by Servello or 1163 1164 while it was maintained by the District? 1165 A Resident stated it seems like it is interior streets versus boulevards. 1166 Ms. Kramer stated it is not. 1167 The Resident stated I have heard that a couple times. Ms. Phillips stated I had new mulch brought in. I did not look at my neighbor's house. 1168 1169 My mulch does not match my neighbor's. I do not see the need for everything to be the 1170 same up and down the street. Every home has its own distinct style. 1171 The Resident asked how many Board members are on interior streets? 1172 Ms. Kassel stated four out of five. 1173 The Resident stated I think that is an issue. 1174 Ms. Kramer stated it is probably reflective of the population in Harmony. We would 1175 like to be adjourning now, and we have some serious issues to address on the agenda, such 1176 as alleys behind your houses and drainage problems. 1177 Mr. Leet stated regarding the garden road, I understand Jr. Davis did the grading they 1178 said they were going to do, which I believe only goes up to the edge of the garden and not 1179 to the edge of the storage area. 1180 Mr. Perez stated that is correct.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

- Mr. Leet stated I understand we are behind schedule. Can we add a discussion of that on the next agenda?
- 1183 Ms. Kramer stated yes.
- Ms. Montagna stated I think we sent updates to the Board about the garden road, or Mr.
- 1185 Morrell did.

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1186 Ms. Kramer stated we need to discuss what we are going to do with the remainder of 1187 the garden road. Evidently, our previous attorney did not attach the proper documents, and 1188 therefore, we ended up with only half of what the Board was expecting. I am going to reach 1189 out to the owner and manager of Harmony Central, and see if they would be willing to 1190 correct that, based on the minutes of the Board. Do not hold your breath, but if not, then

we can bring it back and figure out what to do with the other half.

#### C. District Engineer Report

The District Engineer's report is included in the agenda package and available for review on the website or in the District office during normal business hours.

#### i. Discussion of Alley Paving for Neighborhoods C-1 and C-2

Mr. Hamstra stated this is the milling and resurfacing program. As you know, we had a public advertisement in May. Twenty-two vendors downloaded the plans, calculations, and specifications, and no one submitted a bid. We were then given the opportunity, based on Mr. Eckert's legal opinion, that we can, at that point, solicit individual firms to submit. We reached out to a firm called Carr & Collier, Inc. ("CCI") that we have dealt with many times on other projects. They submitted a bid proposal we received the evening of the last meeting, which Mr. Eckert, Mr. Greg Teague, and I reviewed briefly. Mr. Teague wrote a memorandum. The total price what I call the base bid was \$533,000. We had a bid alternate for trench drains, and a bid alternate for the concrete ribbon curbs. All that tallies up to \$647,000+. Ms. Kramer asked me prior to the meeting if the contractor would be willing to forego the sodding and pavement markings that could be done at a later date or potentially done by a cheaper vendor. We talked with CCI today, and he is willing to remove those two items, which totals about \$69,000, and not affect his other unit costs. He is willing to let those go if that is the Board's direction. He is also willing to hold his bid for 60 days, which started October 6. We cannot delay this too long. The base bid is \$533,000, the trench drains which I strongly encourage we do is \$91,000, and the ribbon curb which I also encourage because of the garbage trucks and large pickup trucks that go off the edge of the alley will cause it to unravel and become a mess again. The grand total

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

- 1214 is \$647,200, subtracting the sod and pavement markings of \$68,500, gives a total of 1215 approximately \$578,700. 1216 Ms. Kramer stated I did not see this earlier, but as I was reviewing it today, I noticed 1217 he has traffic control costs of \$35,000 to block off the alleys. I do not know if you can go 1218 back and see if maybe he does not understand we will not need flagmen but will just put 1219 up barricades and notice the residents. Then he can do his work, so he does not have to do 1220 expensive traffic control. 1221 Ms. Montagna stated I think he has to follow Osceola County guidelines, even though 1222 it is an alley. 1223 Mr. Hamstra stated I have walked it a few times, and there is a lot of zipping around. 1224 He has to make it safe for his workers, whatever that entails. With all the flooding these 1225 past weeks, we have closed roads, but people still go around the barricades. 1226 Ms. Kramer stated broach that with him and ask. 1227 Ms. Kassel stated thinking about trying to save money by not marking the pavement 1228 and not improving the turf and what that will mean for how things look. 1229 Mr. Hamstra stated sod will be done, but it will be done by Servello or someone else. 1230 Ms. Kassel stated that will have a cost. In other words, how much are we really saving 1231 by getting someone else to do it and having to try to get that done at a separate time. 1232 Mr. Perez asked did he provide square footage on turf replacement? 1233 Ms. Kassel stated there is a contingency allowance for performance sod. 1234 Mr. Hamstra stated he has performance sod bahia at \$3.50 per square yard. 1235 Ms. Kassel stated it is \$3.25 per square yard according to table 1. 1236 Mr. Hamstra stated you may be looking at Mr. Teague's estimate versus CCI's bid. 1237 Ms. Kassel stated it says CCI is \$3.25 and the engineer's estimate is \$3.50 for bahia. 1238 Ms. Kramer stated you are right. 1239 Ms. Kassel stated St. Augustine is \$6.50 per square yard. 1240 Ms. Kramer asked do we have any bahia? I think that is all St. Augustine because it is 1241 people's yards. So it would be \$6.50 per square yard. 1242 Ms. Kassel stated it is \$32,900, as what they have, 5,000 square feet of St. Augustine.
- Ms. Kramer stated as far as the markings, most of our alleys do not have markings.

  Ms. Kassel stated they did. They had shoulder markings and arrow markings. They

may have worn off, but they all did.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1246 Ms. Kramer stated a lot of them in C-2 did not. Most of our alleys did not have side 1247 markings. We have only two arrows in our whole alley. Is that something field services 1248 can do? This is just painted on instead of thermoplastic. Can we lay an arrow down and 1249 spray paint it or roll it out? 1250 Mr. Perez asked are you saying only two arrows? 1251 Mr. Hamstra stated there would be a lot throughout all the alleys. 1252 Ms. Kramer stated it depends if we want lines. I do not know that it is necessary to have 1253 lines on every side. We have not had them for years. 1254 Ms. Kassel stated it is about \$19,000 for pavement markings. 1255 Mr. Perez stated we would not do that in-house. 1256 Mr. Leet stated maybe Mr. Hamstra can ask what it would be for just the entry and exit 1257 pavement markings and not striping the whole thing, or is that already broken out? 1258 Ms. Kassel stated yes, six inches, 12 inches, and 24 inches. I think the 24 inches is the 1259 stop bar. 1260 Mr. Hamstra stated yes. 1261 Ms. Kassel stated the six inches is probably the shoulder markings. 1262 Mr. Hamstra stated along the edge on both sides. 1263 Ms. Kramer stated field services just painted all the markings on our parking lots. 1264 Ms. Montagna stated yes, all the lines. 1265 Ms. Kramer stated it looked really good. They did a good job. I do not know if we can 1266 go back and forth with him. 1267 Mr. Hamstra stated if we go back and forth, he may just pull his bid. 1268 Ms. Kassel stated my concern is, with or without, it is \$580,000 or \$650,000. If we do 1269 this, it is considerably more than our reserve study called for. It is considerably more than 1270 the engineer's estimate. Do we have that money really available? What will we have left if 1271 we spend it for other reserve items? That is my concern. 1272 Ms. Kramer stated that is a concern. Also of concern is deferred maintenance, and we 1273 will be coming up on another neighborhood that is right behind us, so the project gets 1274 bigger and bigger. I do not know about damage, but larger potholes are starting to pop 1275 open. Is there any way to defer the full paving, or grout the gaps and do a better job filling 1276 the potholes? Or is that throwing good money after bad? 1277 Ms. Kassel asked what is your recommendation?

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

Mr. Hamstra stated we keep doing plans, and they keep getting put on a shelf. My reputation looks like a gold digger. I am also afraid that we keep putting bids on the street, but we do not do anything with them. Contractors sooner or later will not bother because it does take time for them to take Mr. Teague's plans, do the unit take off, and do the paperwork. I am not trying to spend your money frivolously, but this was on your to-do list before I was engaged two years ago. Here we are two years later. Things are going down. The construction industry is crazy right now. Deferring just means it will get worse when you eventually touch it. We do not want the bubble to burst because then we will have bigger issues. I would like to see something get done. If we are just going to fill potholes temporarily and put it back on the street a year from now, I think we are getting a bad reputation that we do not do anything, and contractors catch wind of that. I have seen it for a lot of cities I work for. They put projects on the street that come in higher than they thought, and after a while, they do not get the turnout they want. Right now, contractors are in good shape, work wise. If you want to table the sod and pavement markings, I can talk with them about maintenance of traffic, but I am really worried that if we defer this another month and discuss it in November, 60 days will come and go.

- Mr. Leet asked was it not 120 days?
- Mr. Hamstra stated no, he committed to 60 days.
- Ms. Montagna stated this is the only bid we have.
  - Ms. Phillips stated regarding the sod and other items, it is expensive and maybe we can get it done cheaper. But now we have to figure that out and do all that. Whereas if we hire them, then they are responsible for it, and it should be done all at the same time, so we are not disrupting everything to do another project. By the time we put the alleys back together repaved, now homeowners will complain to us that their sod got ruined or something and then we hire someone to replace it and figure out where it needs to be. They do this all the time. If they do it as they go along, then it will all be done.
- Ms. Kramer stated this is a continency, so it is like a not-to-exceed number.
- Mr. Hamstra stated I am not sure who will do it, but you will have an inspector out there, who will submit a pay request. We have to verify the quantities, and he gets paid for what he does. Sod is one of those items, because you just do not know until you get out there.
- Ms. Kramer asked is the per-unit price reasonable?

1310	Mr. Perez asked what was the grand total of square footage?
1311	Ms. Kassel stated 5,000 square yards of St. Augustine at \$6.50 per square yard, for a
1312	grand total of \$32,500.
1313	Mr. Perez stated that is \$1.38 per square foot. That is not bad.
1314	Mr. Hamstra stated I have seen \$1.50 to \$2.00.
1315	Mr. Perez stated obviously it is a lot of sod; 5,000 square feet is an acre.
1316	Ms. Kramer stated he may not use it all; it is a contingency.
1317	
1318 1319 1320 1321 1322	Ms. Phillips made a MOTION to approve the bid from Carr & Collier, Inc. for repaving the alleys in neighborhoods C-1 and C-2, in the amount of \$647,200, which includes the base bid and two alternates.  Mr. Leet seconded the motion.
1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328	Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the bid from Carr & Collier, Inc. for repaying the alleys in neighborhoods C-1 and C-2, in the amount of \$647,200, which includes the base bid and two alternates.
1329	Ms. Kassel stated it is a lot of money; it is more than twice what we anticipated.
1330	Ms. Kramer stated if we had done it three years ago.
1331	Ms. Kassel stated it would not have been that much less expensive.
1332	Ms. Kramer stated yes, it would. Bids came in about \$140,000.
1333	Ms. Kassel asked for C-1 and C-2, with the trench drain and ribbon curb?
1334	Ms. Kramer stated yes, for those neighborhoods, but not with trench drains and ribbon
1335	curbs. Costs have gone up, and that is why we have to be careful about deferring
1336	maintenance because you never know what lies ahead.
1337	Ms. Phillips stated that is why I think we bite the bullet on this one and keep our
1338	improvements that we have made with maintenance going. Then in the future, we will not
1339	have to swallow this bitter pill. That is how I look at it. How long do we expect these alleys
1340	to last once they are done? Are we looking at 10 years or 20 years? A lot of them are 20
1341	years old now.
1342	Ms. Kramer stated I think the reserve study had 15 or 17 years.
1343	Ms. Phillips stated then we will not need to do this for these neighborhoods again in
1344	my lifetime.
1345	

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

ii. Discussion of Estates Drainage

Mr. Hamstra stated at the last Board meeting, we briefly discussed the items identified by camera work: joint repairs, pipe repairs, the gentleman who hired a fence contractor that punctured the pipe to reroute that piping system, and from a maintenance point of view to put some riprap and filter fabric around the 14 inlets. This contractor was only going to hold his bid for 15 days, so we added a 20% contingency thinking you might do this work next year. The whole estimate is \$159,000. I do not think it needs to be done right now. The systems are deteriorating, but it is behind houses out of the rights-of-way in the woods. It is not a public safety issue. If you had \$159,000 and do it now, that would be great, but I do not think it has to be done now. the next rainy season, residents will be here again complaining about standing water, mosquitoes, and why have you not done anything.

Ms. Kramer stated that is really a design issue, not a maintenance issue.

Mr. Hamstra stated that one couple, yes, unfortunately. I did talk with the contractor, and he is willing to do it in pieces and still hold his price. He wants to do all the structure repairs as one, and he is willing to come out twice for the pipeline repairs and still keep his number. If we want to divide this into phase 1, phase 2, and phase 3, within reason—do not stretch it out for two years—he is willing to hold his numbers.

Ms. Kramer stated for the Board's understanding, I asked Mr. Hamstra to consider and discuss with the contractor, if some of these are fixed now, they are running between two houses, there will be no more construction in that area, so the likelihood of a construction entity going back in and damaging them again is much less. I asked him to consider three options. One, we do it all but then we put in some protective barriers or something that we try to hold the construction industry's feet to the fire to protect them. Again, we are gambling with some of these construction entities. Two, wait to do those projects that will have construction impacts and just consider doing the piping areas that are already built out on either side, so we are not looking at more construction damage.

Mr. Hamstra stated you have two runs of pipe to be fixed only. You can ignore the one with the puncture. Then you have several locations where the pipe into the inlets is compromised. They can fix all those and fix the leaks because that will not be touched by a builder. Once the homes are built, if you want to slip-line the pipes that are between the houses, they can be done at that time. I owe Ms. Montagna a cost estimate for the pipe that is punctured, to go after them versus routing it and fixing it. That is a separate \$50,000 or \$60,000 repair, so it might be worth going after the fence contractor. I am not finished with

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1411

1379 that estimate yet, but you can fix the inlets for Phase 1, slip-line the two pipes when all the 1380 houses are done for Phase 2, and then deal with the fence issue separately with Mr. Haber 1381 or Mr. Eckert on how you want to handle that for Phase 3. 1382 Ms. Kassel asked what is the cost for Phase 1? 1383 Mr. Hamstra stated I will need to break that out. He gave me one number for doing 1384 everything at the same time. I can extract that and provide it for the next Board meeting. 1385 Ms. Kramer asked will he hold the price for that long? 1386 Mr. Hamstra stated I think he is okay. I use him a lot, and he will not want to jeopardize 1387 that. 1388 Ms. Kramer stated we will look for that going forward. As far as the fence puncture 1389 issue, I will ask Mr. Haber to comment. We received a lot of information. We were able to 1390 obtain the name of the fence company—Osceola Fence. We were able to obtain the survey, 1391 which clearly shows the drainage easement down that side. For whatever reason, they offset 1392 the fence on one side of the property to the edge of the drainage easement, but this one they 1393 put it right down the middle of the drainage easement. 1394 Mr. Hamstra asked do you know how long ago that was done? 1395 Ms. Kramer stated six years ago, in 2016, immediately after the house was built. Our 1396 preference would be to talk with the fence company and see if they will submit an insurance 1397 claim to their insurer, and have it dealt with. I will ask Mr. Hamstra to work with Mr. Haber 1398 and Mr. Eckert on that. 1399 Mr. Hamstra stated yes. 1400 Mr. Haber stated everything you just described sets forth the reasons why the District 1401 would have a claim, against both the fence company and the homeowner. To the extent we 1402 can address it informally with the fence company if it is currently operating and has a 1403 successful business, hopefully they have good insurance to cover these types of claims. 1404 Ms. Kassel stated the fence company is still active. 1405 Mr. Haber stated when we look at options for deep pockets, I think the fence company 1406 is our best option. We are happy to work with Mr. Hamstra to try to pursue that informally, 1407 which is how it sounds like you want us to pursue. We may be back before you if we do 1408 not have success to get some direction for the next step you want us to take. 1409 **D.** District Attorney Report 1410 Mr. Haber stated I had three items to address tonight, two of which have already been

the agenda. I am happy to give you my thoughts now, or under the District Manager's

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1412

1413 report coming up. After the Board has discussed it, I can share my thoughts. 1414 Ms. Kassel stated I am happy to hear what he has to discuss now so we can let it 1415 marinate until we get to the District Manager's report. 1416 Ms. Kramer stated I have one other thing, and we can discuss the RV storage lot where 1417 it is rather than him opining before our discussion. That agenda item is the very next thing, 1418 so they can merge together. I want to ask about this public records issue, which merges 1419 into the RV storage lot, too. As I am hoping everyone noticed, they got the letter from the 1420 County that was originally sent where they granted the planned development ("PD"), but 1421 with a lot of specifications. I was unable to find that. I was able to receive it from the 1422 County about 18 months ago because I had inquired of them as we were working toward 1423 the Florida Gas Transmission agreement to keep the garden road and improve it. So I 1424 received it from the County. When this issue came up, I had requested everything we might 1425 possibly have from our public records, and they were unable to come up with this letter or 1426 basically anything else dealing with the PD change and this interaction with the County. 1427 They came up with nothing. This letter states on it that it was sent to a private email address 1428 of a previous Board member. That brings up the question of what other things do we not 1429 have, and should we be reviewing it. Was Mr. Haber able to look into that and see what 1430 the obligation is to turn over all those records to us? 1431 Mr. Haber stated they are public records. They are records that deal with District 1432 business, so they are public records, and we would have every right to request those records 1433 from former Board members. The issue I discussed with Ms. Kramer, I have not yet had 1434 the opportunity to definitively determine. The concern under the public records law is that 1435 the holders of those records sometimes have the right to demand compensation or 1436 something along those lines if we make that sort of demand. 1437 Ms. Kramer stated they should not have ever been held by him or have been going to 1438 his private email server. 1439 Mr. Haber stated there is nothing illegal, per se, with something going to a private 1440 server. The public records law says that regardless whether it goes to a public email address 1441 or a private email address, that email is still a public record. Going to a private email 1442 address does not shield that email from being a public record. I cannot definitely say, per 1443 se, that the fact it went to a private server alone is somehow illegal or problematic. I would

1444	need to look more into that with respect to what the matter was, what representations may
1445	have been made at the time, and the extent to which there should have been involvement
1446	by your part rather than just that one Board member. The private email address alone, in
1447	and of itself, is not illegal.
1448	Ms. Kramer stated but that is our record. It is the Harmony CDD's record.
1449	Mr. Haber stated in that instance, if it is work that the District paid for, then yes, I
1450	believe it would be considered the Harmony CDD's record. That is the distinction, versus
1451	something that particular Board member created to effectuate District business would also
1452	be a public record that the District would have the right to. Whether or not you call it a
1453	District record, if it is something the District contracted and paid for, then I think that is
1454	the distinction, if that is the distinction you are looking for.
1455	Ms. Kramer stated I will ask Mr. Haber to look into this further. We need to make sure
1456	that our public records are complete and in the proper storage areas.
1457	Ms. Kassel asked have we received everything we need from our previous counsel?
1458	Mr. Haber stated I know Mr. Eckert has worked on that, I think without success. At
1459	this point, no, I do not believe he has received those.
1460	Ms. Kassel asked what is our recourse?
1461	Mr. Haber stated there are probably two. I will look at whatever agreements we may
1462	have to see if it is a breach of an agreement. Under Florida public records law, the District
1463	has recourse to force, through the courts, an obligation of this party to provide those
1464	records. Forgive me because I have not been involved in that chain of communications.
1465	Ms. Montagna stated we have not received anything.
1466	Mr. Haber stated if they say no records exist, we would have to prove that is not the
1467	case and that there are records. We would essentially have to sue him to force his records
1468	to be provided.
1469	Ms. Kassel stated that would cost us extra money.
1470	Mr. Haber stated that is correct.
1471	Ms. Kramer asked what about a bar complaint? Would that be more encouraging for
1472	him to provide the records?
1473	Mr. Haber stated that may be persuasive as far as getting him to comply. I think that
1474	has the potential to get some action on his part, but I cannot guarantee it. If he is strong to
1475	his position that no records exist, I think he would tell the bar that it is not a valid complaint

1476	because there are no records to be provided. Frankly, that would be his position in the
1477	lawsuit, as well. We will have to see where that goes.
1478	Ms. Kassel stated I will ask you to have an update provided on the status of that for the
1479	November meeting.
1480	Mr. Haber stated yes, we will make sure you have an update on the status, and we will
1481	provide a detailed recommendation on steps to try and get those records if they have not
1482	been provided.
1483	Mr. Leet stated while we are discussing public records, we had a request at the last
1484	meeting, as well, for the records requirement as it pertains to providing videos on the
1485	website. I will ask that is brought up at the November meeting under the attorney's report,
1486	as well.
1487	Mr. Haber asked is that related to whether or not we have an obligation to provide
1488	closed captioning?
1489	Ms. Montagna stated yes, everything related to putting a video on our website has
1490	already been established. What Mr. Leet also asked, that Mr. Eckert was going to research,
1491	is if putting the videos on YouTube has the same requirements. As far as putting them on
1492	the District's website, that has already been established; yes, you have to make sure they
1493	are ADA compliant, including closed captioning and normal requirements for sight- and
1494	hearing-impaired persons. I think the question Mr. Eckert was looking into was YouTube.
1495	Mr. Haber stated we will provide an update on that research. You are 100% correct; if
1496	you were to put it on the District's website, then ADA accommodations are required.
1497	Ms. Kramer stated I spoke with someone quite high up at Microsoft, and they said if
1498	you use Teams instead of Zoom, they have a great transcription service that is better than
1499	what you see on television, and it meets all the ADA requirements, if that helps. Ms.
1500	Montagna is checking to see if we have Teams through our Microsoft email accounts.
1501 1502 1503	E. District Manager Report  i. Discussion of RV Lot  i. Cost Analysis  Mr. Habaratatad Lagricus of this issue Florida lagr. Chapter 100 Florida Statutes is
1504	Mr. Haber stated I reviewed this issue. Florida law—Chapter 190, Florida Statutes—is
1505	very straightforward that a community development district, even though it is a unit of
1506	government itself, does not have any right over any other private property owner to use its
1507	property in a way that violates code, ordinances, or in this case, the planned unit
1508	development ("PUD"). To the extent that any use of the property is a violation of the PUD,

1509	that is just as problematic for the District as it would be for any other property owner. In
1510	reviewing it and looking at the PUD documents, it appears that some of the present uses of
1511	the property, namely the RV storage and use of the property for the staff trailer, are
1512	inconsistent with the authorized uses under the PUD.
1513	Ms. Kramer stated the staff trailer is like a maintenance facility.
1514	Mr. Haber stated if the District continues to use that property for those purposes without
1515	complying with the approvals that were provided in the most recent zoning approval, there
1516	are various enforcement mechanisms the County has available to it against the District for
1517	using the property in a way that is in violation of the PUD. Those range from penalties or
1518	fines per day, to a lawsuit to require the District to cease from using the property. At the
1519	most extreme level, there are criminal penalties for using the property in a way that is
1520	inconsistent with the code or the PUD. Our recommendation is that the District not use the
1521	property in a way that is not permitted, either by code or the PUD.
1522	Ms. Kramer stated I suggest we make a decision tonight.
1523	Ms. Montagna stated yes, you need to make a decision tonight about what you are going
1524	to do, which are obviously two choices: shut it down, or make the changes the County
1525	required you to make. That is where you are first, and we know those prices came in high.
1526	Mr. Hamstra stated there are still about four or five outstanding comments we have to
1527	address, such as renaming the road officially.
1528	Ms. Montagna asked were the costs about \$400,000? Was it more than that?
1529	Mr. Hamstra stated I do not recall off the top of my head.
1530	Ms. Kassel stated we have a third option, which is to not do anything and wait until the
1531	County tells us we have to stop doing what we are doing.
1532	Ms. Kramer stated the penalties can be severe. Are there any liability issues if we
1533	continue to take people's monies to park in an illegal lot?
1534	Mr. Haber stated regarding liability in taking their money, I think that to the extent
1535	someone or their property got injured while using the storage facility, and the storage
1536	facility was not legally permitted, I think any lawyer would focus on that as far as the
1537	exposing and claiming the level of liability the District might have for their client because
1538	we were essentially holding ourselves out to being able to do something that we were not
1539	legally permitted to do. I think that exacerbates the claim that any user of this facility may
1540	have against the District, should such cause of action arise.

1541	Ms. Montagna stated I will ask the Board what direction you want to take: shut it down,
1542	make the required improvements that Osceola County, or leave it as is and possibly face
1543	the penalties for doing so.
1544	Ms. Phillips stated I would say to shut it down. I have been against it from the
1545	beginning. A lot of traffic goes through there, and kids do things in that area. I see kids
1546	going back there trying to have fun or have a party. If something catches on fire, the next
1547	thing you know, we are in trouble from a bunch of avenues. We have already had theft
1548	back there. I do not see any benefit to us in anyway. There are storage facilities in town. It
1549	is a few miles to drive to their unit. I feel bad, but the risk to us is too great, I believe.
1550	Mr. Leet stated we have retained ownership of that property. In the future, especially
1551	as neighborhood M comes online, there might be a more economical way we can have
1552	access to that street and look at it in the future. As of now, I think the cost of that versus
1553	the benefits and the very long time it would take for us to see the cost recouped, I think we
1554	close it down.
1555	Ms. Kramer stated I am in agreement. I am very opposed to continuing without proper
1556	approvals and upgrades. We tried diligently to do it and keep this, but the cost is too great
1557	for the limited number of people that it benefits. It also puts us in competition with private
1558	enterprises down the road. The bigger issue for me is the liability. It is very concerning.
1559	We are already on the wrong side of the County, but continuing that worries me.
1560	Mr. Leet stated we discussed the RV lot, but we also have a maintenance trailer.
1561	Ms. Kramer stated we will discuss that next.
1562	Ms. Phillips asked does the garden stay?
1563	Ms. Kramer stated the garden did not even need a PD amendment, and it did not need
1564	any upgrades for anything.
1565	Mr. Leet asked does that include the small shed and anything associated with the
1566	garden?
1567	Ms. Kramer stated yes, anything associated with the garden is considered recreational
1568	open space, and the County was extremely clear that the garden is a fine use. They love it
1569	down there, and it met all their requirements.
1570 1571 1572 1573	

1574 1575 1576 1577 1578 1579	Ms. Kramer made a MOTION to close down the RV lot, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Phillips seconded the motion.
1580	Ms. Phillips asked do we need to put a date on this?
1581	Mr. Leet asked what does that look like? Do we have 30 days to get our stuff out?
1582	Ms. Kassel asked why not give people to the end of the year?
1583	Ms. Kramer stated I think that is an excellent idea because they will have to make
1584	arrangements, and it would be irresponsible to do otherwise.
1585	Ms. Phillips asked would it be within something we are allowed to do to approach
1586	another storage facility to tell them we are shutting down our storage facility and requesting
1587	a discount for moving all our people?
1588	Ms. Kramer stated no, they will need to make their own arrangements.
1589	Mr. Leet stated we cannot do that as a governmental entity.
1590	Ms. Phillips stated I was suggesting we do it as an informational thing to ask if they
1591	would offer a discount, nothing formal.
1.500	
1592	Ms. Kramer stated we do not want to be seen as encouraging one business over another.
1592 1593	Ms. Kramer stated we do not want to be seen as encouraging one business over another.  Ms. Phillips stated I did not think about that.
1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599	Ms. Phillips stated I did not think about that.  Ms. Kramer AMENDED the motion to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.
1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605	Ms. Kramer AMENDED the motion to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Phillips seconded the amendment.  Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less
1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606	Ms. Kramer AMENDED the motion to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Phillips seconded the amendment.  Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.
1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606 1607	Ms. Kramer AMENDED the motion to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Phillips seconded the amendment.  Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Kramer stated staff will need to contact all the owners so they will have plenty of
1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606 1607	Ms. Kramer AMENDED the motion to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Phillips seconded the amendment.  Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to close down the RV lot effective December 31, 2022, refund everyone's money on a prorated basis, and keep the property for possible future use when a less expensive form of access is available.  Ms. Kramer stated staff will need to contact all the owners so they will have plenty of time.

Harmony CDD October 27, 2022, meeting

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

#### ii. Manager's Updates

Ms. Montagna stated looking into the rights-of-way, there was mention that on the website was an interlocal agreement with Osceola County about the rights-of-way. There is no interlocal agreement. The District does not have an interlocal agreement with the County regarding those rights-of-way. You do have other interlocal agreements, such as with the school board and a couple other ones, but not one with the County. I am not sure how that language got put on the website or why it was. There is no link to an actual interlocal agreement, which would have been helpful. We are still looking into it, but we cannot find one. I actually called Osceola County, as well. We do not know when or how, but we cannot find any such agreement to date. I will let Mr. Leet know either way at least by next week so we can remove that language from the website. The Board asked me to look into harmonyfl.com to see if the domain was available. The site is not live or active, but the name is taken. You could hire a broker, like GoDaddy. The fee starts at about \$70 to see if they are willing to give up the domain name, but that is about it for that task. I want to ask one thing. When we brought up the standard operating procedures last month and we made changes to it, I thought I made it clear, but in reviewing the minutes, it was not necessarily clear when I discussed having a pre-call, which would happen the Friday before the Board meeting. Typically, it is myself, legal counsel, the engineer, field, Ms. Brenda Burgess, and the Chair, if we need a call. Obviously, we do not want to expend legal or engineering dollars unless it is absolutely needed, and that call is to discuss anything on the agenda. Apparently in my verbiage regarding that motion, I left out that the Chair was involved. I did not know if someone had an objection to that. I thought I made it clear, but in the minutes, it was not clear. Is the Board clear on that?

- 1635 Ms. Kassel stated yes, I thought you mentioned it.
- 1636 Ms. Montagna stated I thought so, too. Ms. Kramer did not attend the last one.
- 1637 Ms. Kramer stated out of an abundance of caution.
- Ms. Montagna stated Ms. Kramer did not think it was clear, and we wanted to make sure and confirm that I did include the Chair on the pre-meeting calls.
- Ms. Kassel stated we need to discuss the field services trailer.
- Ms. Kramer stated yes, we need to address that, since that is also not a permitted use, mainly because we need to have road service that will accommodate fire trucks, it does not have sewer service, which I do not think we would ever get permitted through the County, and it does not have potable water.

1645	Ms. Kassel asked did Ms. Kramer speak with the developer for the apartments and they
1646	were willing to accommodate this?
1647	Ms. Kramer stated I did, and they have gone off the radar. I think they are holding it
1648	for investment purposes now. We do have the land. It is properly zoned in the PD for a
1649	maintenance facility. In fact, it even speaks to a field service maintenance facility on that
1650	U-1 or U-2 tract. We can put it back in the corner next to the fenced-in utility area, or we
1651	can put it in front next to what used to be the welcome center on that section of the parcel.
1652	Ms. Kassel asked is there water and sewer?
1653	Ms. Kramer stated yes, in both locations.
1654	Mr. Leet stated it has to be within our boundaries.
1655	Ms. Kramer stated that is correct. We will need to do some site planning. We probably
1656	do not want to move the same trailer. We probably want something more attractive since
1657	it will be more visible in the community. Does Mr. Hamstra do this, as far as site planning
1658	for a new maintenance facility in that other location? Or do we need to get with RJ Whidden
1659	or someone?
1660	Mr. Hamstra stated honestly, you are better off with a land development company. We
1661	could do it, but I do not think we would be as cost effective as civil firms that do true land
1662	development work, if zoning changed or land use.
1663	Ms. Kramer stated we are good for zoning.
1664	Ms. Phillips asked where is that piece of property?
1665	Ms. Kramer stated Mr. Leet might be able to put it on the Zoom screen. The District
1666	Manager can start that process of designing and site planning, if we are in agreement we
1667	should look at that. I do not know any other property the District owns that would be
1668	suitable. Do any other Board members know of any property or location that would have
1669	the proper PD designation already in place?
1670	Ms. Kramer pointed out the locations discussed on a map.
1671	Ms. Kramer stated the nice thing about either of those two locations is, you already
1672	have County-owned and County-operated street access, and water and sewer.
1673	Ms. Montagna stated I can reach out to development companies and get some proposals
1674	to bring back to the Board.
1675	Ms. Phillips asked will it be a building with a big garage door and an office in the back
1676	type of setup?

1677	Ms. Kramer stated we can look at the differences between costs or if the County will
1678	allow us to put in some sort of modular building, or if we want to do a site build.
1679	Ms. Phillips stated I was thinking of a garage to put all their equipment.
1680	Ms. Kramer stated that would be wonderful because then it would be more secure.
1681	Again, costs will drive the decision based on the contracts we have approved tonight.
1682	Ms. Kassel asked is it possible to table new business matter to the next meeting? Some
1683	might need a lot of explanation.
1684	Ms. Montagna stated the accountants are participating via Zoom, and we are under a
1685	deadline for the Board to approve a budget amendment. The Board needs to approve those
1686	two items, at a bare minimum.
1687	Ms. Kramer stated we can table the rest of the items. Do we want to consider a motion
1688	to start the relocation of the maintenance trailer?
1689	Mr. Leet asked does that require a motion?
1690	Ms. Montagna stated it does not require a motion. You are just asking me to do the
1691	research and bring it back to the Board. At that point, you will make a decision.
1692 1693 1694 1695	SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  A. Resolution 2023-01, Amending the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget  Ms. Montagna stated the Board was provided with a revised budget amendment that
1696	basically breaks down the general reserve fund and the general fund budget. I know there
1697	were some questions and some not understanding how it breaks down and how you follow
1698	it. The accountants are on the phone.
1699	Ms. Kassel stated going through this document, \$43,000 was changed from \$62,000
1700	because we removed \$20,000 to the reserve fund. Then the next changes are operating
1701	transfers out. Explain to us what that means, where that money came from, and where it is
1702	going. Total operating transfer out is \$608,706. For clarification, where is that money
1703	coming from, where is it going, and why is it that number?
1704	Mr. McAden stated the financials for September show a transfer out. The \$608,706
1705	really just reflects the variance between the amount budgeted for a transfer out and the
1706	amount that was actually transferred out. It represents the difference between \$675,246 and
1707	\$66,540.
1708	Ms. Kassel asked when you say transfer out, can you explain to everyone listening it

1710	Mr. McAden stated at an earlier Board meeting, the Board decided to create a reserve
1711	fund and essentially seed the balance at \$1.1 million. That was done in two parts. The first
1712	part was taking reserve funds from the general fund of three items, which are highlighted.
1713	These three items added up to \$324,754, which is a reserve fund balance that was in the
1714	general fund. That is the first value that was moved from the general fund to the reserve
1715	fund. Technically items B and C are the general fund reserve. The second portion of that
1716	is the part I just mentioned, the \$675,246. That portion was a transfer out of the general
1717	fund into the reserve fund. Those two values combined make up the \$1 million in "seed
1718	money" for the general fund reserve. It came from the general fund into this general fund
1719	reserve, and that is what was transferred out.
1720	Ms. Kassel stated explain to us the \$608,706 coming from the general fund.
1721	Mr. McAden stated let me correct you. The \$608,706 is a variance. You will see four
1722	headers. The fourth column shows the variance, either favorable or unfavorable. The fourth
1723	column just shows the difference between what was budgeted and what you actually did.
1724	To understand the amount that was actually transferred, you will look at that third column
1725	where it says year-to-date actual. What was actually transferred was \$675,246.
1726	Ms. Kassel stated that was transferred out of the general fund into the general fund
1727	reserve.
1728	Mr. McAden stated yes.
1729	Ms. Kassel asked that means our fund balance that ended the year for the general fund
1730	was not \$1.1 million but was -\$651,706? That is a \$1.5 million difference. The final budget
1731	number is \$477,751. I now see the variance and current budget. Is this for 2022?
1732	Ms. Montagna stated yes, as of September 30, 2022.
1733	Mr. McAden stated you are looking for your ending fund balance.
1734	Ms. Kassel stated yes.
1735	Mr. McAden stated on the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund
1736	balance with the highlighted letters, the ending fund balance is \$547,006, which represents
1737	capital that came in to the general fund reserve minus the expenditures that took place
1738	during the course of the year.
1739	Ms. Kassel stated this is the number we have in the general fund reserve for repaving
1740	the alleys, doing the pipe work, and so forth.
1741	Ms. Montagna stated that is correct.

1742	Ms. Kassel stated I am confused why if the budget as it was had a positive of \$1,129,457
1743	and the proposed amendment will bring it down to -\$651,706, which is a \$1.5 million
1744	difference, but it is only a \$156,879 difference in the variance column. I am still confused
1745	by this. What is the difference between current budget and final budget? It is columns one
1746	and three.
1747	Mr. McAden asked are you looking at the adopted budget?
1748	Ms. Kassel stated no, this is the document we received within the package that included
1749	first a letter from Ms. Helena Randel and it is the general fund.
1750	Ms. Kramer stated it is titled "proposed budget amendment."
1751	Ms. Kassel stated it is the last page, the last line, on the third page of the general fund
1752	proposed budget amendment, for the period ending September 30, 2022. It has five
1753	columns: first column is current budget, second column is proposed amendment, third
1754	column is final budget, fourth column is year-to-date actual, and fifth column is variance.
1755	I do not understand what current budget is or what final budget is.
1756	Mr. McAden stated in most cases, we have two separate columns, one showing the
1757	current budget and one showing the final budget. The final budget reflects any changes that
1758	were made, such as amendments or budget adjustments that may have taken place from the
1759	time the budget was adopted to the current period. The adopted budget—the original
1760	budget value—is what you approved prior to your fiscal year.
1761	Ms. Kassel stated I think I get it. The current budget is what we said where we were,
1762	and the proposed amendment is what we are reducing from that to give us the \$477,751.
1763	Ms. Montagna stated that is correct.
1764	
1765	Ms. Kassel made a MOTION to approve Resolution 2023-
1766 1767	01 amending the budget for fiscal year 2022, as presented.  Mr. Leet seconded the motion.
1768	Wil. Lect seconded the motion.
1769	Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval
1770	was given to Resolution 2023-01 amending the budget for
1771	fiscal year 2022, as presented.
1772	
1773	B. Motion to Assign Fund Balance
1774	Ms. Montagna stated I will ask the Board to enter a motion to assign fund balance as
1775	of September 30, 2022, which the Board wanted to move into an operating reserve account.
1776	The amount is \$439,517.
1777	

1778 1779 1780 1781 1782 1783	Mr. Leet made a MOTION to assign fund balance as of September 30, 2022, as presented.  Ms. Phillips seconded the motion.  Upon VOICE VOTE, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to assign fund balance as of September 30, 2022,
1784 1785 1786 1787 1788	as presented.  SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Old Business  A. Informational Signs  This item having been tabled until the November meeting, the next item followed.
1789 1790	<b>B.</b> Discussion of Donation of Royal Poinciana Tree (Nancy Snyder) This item having been tabled until the November meeting, the next item followed.
1791 1792 1793	C. Proposal for Removal of Concrete Pads Around Oak Tree (Pocket Park in Primrose Willow-Beargrass-Schoolhouse Alley Triangle)  This item having been tabled until the November meeting, the next order of business
1794	followed.
1795 1796 1797	EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisors' Requests There being none, the next order of business followed.
1798 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804	NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment  On MOTION by Ms. Kassel, seconded by Ms. Phillips, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned at 9:05 p.m.
1804 1805 1806 1807	Secretary/Assistant Secretary  Secretary/Assistant Secretary  Secretary/Assistant Secretary  Secretary/Assistant Secretary